

Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017

STATE OF NEW YORK
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MEETING OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Wednesday, August 2, 2017
10:30 a.m.

Three Empire State Plaza
Agency Building 3, 19th Floor
Albany, New York

COMMISSIONERS

JOHN RHODES, Chair

GREGG C. SAYRE
DIANE X. BURMAN
JAMES ALESI

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017

2 (The meeting commenced at 10:30 a.m.)

3 CHAIR RHODES: Good morning. I'd like
4 to call this session of the Public Service Commission
5 to order.

6 Assistant Secretary Aissi, are there any
7 changes to the final agenda?

8 ASSISTANT SECRETARY AISSI: Good
9 morning, Chair and Commissioners. There are no
10 changes to the agenda this morning.

11 CHAIR RHODES: Okay. Thank you.

12 Let's proceed to -- am I on? I'm not
13 on. Am I on?

14 So there are no changes to the agenda.

15 So let's proceed to the first item for discussion.

16 Item 201, Cases 15-M-0127, et al, which is the
17 Interlocutory Appeal by Constellation Energy Gas
18 Choice, L.L.C., and Direct Energy Services, L.L.C.,
19 presented by Julia Bielawski, Deputy General Counsel.

20 Julia, please begin.

21 MS. BIELAWSKI: Good morning, Chair,
22 Commissioners. The proposed order before you today
23 arises from the proceedings in which the Commission
24 is evaluating the retail energy market in the state.
25 In preparation for filing testimony in those

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 proceedings, the parties have been engaged in
3 discovery. And the Public Utility Law Project, or
4 PULP, issued discovery requests on many of the ESCOs
5 that are participating in this proceeding.

6 When several of those ESCOs objected,
7 the Public Utility Law Project brought a motion
8 before the A.L.J.s -- A.L.J.s presiding in the
9 proceeding to compel production of the requested
10 information. The A.L.J.s issued a ruling, granting
11 that motion. It is that ruling that's the subject of
12 the interlocutory appeal before you. The appeal is
13 brought by Constellation Energy Gas Choice and Direct
14 Energy Services.

15 Now for the Commission to entertain an
16 interlocutory appeal, an appeal from a judge's ruling
17 at this early stage in the evidentiary process of a
18 proceeding, it's extraordinary. And it is up to
19 Appellants to show extraordinary circumstances to
20 warrant the Commission's intervention at this point.

21 Here -- typically, a discovery dispute
22 would not rise to that level. Here, however, one of
23 the arguments presented asserted by the Appellants
24 meets that criteria. So the recommendation is for
25 the Commission to entertain this appeal.

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 Specifically, Appellants assert that the ruling
3 improperly orders the ESCOs to release certain
4 customer-specific data in response of two of PULP's
5 information requests. This would be, according to
6 the Appellants, in violation of the Uniform Business
7 Practices, Commission policy, and existing customer
8 contracts.

9 The Uniform Business Practices provide
10 for such disclosure in very limited circumstances,
11 only where it's necessary to facilitate or maintain
12 service to a customer or the customer has consented
13 to that disclosure. Because the ruling would require
14 the immediate release of this information, the
15 extraordinary circumstances threshold is met in this
16 case.

17 So turning to the merits, the proposed
18 order finds that PULP's assertion that it needs this
19 information to support its analysis in this
20 proceeding is insufficient to outweigh the
21 countervailing interest protecting customer-specific,
22 personally identifiable information. The information
23 request would reveal individual names, addresses, and
24 account numbers of residential customers. It is this
25 type of information that it -- should it land in the

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 wrong hands, could be used to enroll customers for
3 ESCO service without the customer's consent, a
4 practice that has been a problem in the past.

5 So despite the fact that PULP, itself,
6 may have a valid reason for wanting to evaluate this
7 information, the proposed order finds that the risk
8 to customers of a more widespread dissemination of
9 this information weighs against the disclosure.

10 Accordingly, the proposed order
11 recommends reversing the ruling but only with respect
12 to these two information requests such that no ESCOs
13 would be required to disclose the requested customer-
14 specific information. In all other respects, the
15 proposed order recommends affirming the judge's
16 determination. And I will briefly outline the other
17 arguments raised by Appellants in that regard.

18 First, Appellants indicate that the
19 judges should not have ruled because it was PULP's
20 obligation to first take the issue back to the ESCOs
21 and try to negotiate a resolution of a discovery
22 dispute before bringing the motion to the judges. In
23 their ruling, the judges acknowledge that that was
24 appropriate for any party to try to resolve discovery
25 disputes before bringing it to the judges' attention.

