

BEFORE THE
STATE OF NEW YORK
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of

Case 07-M-0906

Joint Petition of Iberdrola, S.A., Energy East Corporation, RGS Energy Group, Inc., Green Acquisition Capital, Inc., New York State Electric & Gas Corporation and Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation for Approval of the Acquisition of Energy East Corporation by Iberdrola, S.A.

January 31, 2008

Exhibit ___ (SMF – 3)

**STANDARD
& POOR'S**

RATINGS DIRECT®

U.S. Utilities Ratings Analysis Now Portrayed In The S&P Corporate Ratings Matrix

Primary Credit Analysts:

Todd A Shipman, CFA, New York (1) 212-438-7676; todd_shipman@standardandpoors.com
William Ferara, New York (1) 212-438-1776; bill_ferara@standardandpoors.com
John W Whitlock, New York (1) 212-438-7678; john_whitlock@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Credit Analyst:

Michael Messer, New York (1) 212-438-1618; michael_messer@standardandpoors.com

www.standardandpoors.com/ratingsdirect

Standard & Poor's. All rights reserved. No reprint or dissemination without S&P's permission. See Terms of Use/Disclaimer on the last page.

U.S. Utilities Ratings Analysis Now Portrayed In The S&P Corporate Ratings Matrix

The electric, gas, and water utility ratings ranking lists published today by Standard & Poor's U.S. Utilities & Infrastructure Ratings practice are categorized under the business risk/financial risk matrix used by the Corporate Ratings group. This is designed to present our rating conclusions in a clear and standardized manner across all corporate sectors. Incorporating utility ratings into a shared framework to communicate the fundamental credit analysis of a company furthers the goals of transparency and comparability in the ratings process. Table 1 shows the matrix.

Table 1

Business Risk/Financial Risk		Financial Risk Profile				
		Minimal	Modest	Intermediate	Aggressive	Highly leveraged
Excellent	AAA	AA	A	BBB	BB	
Strong	AA	A	A-	BBB-	BB-	
Satisfactory	A	BBB+	BBB	BB+	B+	
Weak	BBB	BBB-	BB+	BB-	B	
Vulnerable	BB	B+	B+	B	B-	

The utilities rating methodology remains unchanged, and the use of the corporate risk matrix has not resulted in any changes to ratings or outlooks. The same five factors that we analyzed to produce a business risk score in the familiar 10-point scale are used in determining whether a utility possesses an "Excellent," "Strong," "Satisfactory," "Weak," or "Vulnerable" business risk profile:

- Regulation,
- Markets,
- Operations,
- Competitiveness, and
- Management.

Regulated utilities and holding companies that are utility-focused virtually always fall in the upper range ("Excellent" or "Strong") of business risk profiles. The defining characteristics of most utilities—a legally defined service territory generally free of significant competition, the provision of an essential or near-essential service, and the presence of regulators that have an abiding interest in supporting a healthy utility financial profile—underpin the business risk profiles of the electric, gas, and water utilities.

As the matrix concisely illustrates, the business risk profile loosely determines the level of financial risk appropriate for any given rating. Financial risk is analyzed both qualitatively and quantitatively, mainly with financial ratios and other metrics that are calculated after various analytical adjustments are performed on financial statements prepared under GAAP. Financial risk is assessed for utilities using, in part, the indicative ratio ranges in table 2.

U.S. Utilities Ratings Analysis Now Portrayed In The S&P Corporate Ratings Matrix

Table 2

Financial Risk Indicative Ratios - U.S. Utilities			
(Fully adjusted, historically demonstrated, and expected to consistently continue)			
	Cash flow		Debt leverage
	(FFO/debt) (%)	(FFO/interest) (x)	(Total debt/capital) (%)
Modest	40 - 60	4.0 - 6.0	25 - 40
Intermediate	25 - 45	3.0 - 4.5	35 - 50
Aggressive	10 - 30	2.0 - 3.5	45 - 60
Highly leveraged	Below 15	2.5 or less	Over 50

The indicative ranges for utilities differ somewhat from the guidelines used for their unregulated counterparts because of several factors that distinguish the financial policy and profile of regulated entities. Utilities tend to finance with long-maturity capital and fixed rates. Financial performance is typically more uniform over time, avoiding the volatility of unregulated industrial entities. Also, utilities fare comparatively well in many of the less-quantitative aspects of financial risk. Financial flexibility is generally quite robust, given good access to capital, ample short-term liquidity, and the like. Utilities that exhibit such favorable credit characteristics will often see ratings based on the more accommodative end of the indicative ratio ranges, especially when the company's business risk profile is solidly within its category. Conversely, a utility that follows an atypical financial policy or manages its balance sheet less conservatively, or falls along the lower end of its business risk designation, would have to demonstrate an ability to achieve financial metrics along the more stringent end of the ratio ranges to reach a given rating.

Note that even after we assign a company a business risk and financial risk, the committee does not arrive by rote at a rating based on the matrix. The matrix is a guide—it is not intended to convey precision in the ratings process or reduce the decision to plotting intersections on a graph. Many small positives and negatives that affect credit quality can lead a committee to a different conclusion than what is indicated in the matrix. Most outcomes will fall within one notch on either side of the indicated rating. Larger exceptions for utilities would typically involve the influence of related unregulated entities or extraordinary disruptions in the regulatory environment.

We will use the matrix, the ranking list, and individual company reports to communicate the relative position of a company within its business risk peer group and the other factors that produce the ratings.