

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 STATE OF NEW YORK
3 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 MONTHLY MEETING OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONERS:

JOHN B. RHODES, Chair
GREGG C. SAYRE
DIANE X. BURMAN
JAMES S. ALESI

Thursday, January 17, 2019
10:30 a.m.
Three Empire State Plaza
Agency Building 3, 19th Floor
Albany, New York

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 (On the record 10:32 a.m.)

3 CHAIRMAN RHODES: We are time and I
4 call this session of the Public Service Commission to
5 order. Assistant Secretary Aissi, are there any
6 changes to the final agenda?

7 MS. AISSI: Good morning. There are
8 no changes to the agenda this morning.

9 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you. So,
10 with that, we will proceed -- begin with the first
11 item for discussion, which is Item 201, Cases 14-M-
12 0094 et al, as they relate to the petition filed by
13 the New York State Research and Development
14 Authority, regarding data and reporting, presented by
15 Ted Kelly, Assistant Counsel, Peggy Neville, Deputy
16 Director Office of Clean Energy and Bruce Alch, Chief
17 Retail Access and Economic Development are available
18 for questions. Ted, please begin.

19 MR. KELLY: Thank you. Good morning
20 Chair Rhodes and Commissioners. This item is a
21 proposed order regarding a petition filed by the New
22 York State Research and Develop -- Energy Research
23 and Development Authority or NYSERDA, regarding
24 access to data and legacy reporting.

25 Part of NYSERDA's role as the State's

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19
2 energy research and development authority, is to
3 conduct studies and evaluations related to the
4 State's clean energy progress initiatives. This is
5 laid out in NYSERDA's authorizing statute, the Public
6 Authority's law, as well as in the State Energy Law.

7 Furthermore, the Commission has
8 directed NYSERDA to perform these sorts of activities
9 in various orders, including in the authorization of
10 the clean energy fund. NYSERDA has already conducted
11 a number of these studies over its history. In many
12 cases, these studies require data collected by the
13 State's gas and electric utilities, regarding
14 customer usage.

15 Needed utility data may relate to
16 customers who are participating in clean energy
17 programs with NYSERDA or the utilities or may relate
18 to customers who have not participated in clean
19 energy programs, who I will refer to as non-
20 participants.

21 For example, in 2015, NYSERDA worked
22 with the utilities and received the necessary data to
23 conduct the residential baseline study. Baselines
24 studies use current energy usage data, including the
25 prevalence of certain energy technology -- of clean

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 energy technologies or measures to determine a
3 baseline level that can later be used for comparison
4 of progress.

5 Baseline studies and similar tools are
6 especially important for REV and the Clean Energy
7 Fund because those initiatives aim to increase clean
8 energy deployment through market development
9 strategies, rather than simply through resource
10 acquisition strategies.

11 Baseline studies require both
12 participant data and non-participant data with the
13 non-participants acting, essentially similar to a
14 control group.

15 In addition, because the study is
16 looking at granular by customer data, aggregated data
17 is not sufficient; individualized data is needed.
18 The residential baseline study and other studies like
19 it, were conducted in compliance with guidance issued
20 by Staff at the direction of the Commission and the
21 guidance was regarding data protection and privacy.
22 In addition, NYSERDA and the Utilities negotiated
23 data security agreements for their studies.

24 More recently, the Utilities have
25 expressed reluctance to provide -- to continue to

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19
2 provide non-participant data to NYSERDA, without
3 specific Commission authorization and guidance. As
4 such, NYSERDA filed the petition, which requested
5 that the Commission authorize NYSERDA to receive non-
6 participant data for 2 specific use cases; first, to
7 assess performance and effectiveness of clean energy
8 programs and policies, including through potential
9 baseline and market characterization studies, as well
10 as other evaluation measurement and verification
11 activities; and 2, for implementation of NYSERDA's
12 clean energy fund portfolios.

13 NYSERDA also requested that the
14 Commission create a process for these data requests,
15 as well as determining the appropriate data security
16 and privacy protections. The draft order grants
17 NYSERDA's request, with respect to the first use
18 case, conducting studies and other evaluation
19 activities but denies the request, with respect to
20 the second use case for implementation of the CEF
21 portfolios.

22 The first use case, is consistent with
23 the work that NYSERDA has been doing for years and
24 with its responsibilities under the law and
25 Commission orders. It is also consistent with the

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 Utilities own use of data for studies and evaluation
3 purposes, as well as the Utility sharing of data with
4 their own contractors.

5 The second use case is overly broad
6 and lacks the necessary specificity. The petition
7 does not explain what specific activities might fall
8 in that category nor how those activities would be
9 consistent with current or past practice and with
10 consumer privacy interests.

11 The data order creates a process by
12 which NYSERDA will publicly file its requests for
13 data. The Utilities will then respond by either
14 agreeing to fulfill their request and providing a
15 timeline or by objecting to their request if they
16 believe that it is not consistent with this order or
17 is overly burdensome. And, where the Utility
18 objects, Department Staff will determine whether the
19 request is consistent with this order.

20 The draft order also creates a process
21 for the development of a standard memorandum of
22 understanding between NYSERDA and the Utilities
23 governing these transfers of data, which will include
24 data security and privacy provisions. The Utilities
25 are required to approve the memorandum of

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 understanding consistent with past agreements between
3 the Utilities and NYSERDA.

4 Those past agreements include strong
5 data security protections, as well as cybersecurity
6 insurance requirements. The Utilities may propose
7 new additional protections with explanations for why
8 those new protections should be added. NYSERDA and
9 the Utilities will then negotiate a final agreement,
10 with Staff available to arbitrate if they are unable
11 to come to an agreement.

12 This order will allow NYSERDA to
13 conduct important evaluation activities, such as the
14 commercial baseline study, to ensure the State's
15 Clean Energy programs are successful.

16 NYSERDA's petition also requests that
17 the Commission modify the reporting requirements of 2
18 programs that have program periods that have ended,
19 the Systems Benefits Charge or SBC3 program and the
20 SBC4 program. These programs stopped accepting new
21 applicants a number of years ago. However, some of
22 the contracts signed under those programs, involved
23 performance payments over the life of a contract.

24 Therefore, payments from those
25 programs have continued and reporting has accordingly

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 continued.

3 At this point, both programs have very
4 few outstanding payments. Therefore, NYSERDA
5 requests that the remaining reporting be limited to a
6 final report for SBC3 and an annual reports for SBC4
7 followed by a final report from the SBC4 has
8 completed all payments.

9 The draft order grants -- grants the
10 request, with respect to SBC3, it directs an interim
11 final report this year, followed with an updated
12 final report when all payments are complete. With
13 respect to SBC4, it directs annual reports, followed
14 by a final report when all payments are complete.

15 That concludes my presentation and
16 Peggy, Bruce and I are available for questions.

17 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you Ted. It's
18 foundationally important that we ensure that the
19 programs that we approve do in fact work and
20 specifically this means being able to tell if we're
21 making a difference and that requires data.

22 I find this report assures that data
23 in a way that's careful and appropriate, which is
24 necessary given that we're talking about data and
25 therefore, about privacy and security. And, I also

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 find that the other items beyond the -- the larger
3 access to data question are -- are well handled. I'm
4 going to be in favor of this item.

5 Commissioner Sayre.

6 COMMISSIONER SAYRE: I'm pleased to
7 support this item. In general, I support the
8 proposition that if you can't measure something, you
9 can't improve it effectively. As Chair Rhodes said,
10 we have to keep improving these programs. Nobody can
11 expect them to be perfect from the outset. And, if
12 we're going to improve them, we have to measure them.

13 This item allows us through NYSERDA to
14 look at the success and less than success of our
15 Clean Energy programs. And, it provides, in my view,
16 as the Chair said, an appropriate level of protection
17 of the consumer data.

18 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Commissioner Burman.

19 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Thank you. I'm
20 going to be dissenting without prejudice for the --
21 for NYSERDA to refile. I do want to discuss my
22 rationale because I do think it's important for
23 thoughtful consideration, even if my dissent doesn't
24 convince others.

25 I am very pleased with the work that

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19
2 DPS Staff did to take what was a petition that had
3 far reaching implications and narrow it, though I
4 don't think it goes far enough. I do want to,
5 without prejudice though, because I do think a
6 careful evaluation of access to that data is
7 important and there may be an opportunity for, with
8 more information and more collaboration with other
9 stakeholders, we could -- I could feel comfortable
10 with that.

11 My concerns relate really to the --
12 several things. The first, I'd like to ask Ted, you
13 to explain the UER, the status of that and -- and how
14 this relates, or not, to this item.

15 MR. KELLY: Absolutely. So, the last
16 -- last year the Commission authorized the Utility
17 Energy Registry, which is being developed by NYSERDA
18 with the participation of the Utilities.

19 The Utility Energy Registry collects
20 aggregated customer usage data, generally aggregated
21 at the municipal level and by customer type;
22 residential, for example, commercial and provides
23 that -- and, will -- will provide that data in an
24 open website where anybody can search it, review it
25 and use it to plan -- do energy planning, do energy

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 targeting and so on.

3 The UER is currently under development
4 at NYSERDA. All of the Utilities have provided
5 NYSERDA with the necessary data to get the UER up and
6 running and my understanding is that currently
7 NYSERDA is open to queries by email, while they
8 currently finalize the open access website, which
9 they expect to have up and running, I believe, in the
10 second or third quarter of this year.

11 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Okay. So, my
12 concern relates, really, to this is a policy shift in
13 that we as a Commission, are looking at giving
14 potentially broad data access to non-participants of
15 NYSERDA. And, while we have done it and NYSERDA has
16 done it with Utilities in limited cases on a case by
17 case basis looking at the MOUs et cetera, as it
18 relates to now giving a 3 year MOU an approval, I am
19 concerned about that.

20 So, what I'd like to see, if NYSERDA
21 was going to be putting in a revised petition for
22 consideration, which is why my dissent will be
23 without prejudice, I would really like to see the MOU
24 template, specifically as to this because I do think
25 it will -- while it may mirror other MOUs that have

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 been done, I do think, to the extent that the
3 Commission itself would be able to drill down and
4 also have potential comments from stakeholders on
5 that MOU, I do think it would important and helpful,
6 especially as it is a broad MOU, to not one specific
7 study.

8 So, it may be important for us to have
9 some other caveats in there.

10 The second is, the -- the MOU itself,
11 is worked through only with, at this point, Staff and
12 the Utilities. Without -- while taking into
13 consideration some of what the Commission order says,
14 it does give wide latitude for that MOU or revisions
15 to that latitude, without Commission direct oversight
16 and that concerns me.

17 And, it's also being done without, in
18 this case, any external consumer advocates or other
19 stakeholders having weighed in except for C.P.A.
20 And, so I do appreciate Consumer Power Advocates'
21 comments, their concerns and I would imagine that
22 there would be other concerns as we go down the road.
23 Now, I do understand that the second part, the phase
24 2 I -- I guess in my mind it is, looking at it
25 outside of the first use cases, we would hope that

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 there would be more consumer engagement and advocacy.