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017

2 However, because the issue was briefed
3 before the judges and, in their discretion, looking
4 at it, and the distance between the parties on the
5 issue, they decided, in the matter of efficiency, to
6 go ahead and resolve the issue. The proposed order
7 finds that it's appropriately within the judges'
8 discretion to do.

9 Appellants also challenged the relevance
10 of some of the information requested. And the order
11 before you evaluates the subject matter of each
12 request and ultimately recommends affirming the
13 judges' conclusion that the information requested is
14 relevant to these proceedings.

15 Likewise, Appellants' arguments that
16 some of the terms used in the information request are
17 vague and ambiguous were properly rejected by the
18 judges because in the context read -- when you read
19 the information requests, any ambiguity can be
20 resolved to the extent that the ESCOs were able --
21 should be able to respond to these requests.

22 The Appellants' concerns that the
23 requests were overbroad or unduly burdensome were
24 also evaluated by the judges. And the judges
25 properly found that they were reasonably tailored to

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 these proceedings.

3 Appellants also argue that there were --
4 they asserted several privileges that they assert
5 should not have been -- are grounds for not
6 disclosing information requested in the -- in these -
7 - in the information requests. However, the judges'
8 ruling did not finally resolve this issue. Instead,
9 what the judges said was that there wasn't enough
10 information to determine whether a privilege was
11 warranted. So they rejected those claims without
12 prejudice for the ESCOs to come back and reassert
13 them. Accordingly, the proposed order finds no
14 relief to Appellants on this issue is necessary at
15 this juncture.

16 Finally, Appellants object to some of
17 the requested information as outside the scope of
18 this proceeding. Those arguments are identical to
19 issues raised and resolved in the interlocutory
20 appeal by the Retail Energy Supply Association and
21 Direct Energy, which is the next item to be presented
22 today. This order does not reach those issues.

23 I am happy to answer any questions you
24 have.

25 CHAIR RHODES: Thank you, Julia. I

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 welcome and thank you for the thoughtful explanation
3 and the legal analysis and the analysis of the
4 merits.

5 My own view is that we need to affirm
6 and preserve a longstanding policy of the Commission
7 and, of course, the principles in the Uniform
8 Business Practices to ensure protection of customer-
9 sensitive information. So certainly agree with the
10 reversal of those relevant aspects of the May ruling
11 and agree with the rejection of the remainder of the
12 appeal.

13 Are there any comments or questions from
14 my fellow commissioners?

15 COMMISSIONER SAYRE: Just to say that
16 I'm fully in accord with the recommendation and with
17 the chairman's remarks.

18 CHAIR RHODES: Commissioner Burman?

19 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Thank you, Chair.

20 So I just want to make clear we have two
21 items, 201 and 202. 202 is separate from that and
22 we'll be voting on that separately. And that
23 addresses other issues, including the thresholds of
24 issue of jurisdiction; correct?

25 MS. BIELAWSKI: That's correct.

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017

2 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Okay. Thanks.

3 So my perspective is that it is true
4 that it's extra -- extraordinary circumstances to
5 take up the interlocutory appeal. But this -- this
6 proceeding, itself, is extraordinary and how we're
7 handling things is extraordinary. So from a path-
8 forward perspective, I am looking at ways that we can
9 facilitate all parties working together in ways that
10 we can facilitate the opportunity for helping to
11 streamline some of the data processes and data
12 overload that may be required.

13 I am concerned that some of the tenor of
14 the administrative proceeding has gotten weighted
15 down with back and forth animosity and a -- I think
16 the threshold issue for me is that it is incumbent
17 upon the parties to attempt to work together before
18 they go to the administrative law judge.

19 Now, I do understand that in this case
20 the first party who didn't go was PULP, felt that it
21 was not going to be helpful and fruitful. That may
22 have been the case. However, I do want to see that
23 parties are trying, despite the odds, to come up with
24 ways to work together and potentially resolve some of
25 these issues so that we don't continue to get

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 weighted down in -- and the administrative law judges
3 don't get weighted down with a lot of back and forth
4 discovery requests.

5 I do -- you know, we've been blessed at
6 the Commission. When I was a staffer, as well as on
7 the Commission, that despite great odds we have seen
8 parties who have been in polar opposites, when they
9 are challenged to, to come together and figure out
10 solutions. I think that has been historically
11 something that we can be very proud of.

12 In fact, until a few years ago, it used
13 to be that the ESCO collaboratives and the ESCO
14 process was seen as -- while, yes, tedious and
15 difficult, it was seen as very worthwhile for those
16 parties who were participating and actually seemed to
17 result in resolution, even when people were, again,
18 polar opposite. So I think that history is something
19 that's important and we should look forward to trying
20 to get there.

