

Proposed Change with Rationale	Recommended Language Change
<p>Change: Remove 'manufacturer's verification test procedures' as a requirement for the application or pre-CESIR package.</p> <p>Rationale: Submitting this documentation early in the process will provide unnecessary additional work to the utility interconnection engineers - work that does not add anything to the CESIR analysis. Submitting later in the process allows for additional testing requirements from the CESIR to be incorporated into the system testing procedure.</p>	<p>Section I (B)(Step 4/5): (1) Language needs to be modified, however, draft language is not ready at this time.</p> <p>Section I (C)(Step 5): (1) Remove 'written verification test procedure' requirement for the CESIR</p> <p>Section I (C)(Step 8): (1) Add "A Site Specific Testing Procedure, including any requested manufacturer's test procedure requirements shall be submitted during this stage, a minimum of 20 days before scheduling the utility verification test (Step 9)"</p> <p>Section II (I - Verification Testing): (1) Add "...at least 20 BD prior to scheduling of the utility verification test"</p> <p>Appendix C: (1) Remove "Verification Test Plan attached"</p> <p>Appendix F: (1) Remove "Copy of the manufacturer's verification test procedures, if required"</p>
<p>Change: Add clarity to what 'manufacturer's data sheets' are required at the application or pre-CESIR stage since this is currently interpreted differently between utilities.</p> <p>Rationale: Ensure that utilities receive all the necessary information they need to perform their analysis while ensuring developers aren't required to provide information that is not necessary for any of the utility analyses.</p>	<p>Appendix F - Add: "For custom equipment (e.g. transformer, disconnect, or recloser), copy of the manufacturer's product brochure".</p>
<p>Change: Clarify document requirements for generalized UL certification documents for multiple models and at varying power outputs</p> <p>Rationale: Simplify customer deliverable requirements while maintaining detail necessary for utility evaluation.</p>	<p>Appendix F - Add: "If proposing power-limited equipment, provide additional generic letter by manufacturer detailing output range in which inverter model(s) were tested and certified to UL 1741."</p>
<p>Change: Remove 'detailed interconnection package requirements' for 'CESIR and refine application requirements to ensure utilities receive all necessary information for CESIR at the application stage.</p> <p>Rationale: Fix inconsistencies in interconnection package requirements across utilities and simplify the delivery process.</p>	<p>Section 1(C)(Step 5): (a) Remove 'detailed interconnection package' requirements and incorporate into Appendix F application requirements</p> <p>Appendix F: (a) Replace: "System Diagram..." with "Single-Line Drawing..." (b) Add new language to "site plan" section and its requirements.</p>
<p>Change: Add new or modify existing utility deliverable templates.</p> <p>Rationale: To increase consistency and usability of deliverables across all utilities.</p>	<p>Appendix D - Changes to Pre-Application Report (a) Previously requested data added.</p> <p>Appendix G for Preliminary Screen Requires Edits (a) Add queue information for circuit and substation.</p> <p>Appendix M Added for "CESIR Template" (a) Should be revised to include criteria/assumption standards</p> <p>Appendix N Added for "Cost Template" (a) Should be standard deliverable for Construction & Reconciliation</p> <p>Includes additional references to templates throughout SIR.</p>
<p>Change: Add clarity as to when the utility timeline for document review begins.</p> <p>Rationale: Some utilities interpret this section as the package review starts when they receive the CESIR payment. This is not in the SIR and we need to add clarification as to when the utility timeline for document review begins.</p>	<p>Section I (C)(Step 5): (1) Add "or that it has not received payment of the costs associated with the completion of the CESIR,"</p>
<p>Change: Have CESIR review meeting with utility to discuss results and analyses that can be waived by the developer</p> <p>Rationale: Developers find it difficult to schedule post-CESIR meetings with utilities which results in shortened payment windows to make an informed decision.</p>	<p>Section I (C)(Step 6): (1) Add "The applicant shall notify the utility within ten (10) Business Days the completion of the CESIR whether to (i) proceed to a CESIR results meeting, (ii) commit to the results and cost estimates, or (iii) withdraw the Interconnection Request."</p>
<p>Change: Request that 25% Payment Invoice be provided shortly after the CESIR.</p> <p>Rationale: Delay in invoice delivery can prevent certain projects from moving to procurement and construction quicker.</p>	<p>Draft language is not ready at this time.</p>
<p>Change: Remove redundant information from Appendix F</p> <p>Rationale: Information is already required per the application document in Appendix B</p>	<p>Appendix F - Remove the following: (a) DG Technology Type (b) DG Fuel Source/Configuration (c) Proposed Project Size in AC KW (d) Project is net metered, remote, or community net metered</p>
<p>Change: Proposal to split CESIR into two 30BD sections or have some type of mid-CESIR Utility/customer review.</p> <p>Rationale: Add crucial decision step in interconnection process to allow utility and developer to work together on mitigations to avoid post-CESIR restudies for both parties.</p>	<p>Draft language is not ready at this time.</p>
<p>Change: Remove requirement for three-line diagram in interconnection process</p> <p>Rationale: Single-line provides all necessary information at the application and pre-CESIR stage to perform analyses. Not required in any other developed DER market (i.e. CA, MA, ME, etc.). Can still be requested later in the design or construction process.</p>	<p>Various edits throughout the SIR</p>