3 Frankly, I do think it is incumbent
4 upon anyone who is submitting a petition that touches
5 upon and directly touches upon consumers, for that
6 petitioner to make sure that there is direct outreach
7 to consumer advocates or others who may be affected
8 by this and to seek out that and to let us know if
9 there is any support or opposition on that.

10 My other issue is really related to
11 I'd like to see -- I would have liked to see in the
12 petition the actual studies expected to be done.
13 That would give us and probably give other
14 stakeholders more information on exactly what is
15 planned. It's a 3 year plan. I think we should know
16 ahead of time what those studies are going to be or
17 expected to be in whole. There's a lot right now
18 that is being proposed potentially in the budget and
19 to the extent that some of the things that may or may
20 not come out of that, would I think would fall into
21 that and I'd like to make sure that the Commission,
22 itself, is fully engaged on that and it's not left to
23 another potential new or old entity to look at that
24 but rather this is under the Commission's umbrella,
25 so it's important for us to know exactly how those

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19
2 studies may be done and to the extent that NYSERDA is
3 engaging with any sister agencies, including ours in
4 those studies, we should know about that ahead of
5 time and that should be part of the revised petition
6 that explains that in more detail.

7 The other issue I have is I really
8 want to understand and the petition was lacking in
9 this, in how NYSERDA plans to keep confidential this
10 data. I do understand that they would be following
11 normal protocols in confidentiality and -- and
12 working through that. But, to the extent that
13 internally, what I'd like to see exactly what the
14 process is, especially even if they have normal
15 processes now but especially as it relates to this
16 new access to data that would be coming in. It
17 should really be limited only to those who are
18 participating in the gathering of information and the
19 analysis for the study and we should make sure that
20 there is clear guidance given internally in the fact
21 that this information cannot be taken, in any way,
22 and applied externally or internally for other uses
23 and so, that it really is the use for this study or
24 these studies that may be done.

25 That also goes to how it will be, in

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19
2 terms of being shared, potentially with other sister
3 agencies or any external consultants. And, while we
4 addressed it a little bit, really I think need to
5 make very clear that the access to this data is very
6 limited to helping in that analysis and not to be
7 used even inadvertently in others without some clear
8 guidance from the Commission.

9 For me, I would also like to see
10 specifically the petition, especially since the
11 current one was so broad in trying to capture it for
12 many uses. I'd like to see what the actual steps
13 will be to limit the need for this. There is, I
14 believe, a -- a focus on encouraging them to use it -
15 - to use UER before they go to this but I'd like to
16 see exactly what that process is; what that analysis
17 is intended. And, rather than encouraging -- UER is
18 the -- is -- is what should be utilized first and
19 foremost and any alternative need outside of that,
20 needs to really be looked at. But, my concern is,
21 we've spent a lot of time on the UER and it's also
22 something that is evolving and I'd like to make sure
23 that the UER process is one that evolves
24 appropriately and not -- this, in many ways, can be
25 seen as well, we don't need to do the UER because we

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 have access to that data from other means; doing a
3 study. And, that's why it's important for me to make
4 sure that we're linking the clear understanding of
5 what studies it would fall under, as well as looking
6 at what we're doing to the UER, if there needs to be
7 refinement as has been expected that that would be an
8 evolving process.

9 The UER discloses the information in
10 an aggregate fashion, if I'm correct and it's
11 publicly available and will, apparently in June, be
12 available via website for all. And, so that really
13 at that point, that give everyone the ability to see
14 the data and to have that information and it's a
15 fairness perspective that everyone has it, they can
16 look at it, they can understand where some of the --
17 the penetration issues are, they maybe can do some
18 targeting of the information in the aggregate fashion
19 but not specifically targeting specific customers.

20 My concern relates to, in this,
21 NYSERDA and any of its consultants or sister
22 agencies, they're the only ones that have this data
23 from the Utilities. And, therefore, they really sort
24 of have an ability to have more information than
25 those through the UER process. And, while we are

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19
2 very mindful that they should maybe not be using this
3 for anything other than the studies, to the extent
4 that it is important that this gets into exactly what
5 they can do with that information and the analysis of
6 that and that's missing in the petition.

7 So, for example, in the UER process,
8 everyone would have access to that information. They
9 may be able to see X County has certain number of
10 things. They can then target or market to the County
11 itself in that fashion. Here, it might be taken to
12 utilize this and to target; maybe not the specific
13 customers but to understand that this is going to X
14 County. We should target the entire County that way.
15 And, those are the types of things that I want to
16 make sure we have clear understanding from NYSERDA
17 and from the folks and the guidance on that and look
18 carefully at that and that any question, as it
19 relates to we're going to use this outside of that
20 study for X, really needs to be addressed by the
21 Commission itself rather than left for discussion
22 with Staff and the NYSERDA folks.

23 My other concern is the -- the order -
24 - the draft order really focuses very much on generic
25 legal language, legislation, et cetera to support

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19
2 doing this in generic sections of the law. The only
3 time we go beyond citing to the generic sections of
4 the law for our ability to do this, is when we talk
5 about the guidance document that is currently
6 existing. That guidance document, the most current
7 one, was not approved by the Commission as itself.
8 But, the guidance document was worked on with Staff
9 and the now defunct Clean Energy Advisory Council.

10 And, so for me when I look at this and
11 see that the guidance is going to be revised to
12 reflect that, while it kind of reflects -- will
13 reflect and should reflect what the order says, what
14 it does, is it opens up a conundrum, in that we are
15 not looking at the specific guidance language that
16 will actually be inserted and we are missing that
17 stakeholder outreach that was there, in some fashion,
18 in -- with the Clean Energy Advisory Council.

19 So, I just put that out as concern for
20 me because I do pause whenever I see a draft order
21 where we're really relying on just generic sections
22 of the law, rather than specifically. And in fact,
23 in the guidance document and in many of our orders,
24 we have focused very carefully on the protection of
25 access to customer data. So, this is really

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 something that gives me great pause.

3 The other issue I have is really
4 relating to the legacy reporting. So, I -- I think
5 that NYSERDA in bringing up an issue of the legacy
6 reporting and looking at it and saying that they --
7 they want some leeway in submitting this report
8 annually and semi-annually for the different reports,
9 my concern is that it again misses the mark. Yes, it
10 is important for us to have timely reporting. We can
11 discuss whether it's annually, semi-annually,
12 monthly, whatever it happens to be.

13 The issue really isn't, to me, the --
14 the -- the -- how many times someone reports. The
15 issue is that it's timely and that it's actually
16 comprehensible and usable for everyone. And, what
17 I'd like to see, which I've said before, what I
18 really would like to see, if more of a collaborative
19 effort on looking at the reporting structures that
20 come, looking at all of the different subsets of
21 information that come from other reporting that we
22 get and really work on trying to make sure that we're
23 giving timely, accurate, factual information in a way
24 that people can really grab onto, not just those, you
25 know, wonky folks or others who, you know, are

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19
2 looking at it day in and day out but really -- having
3 people really come away with a real understanding of
4 and usefulness to actually being able to read the
5 reports and decipher the reports, without having to
6 really struggle through that. It's something that
7 really is important to me and I think we really
8 should be working towards looking at -- at doing that
9 sooner, rather than later.

10 I do think that -- I do think that my
11 concerns really can be alleviated with more thought
12 and with some more input. I am very hopeful, that we
13 can get to a more, if not in this petition but in
14 others, where there is a lot more granular
15 information. There is really more specific
16 information that helps us to really understand it but
17 for me, it's lacking in the details. Some of these
18 studies, we may not even know what they're going to
19 because of the ongoing discussion on what may come
20 out through the legislative process. And therefore,
21 it's important for me that we take a pause and give
22 an indication to NYSERDA on what we're looking for
23 and also, get some more outreach from those
24 stakeholders who -- who may be interested in this and
25 also help us to formulate a better policy and being

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 very clear on the information is important and again,
3 how this doesn't conflict with the UER

4 And, I'd like to see in -- even in the
5 revised petition, an indication of how they plan to
6 utilize the U.E.R in a more robust way and how this
7 will not chill any of the ongoing activities, as it
8 relates to that and would help me feel more
9 comfortable.

10 But, right now, I feel that this is
11 not the right time for this. I would need to really
12 be much more careful because it is access to customer
13 data -- non-participant customer data in NYSERDA's
14 programs. So, that's my food for thought and that's
15 why I really fall on the dissenting without prejudice
16 to resubmit.

17 Thank you.

18 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you very
19 much. Commissioner Alesi?

20 COMMISSIONER ALESI: Thank you Mr.
21 Chairman. I think this is a reasonable request. I -
22 - I recognize NYSERDA as a legitimate entity in our
23 efforts towards clean energy and I think that with
24 strong data security, we're in a better position to
25 share things and to measure the things that we share

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 and use those measurements to make predictions that
3 will get us closer to our common goal of clean
4 energy.

5 So, I'll be voting yes.

6 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you very
7 much. With that, I'll proceed to call for a vote.
8 My own vote is in favor of the recommendation to
9 grant the petition, with modifications as discussed.

10 Commissioner Sayre, how do you vote?

11 COMMISSIONER SAYRE: Aye.

12 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Commissioner
13 Burman?

14 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: I dissent
15 without prejudice.

16 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Commissioner
17 Alesi?

18 COMMISSIONER ALESI: Aye.

19 CHAIRMAN RHODES: The item is
20 approved and the recommendation is adopted. We'll
21 now move to the second item for discussion, which is
22 Item 301, Case 18-E-0766, which is the Petition of
23 the New York Power Authority and the Port Authority
24 of New York and New Jersey for a Declaratory Ruling
25 presented again by Ted Kelly, Assistant Counsel.

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 Marco Padula, Acting Director of Markets and
3 Innovation, is available for questions. Ted, please
4 begin.

5 MR. KELLY: Thank you. This item is a
6 proposed Declaratory Ruling, regarding a petition
7 filed by the Port Authority of New York and New
8 Jersey and the New York Power Authority. The
9 petition relates to the Port Authority's interest in
10 building distributed solar generation at J.F.K.
11 Airport. NYPA joins the petition, as it will assist
12 the Port Authority in developing solar.

13 The Port Authority explains that, as
14 part of its clean energy goals, it is interested in
15 building a 5 megawatt solar photovoltaic system, as a
16 community distributed generation project. The CDG
17 project will be focused on serving low and moderate
18 income residents in the environmental areas
19 surrounding J.F.K. Airport. The CDG project would be
20 compensated under the value stack compensation
21 method, authorized by the Commission, in the value of
22 distributed energy resources proceeding.

