

BEFORE THE
STATE OF NEW YORK
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of
Consolidated Edison Company Of New York, Inc.

Case 08-E-0539

September 2008

Prepared Exhibit of:

Staff Municipal Infrastructure Support
Panel

Kin Eng
Utility Analyst 3

Michael J. Rieder
Utility Engineer 3

Qin Fei Shi
Utility Engineer 1

Jane Wang
Public Utilities Auditor 2

Office of Accounting & Finance
Office of Electric, Gas, & Water
State of New York
Department of Public Service
Three Empire State Plaza
Albany, New York 12223-1350

State of New York
Department of Public Service
90 Church Street
New York, New York 10007

Staff Municipal Infrastructure Support Panel

Exhibit ___(SMISP-1)

List of Staff Information Requests

<u>Staff Request</u>	<u>Exhibit pages</u>
169	1- 2
442	3
443	4- 5
571	6- 7

Company Name: Con Edison
Case Description:
Case: 08-E-0539

Response to DPS Interrogatories – Set DPS12
Date of Response: 06/23/2008
Responding Witness: Municipal Infrastructure Support Panel

Question No. :169

Subject: Interference Expenditures – Lower Manhattan – The MISP testimony and exhibits address the interference capital and O&M expenditures for Lower Manhattan (WTC). 1. Provide the actual interference expenditures for total Company, both O&M and capital, for the historic periods ended December 31, 2002 through 2007. 2. Provide the actual interference expenditures the Electric Operation booked, both O&M and capital, for the historic periods ended December 31, 2002 through 2007. 3. If allocation of the total company interference expenditures in Lower Manhattan is different from the allocation of interference expenditures related to New York City capital improvement projects, provide a workpaper to support such allocation.

Response:

Without waiving the Company's right to object to the timeframe requested, the following is the Company's response.

1 & 2) The attached spread sheet provides the total O&M and Capital expenditures and the electric O&M and Capital expenditures for Lower Manhattan for the period 2002 through 2007.

3) Work sheet 1 for MISP-3, the electric O&M expenditure forecast is calculated based on 65% of total O&M forecast. The attached spread sheet shows the basis for the 65% allocation.

DPS-12 Question No: 169
Response to part 1&2

Lower Manhattan 2002 - 2007 Actual O&M & Capital Expenses

Year	Total O&M	Electric O&M	Total Capital	Electric Capital
2002	\$11,613,068	\$7,353,977	\$1,347,758	\$273,181
2003	\$25,296,553	\$15,485,868	\$8,089,101	\$6,274,835
2004	\$27,753,597	\$17,608,588	\$7,375,864	\$4,002,128
2005	\$23,747,229	\$14,395,096	\$15,164,053	\$11,306,022
2006	\$17,873,974	\$12,607,351	\$22,020,302	\$18,883,596
2007	\$8,753,990	\$5,885,987	\$10,591,101	\$8,400,875

Response to part 3

Lower Manhattan - Electric O&M expenses as a % of total O&M expenses

Year	Total O&M	Electric O&M	Elec. O&M as a % of total O&M
2002	\$11,613,068	\$7,353,977	63.33%
2003	\$25,296,553	\$15,485,868	61.22%
2004	\$27,753,597	\$17,608,588	63.45%
2005	\$23,747,229	\$14,395,096	60.62%
2006	\$17,873,974	\$12,607,351	70.53%
2007	\$8,753,990	\$5,885,987	67.24%

04-07 Avg. \$78,128,790 \$50,497,022 64.63%

Company Name: Con Edison
Case Description:
Case: 08-E-0539

Response to DPS Interrogatories – Set DPS29
Date of Response: 07/30/2008
Responding Witness: Municipal Infrastructure

Question No. :442

Subject: Interference Expense: 1. Provide the electric interference expense actually incurred from April 1, 2008 to June 30, 2008. Separately identify the electric interference expense related to reconstruction in Lower Manhattan (WTC) and that related to New York City capital improvement projects (non-WTC).

Response:

Electric Interference O&M expenditure from April 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008:

Non WTC	\$15.2 million
WTC	\$ 2.076 million

Company Name: Con Edison
Case Description:
Case: 08-E-0539

Response to DPS Interrogatories – Set DPS29
Date of Response:
Responding Witness:

Question No. :443

Subject: WTC Interference Expenditures: In its response to DPS-169, the MISIP provided the actual incurred interference expenditures for Lower Manhattan (WTC) the Company booked from 2002 through 2007. The attachment to DPS-169 indicates, starting in 2005, a declining trend in interference expenditures. Fully explain why this declining trend will not continue in the rate year and beyond.

RESPONSE:

Projects planned to be done under the Joint Bidding protocol were schedule to start in 2005. However, the delay in establishing the Joint Bid Agreement among the City and Utilities, Joint Bid specifications/units of work, Court actions by a contractor etc. delayed the first project commencement until late 2007. Therefore, the declining trend in expenditure is due to the fact that projects started prior to 2005 were nearing completion and the Joint Bid projects did not start as planned.

Company Name: Con Edison
Case Description:
Case: 08-E-0539

Response to DPS Interrogatories – Set DPS29
Date of Response: 07/30/2008
Responding Witness: Municipal Infrastructure

Question No. :443

Subject: WTC Interference Expenditures: In its response to DPS-169, the MISP provided the actual incurred interference expenditures for Lower Manhattan (WTC) the Company booked from 2002 through 2007. The attachment to DPS-169 indicates, starting in 2005, a declining trend in interference expenditures. Fully explain why this declining trend will not continue in the rate year and beyond.

Response:

Projects planned to be done under the Joint Bidding protocol were originally scheduled to start in 2005. However, the first project's commencement was delayed until late 2007 due to the following factors: the delay in establishing the Joint Bid Agreement between the City and the Utilities, Joint Bid Specifications/units of work, Court action by a contractor, and training in the new protocol. Since these issues have largely been addressed and/or resolved, the Company does not anticipate that the declining trend will continue.

Company Name: Con Edison
Case Description:
Case: 08-E-0539

Response to DPS Interrogatories – Set DPS41
Date of Response: 08/22/2008
Responding Witness: MISIP

Question No. :571

Subject: O&M and Capital Budget for Interference Cost - 1. Provide for each year, 2003 through 2008, the O&M and Capital forecasted budget and actual expenditures under Interference. This should include the 2008 budget and actual spending to date for 2008. 2. Provide the same information as requested in part 1 of this IR for Lower Manhattan only.

Response:

1. See attached file.

2. See response to DPS-169 for 2002-2007 data for Lower Manhattan. See response to CPB-77 for 2008 data for Lower Manhattan. There was no budget for Lower Manhattan expenditures until 2008, as these expenditures were being deferred and being submitted for reimbursement under a Federal program that expired at the end of 2007.

RESPONSE TO DPS-571

Year	Elec. O&M Budget	Elec. O&M Exp.	Elec. Capital Budget	Elec. Capital Exp.
2003	59,846	56,004	19,000	16,879
2004	61,684	56,169	15,000	19,422
2005	63,704	69,662	22,945	20,765
2006	72,771	53,967	21,900	27,386
2007	66,066	53,980	31,300	26,173
2008*	74,156	34,977	30,900	11,794

* The 2008 actual expenditures are based on end of July numbers.