23 The Port Authority is interested in
24 building 5 megawatts or more of additional solar,
25 besides the CDG project, to serve its own energy

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19
2 needs at J.F.K. This additional solar would be
3 separately interconnected from the CDG project and
4 would be designed only for self-supply, that is to
5 serve the energy usage by the various parts of J.F.K.
6 Airport, rather than to inject energy into the
7 utility system.

8 The Port Authority explains that Con
9 Edison has indicated that this arrangement would not
10 be permissible under current rules because Con Edison
11 believes it would violate the 5 megawatt rated
12 capacity cap. The 5 megawatt cap set by the
13 Commission, is an eligibility limit for value stack
14 compensation. Generation larger than 5 megawatts, is
15 not eligible for value stack compensation and
16 therefore, is also not eligible to be a community
17 distributed generation project.

18 To determine whether multiple
19 generation resources should be considered together
20 for purposes of the cap, the Commission established a
21 3 factor test. Projects receiving values stack
22 compensation are aggregated for the purpose of the
23 test, unless they are one, separately interconnected
24 and metered, two, operationally separate and three,
25 sited on different parcels of the land.

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 The Port Authority explains that Con
3 Edison has stated that it interprets the 3 factor
4 test as applying to all generation on a parcel, even
5 if only some of the generation is intended to receive
6 value stack compensation.

7 Therefore, it would apply this three
8 factor test to the 5 megawatt CDG project and the
9 additional self-supply generation. It would then
10 find that while the projects would be separately
11 interconnected and metered and operationally
12 separate, they would both be sited on the same
13 parcel, as J.F.K. is one -- is on one large parcel of
14 land and would therefore, fail the test. Thus, the 5
15 megawatt CDG project would not be eligible for value
16 stack compensation or to be a CDG project.

17 In its petition, the Port Authority
18 and NYPA argue that Con Edison is incorrectly
19 interpreting past Commission orders and its tariff
20 language. They argue that the 5 megawatt cap and the
21 3 factor test, should not be applied when only one
22 generator is intended for value stack compensation
23 and the other is intended solely for self-supply.

24 Consistent with the declaratory rule
25 and regulations, Con Edison received notice of the

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 petition and filed a response. Con Edison argues
3 that its original interpretation is correct and that
4 a contrary interpretation could allow large scale
5 generation projects to inappropriately receive value
6 stack compensation by sub-dividing their projects.

7 The proposed ruling finds that the
8 Port Authority and NYPA are correct and grants the
9 petition. It finds that the intent of the 5 megawatt
10 cap and the 3 factor test, are to ensure that a large
11 project is not split into multiple smaller projects
12 to receive value stack compensation, since large
13 project should appropriately go to the wholesale
14 market for compensation, rather than the value stack.

15 This also helps manage the potential
16 non-participant impacts of value stack compensation.
17 However, applying the cap where one -- where only one
18 project is designed to receive the value stack and
19 the other is designed for the self-supply of a large
20 energy user, is inconsistent with both the intent and
21 the language of the 5 megawatt cap and the 3 factor
22 test.

23 It would inappropriately limit the
24 ability of large customers to manage their own energy
25 usage. This -- in this case and in similar cases,

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 one large project is not being inappropriately
3 divided, rather this is a situation where multiple
4 smaller projects, with separate purposes, happen to
5 be on the same large parcel of land.

6 For these reasons, the draft ruling
7 grants the petition and finds that the rated capacity
8 of project seeking value stack or net metered
9 compensation, should be aggregated to determine
10 whether the rate of capacity limited and the 3 factor
11 test are satisfied. However, the rated capacity of
12 projects used solely for serving onsite load and not
13 seeking compensation under value stack or net
14 metering, should not be counted towards the rated
15 capacity limit nor should the 3 factor test be
16 applied in those cases. That concludes my
17 presentation and Marco and I are available for
18 questions.

19 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you Ted. I
20 find this ruling to be clear and helpful and narrow
21 clarification of our intent -- of the intent and of
22 the intended application of our language. I am going
23 to be in favor of this item. Commissioner Sayre.

24 COMMISSIONER SAYRE: This is a simple
25 but important interpretation of our 5 megawatt cap in

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 the value stack compensation process. We never --
3 well, I better say I never intended, through my vote
4 on the value stack, to exclude a small project from
5 value stack compensation, just because a large
6 customer has a bunch of other generation in the same
7 area that won't ever hit the network. It's intended
8 solely for self-supply.

9 This item takes care of that situation
10 clearly and simply. And, it's even more compelling
11 because the 5 megawatt project that will hit the
12 network, is Community D.G., that's intended to
13 benefit low and moderate income consumers. So, I'm
14 pleased to support the item.

15 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you.
16 Commissioner Burman.

17 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Thank you --
18 thank you Staff for your hard work on this.

19 Can you explain -- one of the concerns
20 I have is the wholesale market and whether this
21 intrudes upon the wholesale market that would be
22 going through the I.S.O. process, rather than here.
23 Can you explain that?

24 MR. KELLY: Yes. So, the reason that
25 a project, like this one or like in other project

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19
2 that could be built under this declaratory ruling,
3 would not be an inappropriate avoidance of the
4 wholesale market, is because the -- the primary
5 project is intended for self-supply and customers
6 always have the option of building large generation
7 for self-supply, even beyond the 5 megawatt cap,
8 without going to the wholesale -- without having to
9 interconnect or be involved with the wholesale
10 market. They can still be direct customers of a
11 distribution utility while having that large
12 generator for self-supply.

13 So, in this -- in -- in a case where
14 the generation besides value stack generation is only
15 for self-supply, the wholesale market wouldn't be
16 appropriate in -- in any case.

17 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: So, you see this
18 order as being limited only to the new --
19 interpretation that's different from Con Ed, in this
20 case, if it would not apply to the wholesale market?

21 MR. KELLY: Right. If a -- if a -- if
22 it were -- instead of self-supply, another injecting
23 -- a second large injecting project, that would
24 present a different scenario and that wouldn't be
25 covered by this ruling and the -- you know, they'd

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 have to -- if they -- it wouldn't be covered by this
3 ruling.

4 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: So, what if NYPA
5 and the Port Authority do something that would now,
6 if -- if not but for this ruling, included something
7 that then would make it a wholesale market situation.
8 How do we get around? How do we address that?

9 MR. KELLY: So, in that -- right. In
10 that case, when they -- they would have to submit --
11 for any project like this, they would have to submit
12 an interconnection application with Con Edison and,
13 you know, and because this -- this ruling on Con
14 Edison's tariff, only changes their interpretation
15 for a self-supply case. It's my expectation that if
16 there was a non-self-supply project, Con Edison would
17 reject the interconnection application or -- or,
18 potentially not reject the application but warn the
19 Port Authority that proceeding with it, would limit
20 their ability to receive value stack compensation.

21 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Okay. Thank
22 you. That's very helpful. And, to the extent that
23 NYPA has had ongoing focus on increasing its
24 jurisdictional reach, how do you see this item
25 applying to that issue?

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 MR. KELLY: Sure. So, this item is
3 really within NYPA's core existing jurisdiction, in
4 that it's supporting one of its own customers, as --
5 as Port Authority is a partial NYPA customer,
6 including for its -- partially for its J.F.K. load,
7 supporting one of its own customers building on site
8 energy management tools, including renewables, energy
9 efficiency and so on. And, that's really kind of a
10 core and fairly traditional part of NYPA's
11 jurisdiction.

12 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Okay. And, why
13 is NYPA working with the Port Authority, other than a
14 non-government market participant?

15 MR. KELLY: So, again it's because
16 it's their ability to support one of their own
17 customers in this case but there will also be non-
18 government businesses involved in this, as neither
19 NYPA nor the Port Authority will be the ones who
20 actually build the solar. They'll go out to solicit
21 private -- a private -- private businesses to put in
22 bids to build the solar.

23 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Okay. Now, NYPA
24 -- the Port Authority/NYPA is not the only -- Port
25 Authority is not just a NYPA customer. They also are

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 a Con Ed customer, if I'm correct, right?

3 MR. KELLY: That's the -- yeah, that's
4 my understanding, yes.

5 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Right. So, it
6 could be something where we could be looking at Con
7 Ed being involved, potentially, as it relates to --?

8 MR. KELLY: Certainly they'd be
9 involved with the interconnection process, as -- as
10 the local utility always will be for a project like
11 this. You know, building the solar, that's not
12 within Con Ed's business either.

13 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Okay. It's food
14 for thought. NYPA and the Port Authority boards,
15 what's their approval process on this and the actual
16 projects that they would be doing?

17 MR. KELLY: So, I'm not specifically
18 familiar with their regulations. My understanding is
19 that generally boards approve capital plans and
20 capital projects and I -- I would expect that this
21 would, certainly for the Port Authority, be a capital
22 project. So, it would likely go before the board for
23 that reason but I'm not -- I'm not very specifically
24 familiar with the regulations, so I can't speak to
25 the exact details.

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Okay. And, how
3 do we follow-up with the C.D. -- CDG portion of it?
4 Obviously, that's something that, as a Commission as
5 a whole, we've been very focused on. How do we make
6 sure that that actually -- this intended item to help
7 spur that, actually happens and what's the follow-up
8 to make sure that we're looking at the customers
9 benefiting from it, rather than just NYPA and the
10 Port Authority?

11 MR. KELLY: So, we're in regular and
12 close contact with the interconnection teams at the
13 various utilities and -- and the distributed
14 generation teams at those utilities. So, I expect
15 that through our continued contact with Con Ed's
16 interconnection team, we'll keep an eye out for when
17 these projects file their formal interconnection
18 applications and then we'll keep an eye on them
19 through that to ensure that they're, you know, acting
20 in compliance with expectations and Commission --
21 and, what the Commission says.

22 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Okay. So, I
23 struggled with this item because for me, I do -- did
24 at first blush and continued to understand Con Ed's
25 interpretation and I do look to -- do look carefully

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19
2 at the fact that the Utility, itself, interpreted it
3 this way and is important in looking at that. I do
4 understand that there may be other interpretations
5 and so, it's not that I see Con Ed right and the
6 Staff wrong or the Staff right and Con Ed wrong. I
7 do see that there's potential interpretation issue
8 that needs to be resolved and obviously, the
9 Commission is the one to resolve that.

10 The pause I have in that, is that I'm
11 not fully convinced that this was the original
12 interpretation or originally thought of as an issue.
13 I can't really find anywhere where this potentially
14 unique situation came up and was thought through.
15 So, to the extent that we have the 3 factor test and
16 that first blush, seems like they, you know, don't
17 need it for doing it this way. I would agree that
18 Con Ed was right to see that interpretation the way
19 they did, though I could also see interpreting it
20 differently, potentially.

21 The pause I have is, and I've -- I've
22 raised this issue before in other declaratory
23 rulings, is when we get a declaratory ruling
24 petition, it generally is not and it does not have to
25 be SAPA'd and it generally is not and does not have

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19
2 to be issued by the Secretary for Comments. However,
3 this really affects not just NYPA and the Port
4 Authority and its project. It affects -- potentially
5 it has Statewide implication, even to the effect that
6 we have an ongoing value stack proceeding, where
7 we're looking at potentially tweaks to it or looking
8 at what -- what folks are seeing and how it -- it
9 works. For me, I am concerned that, especially
10 because this petition was filed in December with a
11 very short window, that folks may not have had an
12 opportunity to -- or saw a need to weigh in on
13 something that was a single project with NYPA and the
14 Port Authority and then Con Ed's territory.

15 So -- but, it may have actually great
16 value to see and actually the interpretation from the
17 Statewide implications, may actually have great
18 support for Staff and NYPA and the Port Authority or
19 it may not. Without that information, I'm left
20 concerned that I don't have the full information or I
21 was not giving enough attention to others who
22 potentially could weigh in. I do think that we need
23 to look at that.

24 I do understand that we can do it but
25 it doesn't mean we should do it that way. I'm going

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19
2 to vote no on this item because I think that
3 threshold issue is -- is important enough for us to
4 take into consideration and also because I'm not
5 fully convinced that Con Ed's interpretation is
6 wrong, without more information from others who may
7 weigh in.

8 With that being said, I do think that
9 Staff did a good job and I do really want to focus on
10 the result outcome from this and also to see that we
11 -- we may need to look to teeing it up on a Statewide
12 implication, to see if this is something that is
13 concerning or not for others. And, I think that
14 would be important for us to -- to do that. So,
15 thank you.

16 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you very
17 much. Commissioner Alesi?

18 COMMISSIONER ALESI: Thank you Mr.
19 Chairman. I'm going to support this. It is a unique
20 situation, at least as we're seeing this for the --
21 the first time. But, I think from my viewpoint, the
22 separate generation or at least the end goal, is to
23 provide self-supply on the one hand and community
24 generation on the other hand. And, those are clearly
25 separated, in my viewpoint at least as far what the

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19
2 request is. And, I can't overlook the benefit of
3 taking advantage of using the solar aspect, to
4 provide more clean energy down there and it's a
5 reliable energy that I think will help the entire
6 operation. So, I'm going to support it. It is
7 unique but I'll support it and maybe see more of this
8 down the road.

9 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you very
10 much. So, with that, I will proceed to call for a
11 vote. My own vote is in favor of the recommendation
12 to grant the petition, as discussed. Commissioner
13 Sayre, how do you vote?

14 COMMISSIONER SAYRE: Aye.

15 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Commissioner
16 Burman?

17 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: No.

18 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Commissioner
19 Alesi?

20 COMMISSIONER ALESI: Aye.

21 CHAIRMAN RHODES: The item is
22 approved and the recommendation is adopted. We will
23 move to the third item for discussion. Item 302,
24 Case 18-E-0503, which is the petition of Utica Center
25 for Development, Inc., for a waiver to allow master

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19
2 metering, presented by Craig Carroll, Utility Analyst
3 to Office of Consumer Services. Bruce Alch, Chief
4 Retail Access and Economic Development and Steve
5 Kramer, Assistant Counsel are available for
6 questions. Craig, please begin.

7 MR. CARROLL: Good morning Chair
8 Rhodes and Commissioners. The item before you is a
9 petition for Waiver of the Individual Metering
10 Requirements found in Opinion Number 76-17 and 16
11 NYCRR Part 96. Generally, similar petitions are
12 listed as consent items on the Commission's agenda.
13 From time to time however, an item as unique or has
14 received notable public interest, may be presented,
15 here the Commission will act on a waiver request to
16 its regulations that will help allow the owner to
17 facilitate many services to Veterans.

18 The Commission's rules and regulations
19 require that units in new or renovated multi-family
20 residential buildings, be equipped with its own
21 meter, in order to promote energy conservation by
22 providing the residents with a price signal, except
23 where buildings meet the definition of assisted
24 living facility or senior living facility, as
25 provided in Part 96. These types of buildings may

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 still be master metered.

3 The owner in this case, Utica Center
4 for Development, Incorporated, is renovating the
5 upper two floors of its Veteran Outreach Center to
6 create 18 residential units for homeless Veterans or
7 for Veterans with their -- and their families. Utica
8 Center requests a waiver of the individual metering
9 requirements, described above, to better serve this
10 resident population.

11 The owner states that the building is
12 designed for -- designed to provide services to
13 Veterans that encourage self-reliance, including case
14 management, assistance with V.A. benefits, assistance
15 for employment, legal aid, food and other supportive
16 services. The owner also explains that residents
17 will be transient and that the living arrangements
18 are otherwise distinguishable from a typical
19 apartment where the Commission's policies would
20 otherwise require individual metering.

21 In light of these circumstances,
22 installation of individual meters, either direct or
23 through sub-metering, will not advance the
24 Commission's policies that new living units be
25 individually metered and in this case, would

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 otherwise reduce the resources available to Utica
3 Center to assist homeless veterans in obtaining
4 shelter and critically needed services.

5 Staff has verified the owner's claims
6 and recommends that the petition be approved. That
7 concludes my presentation and we are available for
8 questions.

9 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you Craig.
10 I'd -- this is a -- a thoughtful and sensible and
11 helpful decision. I know we issue this kind of order
12 regularly and that we don't always present this and
13 discuss it. So, I welcome both the general fact that
14 this gives us a little glimpse into the kind of work
15 that goes on at the Department and at that Staff on a
16 regular basis. And, I also welcome the specifics of
17 this recommended order and I'm going to be voting in
18 favor of it. Commissioner Sayre.

19 COMMISSIONER SAYRE: This is a great
20 example of why it's important for the Commission to
21 keep its eye on overall policy goals and objectives
22 and be prepared to waive restrictive regulatory
23 requirements where they simply don't make sense.
24 This particular waiver request is necessary to
25 provide benefits to homeless veterans and their

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 families and I enthusiastically support it.

3 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you.

4 Commissioner Burman.

5 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Thank you. I am
6 going to be voting for it. I think it's a really
7 important item. I'm glad that it's highlighted. The
8 only questions that I have are really -- and -- and,
9 I -- I do want to comment the order itself states
10 that the waiver is expressly conditioned on the
11 continued use of the building as a residence for the
12 provision of transitional housing for homeless
13 veterans and the waiver shall be terminated if the
14 building is put to a different use, which is
15 important because it makes sure that that continues.

16 Since the first Opinion 76-17, where
17 the Commission determined that individual metering
18 requirements could be waived under certain
19 circumstances and we have, since that time, had
20 several of these -- a fair number of them, whether
21 it's homeless residence for veterans or college
22 dormitory and -- and a couple of other things for
23 those folks -- facilities for the Department of
24 Health, the question I have is, how many of them and
25 how many of those -- and, this isn't for now. I

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 don't know that you'd know it, how many of those have
3 remained in the status based on the waiver and the
4 ones that have not remained in that status, have we
5 dealt with terminating the waiver?

6 MR. ALCH: Off the top of my -- my
7 head, we'd have to check --

8 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Right.

9 MR. ALCH: -- but, to my recollection,
10 we've never really had any of those convert. It's a
11 lot of assisted living facilities, nursing home type
12 operations and they continue.

13 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Right. Right.
14 That -- that's my impression too. So, I only
15 highlight it because it does show some of the
16 longevity of these and I think it's important to
17 highlight that, as well. But, it is also a -- a note
18 to remind folks that the waiver is contingent upon
19 them remaining as such. So, I think it is important
20 for us to focus on that.

21 MR. ALCH: So, because of that
22 requirement, we're engaging with the Utility as a
23 partner, so they would keep us --

24 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Right.

25 MR. ALCH: -- you know, we expect that

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 they would alert us to the first instance that --

3 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: That's great.

4 MR. ALCH: -- there's a conversion.

5 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: So, thank you.

6 That -- that really makes it sufficient for me and
7 important. So, I -- I think -- I'm very supportive
8 of the item, so thank you.

9 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you.

10 Commissioner Alesi.

11 COMMISSIONER ALESI: Thank you Mr.
12 Chairman. I'm going to support this. I think, first
13 of all, it's a noble effort to help out homeless
14 veterans but to require individual metering, to me
15 seems impractical at the very least because the
16 nature of this population, people who have served our
17 country, is that they're -- they're transient. So,
18 to have individual meters in all of the units, I
19 think just doesn't make any sense. This give us an
20 opportunity to help people that are -- indirectly, to
21 help those that are helping others, so I'm going to
22 support it.

23 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you very much.

24 And, with that, we will proceed to vote. I will
25 proceed to call for a vote. My -- my vote is in

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 favor of the recommendation to grant the waiver, as
3 discussed. Commissioner Sayer, how do you vote?

4 COMMISSIONER SAYER: Aye.

5 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Commissioner Burman?

6 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Aye.

7 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Commissioner Alesi?

8 COMMISSIONER ALESI: Aye.

9 CHAIRMAN RHODES: The item is approved
10 and the recommendation is adopted. We will now move
11 to the consent agenda. Do any Commissioners wish to
12 comment on or recuse from voting on any items on the
13 consent agenda? Mr. Sayer?

14 COMMISSIONER SAYER: No.

15 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Commissioner Burman?

16 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: I will be -- I
17 have 4 items that I'll be voting differently than the
18 consent agenda. Item 164, I will be concurring.
19 Item -- let me just make sure I have the right
20 number, Item 261, I'll be voting no consistent with
21 my voting record, Item 371, I'll be concurring and
22 Item 561, for the 5th time, no.

23 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you very much.

24 So, my vote is in favor of the recommendations on the
25 consent agenda. Commissioner Sayer, how do you vote?

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 COMMISSIONER SAYER: Aye.

3 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Commissioner Burman,
4 with those annotations, I suppose, how do you vote?

5 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Yes.

6 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Commissioner Alesi,
7 how do you vote?

8 COMMISSIONER ALESI: Aye.

9 CHAIRMAN RHODES: The items are
10 approved and the recommendations are adopted.
11 Assistant Secretary Aissi, is there anything further
12 to come before us today?

13 MS. AISSI: There is nothing further
14 to come before you today and the next session of the
15 Commission will be held on February 7th in Albany.

16 CHAIRMAN RHODES: Thank you very much.
17 So, before we adjourn, for everybody who's paying
18 attention to this session, a reminder, we've got a
19 storm coming. We've got a lot of snow or a nasty
20 wintry mix and then we've got a very deep freeze. Be
21 careful and be prepared. Thank you very much.

22

23

24

25

1 Monthly Meeting - 1-17-19

2 STATE OF NEW YORK

3 I, JANET AXTON, do hereby certify that the foregoing was
4 reported by me, in the cause, at the time and place, as
5 stated in the caption hereto, at Page 1 hereof; that the
6 foregoing typewritten transcription consisting of pages 1
7 through 47, is a true record of all proceedings had at the
8 hearing.

9 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
10 subscribed my name, this the 25th day of January, 2019.

11
12
13 JANET AXTON, Reporter
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A	
a.m 1:13 2:2	43:4
ability 16:13,24 18:4 26:24 30:20 31:16	alert 43:2
able 8:20 12:3 17:9 20:4	Alesi 1:24 21:19,20 22:17,18 36:17,18 37:19,20 43:10,11 44:7,8 45:6,8
Absolutely 10:15	alleviated 20:11
accepting 7:20	allow 7:12 26:4 37:25 38:16
access 2:17,24 9:3 10:6 11:8,14 14:16 15:5 16:2 17:8 18:25 21:12 38:4	allows 9:13
accurate 19:23	alternative 15:19
acquisition 4:10	analysis 14:19 15:6,16 17:5
act 38:15	Analyst 38:2
acting 4:13 23:2 33:19	annotations 45:4
activities 3:8 5:11,19 6:7,8 7:13 21:7	annual 8:6,13
actual 13:12 15:12 32:15	annually 19:8,11
added 7:8	anybody 10:24
addition 4:15,22	apartment 39:19
additional 7:7 23:24 24:2 25:9	apparently 16:11
address 30:8	applicants 7:21
addressed 15:4 17:20	application 27:22 30:12,17,18
adjourn 45:17	applications 33:18
adopted 22:20 37:22 44:10 45:10	applied 14:22 25:21 27:16
advance 39:23	apply 25:7 29:20
advantage 37:3	applying 25:4 26:17 30:25
Advisory 18:9,18	appreciate 12:20
advocacy 13:2	appropriate 5:15 8:23 9:16 29:16
advocates 12:18,20 13:7	appropriately 15:24 26:13
agencies 14:3 15:3 16:22	approval 11:18 32:15
Agency 1:14	approve 6:25 8:19 32:19
agenda 2:6,8 38:12 44:11,13,18 44:25	approved 18:7 22:20 37:22 40:6 44:9 45:10
aggregate 16:10,18	arbitrate 7:10
aggregated 4:16 10:20,20 24:22 27:9	area 28:7
ago 7:21	areas 23:18
agree 34:17	argue 25:18,20
agreeing 6:14	argues 26:2
agreement 7:9,11	arrangement 24:9
agreements 4:23 7:2,4	arrangements 39:17
ahead 13:16 14:4	aspect 37:3
aid 39:15	assess 5:7
aim 4:7	assist 23:11 40:3
Airport 23:11,19 24:6	assistance 39:14,14
Aissi 2:5,7 45:11,13	Assistant 2:5,15 22:25 38:5 45:11
al 2:12	assisted 38:23 42:11
Albany 1:15 45:15	assures 8:22
Alch 2:16 38:3 42:6,9,21,25	attention 35:21 45:18
	authority 2:14,23 3:2 22:23,23 23:7,8,12,13,23 24:8 25:2,17 26:8 30:5,19 31:5,13,19,25

<p>32:14,21 33:10 35:4,14,18 Authority/NYPA 31:24 Authority's 3:6 23:9 authorization 3:9 5:3 authorize 5:5 authorized 10:16 23:21 authorizing 3:5 available 2:17 7:10 8:16 16:11 16:12 23:3 27:17 38:5 40:2,7 avoidance 29:3 AXTON 46:3,13 Aye 22:11,18 37:14,20 44:4,6,8 45:2,8</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">B</p> <hr/> <p>B 1:23 based 42:3 baseline 3:23 4:3,5,11,18 5:9 7:14 Baselines 3:23 basis 11:17 40:16 believe 6:16 11:9 15:14 believes 24:11 benefit 28:13 37:2 benefiting 33:9 benefits 7:19 39:14 40:25 better 20:25 21:24 28:3 39:9 beyond 9:2 18:3 29:7 bids 31:22 bit 15:4 blush 33:24 34:16 board 32:22 boards 32:14,19 bringing 19:5 broad 6:5 11:14 12:6 15:11 Bruce 2:16 8:16 38:3 budget 13:18 build 31:20,22 building 1:14 23:10,15,24 29:6 31:7 32:11 39:11 41:11,14 buildings 38:20,23,25 built 29:2 bunch 28:6 burdensome 6:17 Burman 1:24 9:18,19 11:11 22:13 22:14 28:16,17 29:17 30:4,21 31:12,23 32:5,13 33:2,22 37:16,17 41:4,5 42:8,13,24 43:3,5 44:5,6,15,16 45:3,5 business 32:12</p>	<p>businesses 31:18,21</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <hr/> <p>C 1:23 C.D 33:3 C.P.A 12:19 call 2:4 22:7 37:10 43:25 can't 9:8,9 32:24 34:13 37:2 cap 24:12,12,20 25:20 26:10,17 26:21 27:25 29:7 capacity 24:12 27:7,10,11,15 capital 32:19,20,21 caption 46:5 capture 15:11 care 28:9 careful 8:23 10:6 21:12 45:21 carefully 17:18 18:24 33:25 Carroll 38:2,7 case 5:18,20,22 6:5 11:16,17 12:18 22:22 26:25 29:13,16,20 30:10,15 31:17 37:24 39:3,13 39:25 cases 2:11 3:12 5:6 11:16 12:25 26:25 27:16 category 6:8 cause 46:4 caveats 12:9 CDG 23:16,19,25 24:3 25:8,15,16 33:3 CEF 5:20 Center 37:24 39:3,5,8 40:3 certain 3:25 17:9 41:18 certainly 32:8,21 certify 46:3 cetera 11:17 17:25 Chair 1:23 2:20 9:9,16 38:7 Chairman 2:3,9 8:17 9:18 21:18 21:21 22:6,12,16,19 27:19 28:15 36:16,19 37:9,15,18,21 40:9 41:3 43:9,12,23 44:5,7,9 44:15,23 45:3,6,9,16 changes 2:6,8 30:14 characterization 5:9 Charge 7:19 check 42:7 Chief 2:16 38:3 chill 21:7 circumstances 39:21 41:19 citing 18:3 claims 40:5</p>
--	---

<p>clarification 27:21 clean 2:16 3:4,10,16,18,25 4:6 4:7 5:7,12 7:15 9:15 18:9,18 21:23 22:3 23:14 37:4 clear 14:20 15:5,7 16:4 17:16 21:2 27:20 clearly 28:10 36:24 close 33:12 closer 22:3 collaboration 10:8 collaborative 19:18 collected 3:12 college 41:21 collets 10:19 come 7:11 13:20 19:20,21 20:3 20:19 45:12,14 comfortable 10:9 21:9 coming 14:16 45:19 comment 41:9 44:12 comments 12:4,21 35:2 commercial 7:14 10:22 Commission 1:2,9 2:4 3:7 4:20 5:3,5,14,25 7:17 10:16 11:13 12:3,13,15 13:21 15:8 17:21 18:7 23:21 24:13,20 25:19 33:4,20,21 34:9 38:15 40:20 41:17 45:15 Commission's 13:24 38:12,18 39:19,24 Commissioner 9:5,6,18,19 11:11 21:19,20 22:10,11,12,14,16,18 27:23,24 28:16,17 29:17 30:4 30:21 31:12,23 32:5,13 33:2 33:22 36:17,18 37:12,14,15,17 37:18,20 40:18,19 41:4,5 42:8 42:13,24 43:3,5,10,11 44:3,4 44:5,6,7,8,14,15,16,25 45:2,3 45:5,6,8 Commissioners 1:22 2:20 38:8 44:11 common 22:3 community 23:16 24:16 28:12 36:23 comparison 4:3 compelling 28:10 compensated 23:20 compensation 23:20 24:14,15,22 25:6,16,22 26:6,12,14,16 27:9 27:13 28:2,5 30:20 complete 8:12,14</p>	<p>completed 8:8 compliance 4:19 33:20 comprehensible 19:16 Con 24:8,10 25:2,18,25 26:2 29:19 30:12,13,16 32:2,6,12 33:15,24 34:5,6,18 35:14 36:5 concern 11:12 15:20 16:20 17:23 18:19 19:9 concerned 11:19 35:9,20 concerning 36:13 concerns 10:11 12:16,21,22 20:11 28:19 concludes 8:15 27:16 40:7 concurring 44:18,21 conditioned 41:10 conduct 3:3,23 7:13 conducted 3:10 4:19 conducting 5:18 confidential 14:9 confidentiality 14:11 conflict 21:3 consent 38:12 44:11,13,18,25 conservation 38:21 consideration 9:23 11:22 12:13 36:4 considered 24:19 consistent 5:22,25 6:9,16,19 7:2 25:24 44:20 consisting 46:6 consultants 15:3 16:21 consumer 6:10 9:17 12:18,20 13:2,7 38:3 consumers 13:5 28:13 contact 33:12,15 contingent 42:18 continue 4:25 42:12 continued 7:25 8:2 33:15,24 41:11 continues 41:15 contract 7:23 contractors 6:4 contracts 7:22 contrary 26:4 control 4:14 conundrum 18:14 conversion 43:4 convert 42:10 convince 9:24 convinced 34:11 36:5 core 31:3,10</p>
---	---

correct 16:10 26:3,8 32:2
Council 18:9,18
Counsel 2:15 22:25 38:5
counted 27:14
country 43:17
County 17:9,10,14,14
couple 41:22
covered 29:25 30:2
Craig 38:2,6 40:9
create 5:14 39:6
creates 6:11,20
critically 40:4
current 3:24 6:9 15:11 18:6
 24:10
currently 11:3,6,8 18:5
customer 3:14 4:16 10:20,21
 18:25 21:12,13 28:6 31:5,25
 32:2
customers 3:16,18 16:19 17:13
 26:24 29:5,10 31:4,7,17 33:8
cybersecurity 7:5

D

D.G 28:12
data 2:14,24 3:12,15,22,24 4:12
 4:12,16,16,17,21,23 5:2,6,14
 5:15 6:2,3,11,13,23,24 7:5
 8:21,22,24 9:3,17 10:6,20,23
 11:5,14 14:10,16 15:5 16:2,14
 16:22 18:25 21:13,13,24
day 20:2,2 46:10
dealt 42:5
December 35:10
decipher 20:5
decision 40:11
declaratory 22:24 23:6 25:24
 29:2 34:22,23
deep 45:20
definition 38:23
defunct 18:9
denies 5:19
Department 6:18 40:15 41:23
deployment 4:8
Deputy 2:15
described 39:9
designed 24:4 26:18,19 39:12,12
detail 14:6
details 20:17 32:25
determine 4:2 6:18 24:18 27:9
determined 41:17

determining 5:15
Develop 2:22
developed 10:17
developing 23:12
development 2:13,17,23 3:2 4:8
 6:21 11:3 37:25 38:4 39:4
DIANE 1:24
difference 8:21
different 19:8,20 24:25 29:19
 29:24 41:14
differently 34:20 44:17
direct 12:15 13:6 29:10 39:22
directed 3:8
direction 4:20
directly 13:5
Director 2:16 23:2
directs 8:10,13
discloses 16:9
discuss 9:21 19:11 40:13
discussed 22:9 37:12 44:3
discussion 2:11 17:21 20:19
 22:21 37:23
dissent 9:23 11:22 22:14
dissenting 9:20 21:15
distinguishable 39:18
distributed 23:10,16,22 24:17
 33:13
distribution 29:11
divided 27:3
document 18:5,6,8,23
doesn't 9:23 21:3 35:25 43:19
doing 5:23 16:2,6 18:2 20:8
 32:16 34:17
don't 10:4 15:25 34:16 35:20
 40:12,23 42:2
dormitory 41:22
DPS 10:2
draft 5:16 6:20 8:9 17:24 18:20
 27:6
drill 12:3

E

Economic 2:17 38:4
Ed 29:19 32:2,7 34:5,6,18
Ed's 32:12 33:15,24 35:14 36:5
Edison 24:9,10 25:3,18,25 26:2
 30:12,16
Edison's 30:14
effect 35:5
effectively 9:9

effectiveness 5:7
efficiency 31:9
effort 19:19 43:13
efforts 21:23
either 6:13 32:12 39:22
electric 3:13
eligibility 24:13
eligible 24:15,16 25:15
email 11:7
Empire 1:14
employment 39:15
encourage 39:13
encouraging 15:14,17
ended 7:18
energy 2:16,22 3:2,4,6,10,16,19
 3:24,25 4:2,6,8 5:7,12 7:15
 9:15 10:17,19,25,25 18:9,18
 21:23 22:4 23:14,22,25 24:5,6
 26:20,24 31:8,8 37:4,5 38:21
engaged 13:22
engagement 13:2
engaging 14:3 42:22
ensure 7:14 8:18 26:10 33:19
enthusiastically 41:2
entire 17:14 37:5
entity 13:23 21:22
environmental 23:18
equipped 38:20
especially 4:6 12:6 14:14,15
 15:10 35:9
essentially 4:13
established 24:20
et 2:12 11:17 17:25
evaluation 5:10,18 6:2 7:13
 10:6
evaluations 3:3
everybody 45:17
evolves 15:23
evolving 15:22 16:8
exact 32:25
exactly 13:14,25 14:13 15:16
 17:4
example 3:21 10:22 17:7 40:20
exclude 28:4
existing 18:6 31:3
expect 9:11 11:9 32:20 33:14
 42:25
expectation 30:15
expectations 33:20
expected 13:12,17 16:7

explain 6:7 10:13 28:19,23
explains 14:6 23:13 24:8 25:2
 39:16
explanations 7:7
expressed 4:25
expressly 41:10
extent 12:2 13:19 14:2,12 17:3
 30:22 34:15
external 12:18 15:3
externally 14:22
eye 33:16,18 40:21

F

facilitate 38:17
facilities 41:23 42:11
facility 38:24,24
fact 8:19 14:20 18:22 34:2
 40:13
factor 24:21 25:3,8,21 26:10,21
 27:10,15 34:15
factual 19:23
fail 25:14
fair 41:20
fairly 31:10
fairness 16:15
fall 6:7 13:20 16:5 21:15
familiar 32:18,24
families 39:7 41:2
far 10:3,4 36:25
fashion 16:10,18 17:11 18:17
favor 9:4 22:8 27:23 37:11
 40:18 44:2,24
February 45:15
feel 10:9 21:8,10
file 6:12 33:17
filed 2:12,21 5:4 23:7 26:2
 35:10
final 2:6 7:9 8:6,7,11,12,14
finalize 11:8
find 8:22 9:2 25:10 27:20 34:13
finds 26:7,9 27:7
first 2:10 5:6,17 10:12 12:25
 15:18 33:24 34:16 36:21 41:16
 43:2,12
fist 5:22
Floor 1:14
floors 39:5
focus 15:14 30:23 36:9 42:20
focused 18:24 23:17 33:5
focuses 17:24

folks 17:17,22 19:25 35:8,11
41:23 42:18
follow-up 33:3,7
followed 8:7,11,13
following 14:10
food 21:14 32:13 39:15
foregoing 46:3,6
foremost 15:19
formal 33:17
formulate 20:25
found 38:10
foundationally 8:18
Frankly 13:3
freeze 45:20
fulfill 6:14
full 35:20
fully 13:22 34:11 36:5
fund 3:10 4:7 5:12
further 45:11,13
Furthermore 3:7

G

gas 3:13
gathering 14:18
general 9:7 40:13
generally 10:20 32:19 34:24,25
38:11
generation 23:10,16 24:14,17,19
25:4,5,9 26:5 28:6 29:6,14,14
33:14 36:22,24
generator 25:22 29:12
generic 17:24 18:2,3,21
give 12:14 13:13,13 16:13 20:21
43:19
given 8:24 14:20
gives 19:2 40:14
giving 11:13,18 19:23 35:21
glad 41:7
glimpse 40:14
go 12:22 15:15 18:3 26:13 31:20
32:22
goal 22:3 36:22
goals 23:14 40:21
goes 10:4 14:25 40:15
going 9:4,12,20 11:21 13:16
17:13,19 18:11 20:18 27:22
28:22 29:8 35:25 36:19 37:6
40:17 41:6 43:12,21
good 2:7,19 36:9 38:7
governing 6:23

government 31:18
grab 19:24
grant 22:9 37:12 44:2
grants 5:16 8:9,9 26:8 27:7
granular 4:16 20:14
great 19:2 35:15,17 40:19 43:3
GREGG 1:23
group 4:14
guess 12:24
guidance 4:19,21 5:3 14:20 15:8
17:17 18:5,6,8,11,15,23

H

hand 36:23,24
handled 9:3
happen 27:4
happens 19:12 33:7
hard 28:18
head 42:7
Health 41:24
hearing 46:8
held 45:15
help 20:25 21:8 33:6 37:5 38:16
43:13,20,21
helpful 12:5 27:20 30:22 40:11
helping 15:6 43:21
helps 20:16 26:15
hereof 46:5
hereto 46:5
hereunto 46:9
highlight 42:15,17
highlighted 41:7
history 3:11
hit 28:7,11
home 42:11
homeless 39:6 40:3,25 41:12,21
43:13
hope 12:25
hopeful 20:12
housing 41:12

I

I.S.O 28:22
I'd 10:12 11:20 13:11,21 14:13
15:12,15,22 19:17 21:4 40:10
I'll 22:5,7 37:7 44:17,20,21
I'm 9:3,6,19 16:10 28:13 32:2
32:17,23,23 34:10 35:19,25
36:4,19 37:6 40:17 41:7 43:7
43:12,21

I've 19:17 34:21,21
imagine 12:21
impacts 26:16
implementation 5:11,20
implication 35:5 36:12
implications 10:3 35:17
important 4:6 7:13 8:18 9:22
 10:7 12:5,8 13:25 16:3 17:4
 19:10 20:7,21 21:2 27:25 34:3
 36:3,14 40:20 41:7,15 42:16
 42:19 43:7
impractical 43:15
impression 42:14
improve 9:9,12
improving 9:10
inadvertently 15:7
inappropriate 29:3
inappropriately 26:5,23 27:2
include 6:23 7:4
included 30:6
including 3:9,24 5:8 14:3 31:6
 31:8 39:13
income 23:18 28:13
inconsistent 26:20
Incorporated 39:4
incorrectly 25:18
increase 4:7
increasing 30:23
incumbent 13:3
indicated 24:9
indication 20:22 21:5
indirectly 43:20
individual 38:9 39:8,20,22
 41:17 43:14,18
individualized 4:17
individually 39:25
information 10:8 13:14 14:18,21
 16:9,14,18,24 17:5,8 19:21,23
 20:15,16 21:2 35:19,20 36:6
initiatives 3:4 4:7
inject 24:6
injecting 29:22,23
Innovation 23:3
input 20:12
inserted 18:16
installation 39:22
instance 43:2
insurance 7:6
intended 15:17 25:5,22,23 27:22
 28:3,7,12 29:5 33:6

intent 26:9,20 27:21,21
interconnect 29:9
interconnected 24:3,23 25:11
interconnection 30:12,17 32:9
 33:12,16,17
interest 23:9 38:14
interested 20:24 23:14,23
interests 6:10
interim 8:10
internally 14:13,20,22
interpretation 26:3,4 27:25
 29:19 30:14 33:25 34:7,12,18
 35:16 36:5
interpretations 34:4
interpreted 34:2
interpreting 25:19 34:19
interprets 25:3
intrudes 28:21
involved 7:22 29:9 31:18 32:7,9
isn't 19:13 41:25
issue 13:10 14:7 19:3,5,13,15
 30:25 34:7,12,22 36:3 40:11
issued 4:19 35:2
issues 16:17
it's 8:17 9:22 12:17 13:15,22
 13:25 15:21 16:3,10,14 19:11
 19:15,15 20:6,17,21 28:7,10
 30:15 31:4,15,16 32:13 34:5
 37:4 40:20 41:6,7,21 42:10,16
 43:13
item 2:11,11,20 9:4,7,13 10:14
 22:19,21,22 23:5 27:23 28:9
 28:14 30:24 31:2 33:6,23 36:2
 37:21,23,23 38:8,13 41:7 43:8
 44:9,18,19,20,21,22
items 9:2 38:12 44:12,17 45:9

J

J.F.K 23:10,19 24:2,5 25:13
 31:6
JAMES 1:24
JANET 46:3,13
January 1:13 46:10
Jersey 22:24 23:8
job 36:9
JOHN 1:23
joins 23:11
June 16:11
jurisdiction 31:3,11
jurisdictional 30:24

K	
keep	9:10 14:9 33:16,18 40:21 42:23
Kelly	2:15,19 10:15 22:25 23:5 28:24 29:21 30:9 31:2,15 32:3 32:8,17 33:11
kind	18:12 31:9 40:11,14
know	13:8,15,25 14:4 19:25,25 20:18 29:25 30:13 32:11 33:19 34:16 40:11 42:2,2,25
Kramer	38:5
L	
lacking	14:8 20:17
lacks	6:6
laid	3:5
land	24:25 25:14 27:5
language	17:25 18:15 25:20 26:21 27:22
large	25:13 26:4,10,12,19,24 27:2,5 28:5 29:6,11,23
larger	9:2 24:14
latitude	12:14,15
law	3:6,6 5:24 18:2,4,22
leeway	19:7
left	13:22 17:21 35:19
legacy	2:24 19:4,5
legal	17:25 39:15
legislation	17:25
legislative	20:20
legitimate	21:22
level	4:3 9:16 10:21
life	7:23
light	39:21
liked	13:11
limit	15:13 24:13 26:23 27:15 30:19
limited	8:5 11:16 14:17 15:6 27:10 29:18
linking	16:4
listed	38:12
little	15:4 40:14
living	38:24,24 39:17,24 42:11
load	27:12 31:6
local	32:10
longevity	42:16
look	9:14 13:23 16:16 17:17 18:10 33:25,25 35:23 36:11
looked	15:20
looking	4:16 11:13,17 12:24 16:5 18:15 19:6,19,20 20:2,8 20:22 32:6 33:8 34:3 35:7,7
lot	13:17 15:21 20:14 42:11 45:19
low	23:17 28:13
M	
making	8:21
manage	26:15,24
management	31:8 39:14
Marco	23:2 27:17
mark	19:9
market	4:8 5:9 17:10 26:14 28:20,21 29:4,10,15,20 30:7 31:14
Markets	23:2
master	37:25 39:2
mean	35:25
means	8:20 16:2
measure	9:8,12 21:25
measurement	5:10
measurements	22:2
measures	4:2
meet	38:23
Meeting	1:1,9 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1
megawatt	23:15 24:11,12 25:8,15 25:20 26:9,21 27:25 28:11 29:7
megawatts	23:24 24:14
memorandum	6:21,25
meter	38:21
metered	24:24 25:11 27:8 39:2 39:25
metering	27:14 38:2,9 39:8,20 41:17 43:14
meters	39:22 43:18
method	23:21
mind	12:24
mindful	17:2
mirror	11:25
misses	19:9
missing	17:6 18:16

mix 45:20
moderate 23:17 28:13
modifications 22:9
modify 7:17
monthly 1:1,9 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1
 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1
 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1
 18:1 19:1,12 20:1 21:1 22:1
 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1
 29:1 30:1 31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1
 35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1
 41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1
morning 2:7,8,19 38:7
MOU 11:18,23 12:5,6,10,14
MOUs 11:17,25
move 22:21 37:23 44:10
multi-family 38:19
multiple 24:18 26:11 27:3
municipal 10:21

N

name 46:10
narrow 10:3 27:20
nasty 45:19
nature 43:16
necessary 3:22 6:6 8:24 11:5
 40:24
need 15:4,13,19,25 21:11 34:17
 35:12,22 36:11
needed 3:15 4:17 40:4
needs 15:20 16:6 17:20 24:2
 34:8
negotiate 7:9
negotiated 4:22
neither 31:18
net 27:8,13
network 28:7,12
never 28:2,3 42:10
Neville 2:15
new 1:2,15 2:13,21 7:7,8,20
 13:23 14:16 22:23,24,24 23:7
 23:7,8 29:18 38:19 39:24 46:2
noble 43:13
non- 3:19 5:5 31:17
non-government 31:14
non-participant 4:12 5:2 21:13
 26:16
non-participants 4:13 11:14
non-self-supply 30:16
normal 14:11,14

notable 38:14
note 42:17
notice 25:25
number 3:11 7:21 17:9 38:10
 41:20 44:20
nursing 42:11
NYCRR 38:11
NYPA 23:11 25:18 26:8 30:4,23
 31:5,13,19,23,25 32:14 33:9
 35:3,13,18
NYPA's 31:3,10
NYSERDA 2:23 3:8,10,17,21 4:22
 5:2,4,5,13,23 6:12,22 7:3,8
 7:12 8:4 9:13,21 10:17 11:4,5
 11:7,15,15,20 14:2,9 16:21
 17:16,22 19:5 20:22 21:22
NYSERDA's 2:25 3:5 5:11,17 7:16
 21:13

O

objecting 6:15
objectives 40:21
objects 6:18
obtaining 40:3
obviously 33:4 34:8
Office 2:16 38:3
Okay 11:11 30:21 31:12,23 32:13
 33:2,22
old 13:23
ones 16:22 31:19 42:4
ongoing 20:19 21:7 30:23 35:6
onsite 27:12
open 10:24 11:7,8
opens 18:14
operation 37:6
operationally 24:24 25:11
operations 42:12
Opinion 38:10 41:16
opportunity 10:7 35:12 43:20
opposition 13:9
option 29:6
order 2:5,21 5:16 6:11,16,19,20
 7:12 8:9 12:13 17:23,24 18:13
 18:20 29:18 38:21 40:11,17
 41:9
orders 3:9 5:25 18:23 25:19
original 26:3 34:11
originally 34:12
outcome 36:10
outreach 13:6 18:17 20:23 39:5

outset 9:11
outside 12:25 15:19 17:19
outstanding 8:4
overall 40:21
overlook 37:2
overly 6:5,17
oversight 12:15
owner 38:16 39:3,11,16
owner's 40:5

P

Padula 23:2
Page 46:5
pages 46:6
parcel 25:4,13,13 27:5
parcels 24:25
part 2:25 12:23 14:5 23:14
 31:10 38:11,25
partial 31:5
partially 31:6
participant 4:12 5:6 31:14
participants 3:20
participated 3:18
participating 3:16 14:18
participation 10:18
particular 40:24
partner 42:23
parts 24:5
pause 18:20 19:2 20:21 34:10,21
paying 45:17
payments 7:23,24 8:4,8,12,14
Peggy 2:15 8:16
penetration 16:17
people 19:24 20:3 43:16,20
perfect 9:11
perform 3:8
performance 5:7 7:23
periods 7:18
permissible 24:10
perspective 16:15
petition 2:12,21 5:4 6:6 7:16
 10:2 11:21 13:4,12 14:5,8
 15:10 17:6 20:13 21:5 22:9,22
 23:6,9,11 25:17 26:2,9 27:7
 34:24 35:10 37:12,24 38:9
 40:6
petitioner 13:6
petitions 38:11
phase 12:23
photovoltaic 23:15

place 46:4
plan 10:25 13:15 21:5
planned 13:15
planning 10:25
plans 14:9 32:19
Plaza 1:14
please 2:18 23:3 38:6
pleased 9:6,25 28:14
point 8:3 12:11 16:13
policies 5:8 39:19,24
policy 11:12 20:25 40:21
population 39:10 43:16
Port 22:23 23:7,9,12,13,23 24:8
 25:2,17 26:8 30:5,19 31:5,13
 31:19,24,24 32:14,21 33:10
 35:3,14,18
portfolios 5:12,21
portion 33:3
position 21:24
potential 5:8 12:4 13:23 26:15
 34:7
potentially 11:14 13:18 15:2
 30:18 32:7 34:13,20 35:4,7,22
Power 12:20 22:23 23:8
practice 6:9
predictions 22:2
prejudice 9:20 10:5 11:23 21:15
 22:15
prepared 40:22 45:21
present 29:24 40:12
presentation 8:15 27:17 40:7
presented 2:14 22:25 38:2,14
prevalence 3:25
price 38:22
primary 29:4
privacy 4:21 5:16 6:10,24 8:25
private 31:21,21,21
probably 13:13
proceed 2:10 22:7 37:10 43:24
 43:25
proceeding 23:22 30:19 35:6
proceedings 46:7
process 5:14 6:11,20 14:14
 15:16,23 16:8,25 17:7 20:20
 28:2,22 32:9,15
processes 14:15
program 7:18,19,20
programs 3:17,19 5:8 7:15,18,20
 7:22,25 8:3,19 9:10,15 21:14
progress 3:4 4:4

project 23:16,17,19,25 24:3,17
 25:8,15,16 26:11,13,18 27:2,8
 28:4,11,25,25 29:5,23 30:11
 30:16 32:10,22 35:4,13
projects 24:21 25:10 26:5,6,11
 27:4,12 32:16,20 33:17
promote 38:21
propose 7:6
proposed 2:21 13:18 23:6 26:7
proposition 9:8
protection 4:21 9:16 18:24
protections 5:16 7:5,7,8
protocols 14:11
provide 4:25 5:2 10:23 36:23
 37:4 39:12 40:25
provided 11:4 38:25
provides 9:15 10:22
providing 6:14 38:22
provision 41:12
provisions 6:24
public 1:2,9 2:4 3:5 38:14
publicly 6:12 16:11
purpose 24:22
purposes 6:3 24:20 27:4
put 18:19 31:21 41:14
putting 11:21

Q

quarter 11:10
queries 11:7
question 9:3 17:18 41:24
questions 2:18 8:16 23:3 27:18
 38:6 40:8 41:8

R

raised 34:22
rate 27:10
rated 24:11 27:7,11,14
rationale 9:22
reach 30:24
reaching 10:3
read 20:4
real 20:3
really 10:11 11:12,23 13:10
 14:7,17,23 15:4,20 16:12,23
 17:20,24 18:21,25 19:3,13,18
 19:22,24 20:2,3,6,7,7,11,15
 20:16 21:11,15 31:3,9 34:13
 35:3 36:9 41:6,8 42:10 43:6
reason 28:24 32:23

reasonable 21:21
reasons 27:6
receive 5:5 25:5 26:5,12,18
 30:20
received 3:22 25:25 38:14
receiving 24:21
recognize 21:22
recollection 42:9
recommendation 22:8,20 37:11,22
 44:2,10
recommendations 44:24 45:10
recommended 40:17
recommends 40:6
record 2:2 44:21 46:7
recuse 44:12
reduce 40:2
refer 3:19
refile 9:21
refinement 16:7
reflect 18:12,13,13
reflects 18:12
regarding 2:14,21,23 3:13 4:21
 23:6
Registry 10:17,19
regular 33:11 40:16
regularly 40:12
regulations 25:25 32:18,24
 38:16,18
regulatory 40:22
reject 30:17,18
relate 2:12 3:15,17 10:11
related 3:3 13:10
relates 10:14 11:12,18 14:15
 16:20 17:19 21:8 23:9 32:7
relating 19:4
reliable 37:5
reluctance 4:25
relying 18:21
remained 42:3,4
remaining 8:5 42:19
remind 42:18
reminder 45:18
renewables 31:8
renovated 38:19
renovating 39:4
report 8:6,7,11,12,14,22 19:7
reported 46:4
Reporter 46:13
reporting 2:14,24 7:17,25 8:5
 19:4,6,10,19,21

reports 8:6,13 19:8,14 20:5,5
request 5:17,19 6:14,15,19 8:10
 21:21 37:2 38:15 40:24
requested 5:4,13
requests 5:14 6:12 7:16 8:5
 39:8
require 3:12 4:11 38:19 39:20
 43:14
required 6:25
requirement 42:22
requirements 7:6,17 38:10 39:9
 40:23 41:18
requires 8:21
research 2:13,22,22 3:2
residence 41:11,21
resident 39:10
residential 3:23 4:18 10:22
 38:20 39:6
residents 23:18 38:22 39:16
resolve 34:9
resolved 34:8
resource 4:9
resources 23:22 24:19 40:2
respect 5:17,19 8:10,13
respond 6:13
response 26:2
responsibilities 5:24
restrictive 40:22
resubmit 21:16
result 36:10
Retail 2:17 38:4
REV 4:6
review 10:24
revised 11:21 14:5 18:11 21:5
revisions 12:14
Rhodes 1:23 2:3,9,20 8:17 9:9
 9:18 21:18 22:6,12,16,19
 27:19 28:15 36:16 37:9,15,18
 37:21 38:8 40:9 41:3 43:9,23
 44:5,7,9,15,23 45:3,6,9,16
right 13:17 21:10,11 29:21 30:9
 32:2,5 34:5,6,18 42:8,13,13
 42:24 44:19
road 12:22 37:8
robust 21:6
role 2:25
rule 25:24
rules 24:10 38:18
ruling 22:24 23:6 26:7 27:6,20
 29:2,25 30:3,6,13 34:23

rulings 34:23
running 11:6,9

S

S 1:24
SAPA' d 34:25
satisfied 27:11
saw 35:12
Sayer 44:3,4,13,14,25 45:2
saying 19:6
Sayre 1:23 9:5,6 22:10,11 27:23
 27:24 37:13,14 40:18,19
says 12:13 18:13 33:21
SBC3 7:19 8:6,10
SBC4 7:20 8:6,7,13
scale 26:4
scenario 29:24
search 10:24
second 5:20 6:5 11:10 12:10,23
 22:21 29:23
Secretary 2:5 35:2 45:11
sections 18:2,3,21
security 4:23 5:15 6:24 7:5
 8:25 21:24
see 11:20,23 13:11,11 14:13
 15:9,12,16 16:13 17:9 18:11
 18:20 19:17,18 21:4 29:17
 30:24 34:5,7,18,19 35:16
 36:10,12 37:7
seeing 35:8 36:20
seek 13:8
seeking 27:8,13
seen 15:25
self-reliance 39:13
self-supply 24:4 25:9,23 26:19
 28:8 29:5,7,12,15,22 30:15
 36:23
semi-annually 19:8,11
senior 38:24
sense 40:23 43:19
sensible 40:10
separate 24:24 25:12 27:4 36:22
separated 36:25
separately 24:3,23 25:10
serve 23:25 24:5 39:9
served 43:16
Service 1:2,9 2:4
services 38:3,17 39:12,16 40:4
serving 23:17 27:12
session 2:4 45:14,18

set 24:12	State 1:2,14 2:13,22 3:6 46:2
share 21:25,25	State's 2:25 3:4,13 7:14
shared 15:2	stated 25:3 46:5
sharing 6:3	states 39:11 41:9
shelter 40:4	Statewide 35:5,17 36:11
shift 11:12	status 10:13 42:3,4
short 35:11	statute 3:5
show 42:15	steps 15:12
signal 38:22	Steve 38:4
signed 7:22	stopped 7:20
similar 4:5,13 26:25 38:11	storm 45:19
simple 27:24	strategies 4:9,10
simply 4:9 28:10 40:23	strong 7:4 21:24
single 35:13	structures 19:19
sister 14:3 15:2 16:21	struggle 20:6
site 31:7	struggled 33:23
sited 24:25 25:12	studies 3:3,11,12,24 4:5,11,18
situation 27:3 28:9 30:7 34:14	4:23 5:9,18 6:2 13:12,16 14:2
36:20	14:4,24 16:5 17:3 20:18
small 28:4	study 3:23 4:15,18 7:14 12:7
smaller 26:11 27:4	14:19,23 16:3 17:20
snow 45:19	sub-dividing 26:6
solar 23:10,12,15,24 24:2 31:20	sub-metering 39:23
31:22 32:11 37:3	submit 30:10,11
solely 25:23 27:12 28:8	submitting 13:4 19:7
solicit 31:20	subscribed 46:10
sooner 20:9	subsets 19:20
sort 16:23	success 9:14,14
sorts 3:8	successful 7:15
speak 32:24	sufficient 4:17 43:6
specific 5:3,6 6:7 12:6 16:19	support 9:7,7 13:9 17:25 28:14
17:12 18:15 20:15	31:16 35:18 36:19 37:6,7 41:2
specifically 8:20 11:24 15:10	43:12,22
16:19 18:22 32:17,23	supporting 31:4,7
specificity 6:6	supportive 39:15 43:7
specifics 40:16	suppose 45:4
spent 15:21	sure 13:6,21 14:19 15:22 16:4
split 26:11	17:16 19:22 31:2 33:6,8 41:15
spur 33:7	44:19
stack 23:20 24:13,15,21 25:6,16	surrounding 23:19
25:22 26:6,12,14,16,18 27:8	system 23:15 24:7
27:13 28:2,4,5 29:14 30:20	Systems 7:19
35:6	
Staff 4:20 6:18 7:10 10:2 12:11	<hr/> T <hr/>
17:22 18:8 28:18 34:6,6 35:18	take 10:2 20:21 36:4
36:9 40:5,15	taken 14:21 17:11
stakeholder 18:17	takes 28:9
stakeholders 10:9 12:4,19 13:14	talk 18:4
20:24	talking 8:24
standard 6:21	target 17:10,12,14

targeting 11:2 16:18,19
tariff 25:19 30:14
team 33:16
teams 33:12,14
technologies 4:2
technology 3:25
Ted 2:15,18 8:17 10:12 22:25
 23:3 27:19
teeing 36:11
tell 8:20
template 11:24
terminated 41:13
terminating 42:5
terms 15:2
territory 35:14
test 24:21,23 25:4,8,14,21
 26:10,22 27:11,15 34:15
thank 2:9,19 8:17 9:19 21:17,18
 21:20 22:6 23:5 27:19 28:15
 28:17,18 30:21 36:15,16,18
 37:9 40:9 41:3,5 43:5,8,9,11
 43:23 44:23 45:16,21
that's 8:23 16:3 17:6 21:14,14
 28:12 29:19 30:22 31:9 32:3,3
 32:11 33:4 42:14 43:3
there's 13:17 34:7 43:4
they'd 29:25 32:8
They'll 31:20
they're 16:22 20:18 33:19 43:17
 43:17
things 10:12 13:19 17:10,15
 21:25,25 41:22
think 9:22 10:4,5 11:24 12:2,5
 13:3,15,20 15:4 19:4 20:7,10
 20:10 21:21,23 35:22 36:2,8
 36:13,21 37:5 41:6 42:16,19
 43:7,12,19
third 11:10 37:23
thought 20:11 21:14 32:14 34:12
 34:14
thoughtful 9:23 40:10
three 1:14 24:24 25:7
threshold 36:3
Thursday 1:13
time 2:3 13:16 14:5 15:21 18:3
 21:11 36:21 38:13,13 41:19
 44:22 46:4
timeline 6:15
timely 19:10,15,23
times 19:14

today 45:12,14
tools 4:5 31:8
top 42:6
touches 13:4,5
traditional 31:10
transcription 46:6
transfers 6:23
transient 39:17 43:17
transitional 41:12
true 46:7
trying 15:11 19:22
tweaks 35:7
two 24:24 39:5
type 10:21 42:11
types 17:15 38:25
typewritten 46:6
typical 39:18

U

U.E.R 21:6
UER 10:13 11:3,5 15:15,17,21,23
 15:25 16:6,9,25 17:7 21:3
umbrella 13:24
unable 7:10
understand 12:23 14:8,10 16:16
 17:13 20:16 33:24 34:4 35:24
understanding 6:22 7:2 11:6
 16:4 17:16 20:3 32:4,18
unique 34:14 36:19 37:7 38:13
units 38:19 39:6,24 43:18
updated 8:11
upper 39:5
usable 19:16
usage 3:14,24 10:20 24:5 26:25
use 3:24 5:6,17,20,22 6:2,5
 10:25 12:25 14:23 15:14,15
 17:19 22:2 41:11,14
usefulness 20:4
user 26:20
uses 14:22 15:12
Utica 37:24 39:3,7 40:2
utilities 3:13,17,22 4:22,24
 6:2,13,22,24 7:3,6,9 10:18
 11:4,16 12:12 16:23 33:13,14
utility 3:15 6:3,17 10:16,19
 24:7 29:11 32:10 34:2 38:2
 42:22
utilize 17:12 21:6
utilized 15:18

V	
V.A 39:14	wide 12:14
value 23:20,21 24:13,15 25:6,15 25:22 26:5,12,14,16,18 27:8 27:13 28:2,4,5 29:14 30:20 35:6,16	window 35:11
values 24:21	wintry 45:20
various 3:9 24:5 33:13	wish 44:11
verification 5:10	WITNESS 46:9
verified 40:5	won' t 28:7
Veteran 39:5	wonky 19:25
veterans 38:17 39:6,7,13 40:3 40:25 41:13,21 43:14	work 5:23 8:19 9:25 19:22 28:18 40:14
view 9:15	worked 3:21 12:11 18:8
viewpoint 36:21,25	working 14:12 20:8 31:13
violate 24:11	works 35:9
vote 22:7,8,10 28:3 36:2 37:11 37:11,13 43:24,25,25 44:3,24 44:25 45:4,7	wouldn' t 29:15,24 30:2
voting 22:5 40:17 41:6 44:12,17 44:20,21	wrong 34:6,6 36:6
W	
waive 40:22	X
waived 41:18	X 1:24 17:9,13,20
waiver 37:25 38:9,15 39:8 40:24 41:10,13 42:3,5,18 44:2	Y
want 9:21 10:4 14:8 17:15 19:7 36:9 41:9	yeah 32:3
warn 30:18	year 8:11 10:16 11:10,18 13:15
way 8:23 14:21 17:14 19:23 21:6 34:3,17,18 35:25	years 5:23 7:21
ways 15:24	York 1:2,15 2:13,22 22:23,24 23:7,8 46:2
we' d 42:7	you' d 42:2
we' ll 22:20 33:16,18	Z
we' re 8:20,24 9:12 16:4,6 17:19 18:21 19:22 20:22 21:24 33:8 33:11 35:7 36:20 42:22	0
we' ve 15:21 33:5 42:10 45:18,19 45:20	0094 2:12
website 10:24 11:8 16:12	1
weigh 35:12,22 36:7	1 46:5,6
weighed 12:19	1-17-19 1:1 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1
welcome 40:13,16	10:30 1:13
what' s 32:15 33:7	10:32 2:2
WHEREOF 46:9	14-M- 2:11
who' s 45:17	16 38:10
wholesale 26:13 28:20,21 29:4,8 29:9,15,20 30:7	164 44:18
	17 1:13
	18 39:6
	18-E-0503 37:24
	18-E-0766 22:22

19th 1:14	
2	
2 5:6,11 7:17 12:24	
201 2:11	
2015 3:21	
2019 1:13 46:10	
25th 46:10	
261 44:20	
3	
3 1:14 11:18 13:15 24:21 25:3 25:21 26:10,21 27:10,15 34:15	
301 22:22	
302 37:23	
371 44:21	
4	
4 44:17	
47 46:7	
5	
5 23:15,24 24:11,12,14 25:8,14 25:20 26:9,21 27:25 28:11 29:7	
561 44:22	
5th 44:22	
6	
7	
76-17 38:10 41:16	
7th 45:15	
8	
9	
96 38:11,25	