21 Now, to the extent that the first
22 threshold -- threshold issue of the personally
23 identifying customer information, that clearly rises
24 to the level of needing to say that information is
25 not appropriate and shouldn't be discoverable here

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 under these circumstances. And therefore, under
3 these circumstances, we are -- I think we are all in
4 agreement that that is appropriate to grant that
5 aspect of the rehearing.

6 As to the others, it falls into, for me,
7 that grey area. And again, keeping in mind that the
8 bulk of the issues are in the 202 order, not in the
9 201 order and some of the 202 orders deal with the
10 threshold jurisdictional issue so we only get to
11 that. We only get to the 201 issues if we think that
12 there is jurisdiction on a lot of the threshold
13 issues. So I'll reserve my comments for the 202
14 order as to the threshold issues there.

15 But here, one of the aspects is that the
16 time period, the five-year time period and asking for
17 data for five years. And, you know, whether it's one
18 year, five years, ten years, fifteen years, I really
19 don't know what the appropriate number is. And,
20 again, I leave that to the administrative law judge
21 to figure that out.

22 However, I am mindful of the fact that
23 that may be onerous depending on the ESCO, the size
24 of the ESCO, the number of customers, the amount of
25 time that the ESCO has been operating, and what the

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 rules are for having to keep that information.
3 Whether it's an ESCO or a utility or some other
4 entity, five years may be, in some fashion, overly
5 burdensome and actually not get to the heart of the
6 issues of what is trying to be dealt with to address
7 to bring back to the Commission on the threshold
8 issues.

9 So I would look for the folks seeing if
10 there maybe are alternative ways that they can get
11 there. It also, I think, would be important to know,
12 since the Commission and the Commission staff has had
13 a robust enforcement process, what information over
14 the years have we had for us to make determinations
15 on enforcement. Because if there are opportunities
16 to obtain some of the higher-level information
17 without needing to go to the ESCO through this
18 process, I would like to see that the staff and the
19 ESCOs work on -- on the information that already may
20 be housed with the staff, as well as the information
21 that they've deemed, over the years, relevant looking
22 at the enforcement process.

23 However, again, I do understand the need
24 for the administrative law judge to have some
25 flexibility. But to the extent that they are hearing

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 from this commissioner, the focus of trying to figure
3 out collaboration and alternative pathways, I think
4 that's important.

5 As to -- and just want to pull it up --
6 sorry -- as to page 15 and 16 of the order, it speaks
7 of the -- speaks of the court standard -- court
8 standard, versus the Commission standard. And there
9 is a focus that the court standard adopted a stricter
10 definition for discovery. But under this case, we
11 were looking at our discovery dispute, sharing of
12 evidence under the Commission proceeding, which is --
13 allows more evidence to be obtained than if they were
14 under the court proceeding.

15 Under the court proceeding, my
16 understanding is it has to really be much more direct
17 evidence that is being collected. Do you want to
18 just make sure I'm reading that right?

19 MR. AGRESTA: That's generally correct.
20 The court rules of discovery now have a new test and
21 it's -- I think most people would say it's not quite
22 as broad as the old test, but that's not the test
23 that's in the Commission rules and we apply the test
24 that's in the Commission rules.

25 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Right. No, and I

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 understand that from a legal perspective that the
3 Commission rules are broader. But to the extent
4 that, again, going back to some of the basic tenets
5 of trying to work together and be collaborative and
6 actually try to get through some of the issues, to
7 the extent that it may be appropriate to look and
8 take a pause and say let's, at least, while we may
9 disagree on the broader interpretation, to the extent
10 that we all are in agreement on the stricter
11 definition from the court perspective and the court
12 standard, let's at least wrap our arms around that
13 aspect and the -- that data overload.

14 And maybe that could be the first
15 threshold issues that are dealt with from a discovery
16 perspective, which may help to inform some future
17 needs, as well, that -- that will go there from a
18 discovery perspective.

19 So I'm just offering up some food for
20 thought as ways to -- again, I do think that there
21 may be pathways. And like we've dealt in many
22 proceedings with different phases and looking at what
23 we can wrap our arms around within the first onset,
24 that may be an opportunity. That subset of
25 information is at least more discreet and maybe we

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 agree and do things in -- in a, you know, more
3 systematic, smaller bite way to get there.

4 So then the other aspect is the vague
5 and ambiguous wording. And essentially, the -- the -
6 - the draft order says that the Commission's review
7 of the terms indicates that the words have obvious
8 and apparent meanings when read in the context of the
9 full text of the discovery requests and the context
10 of these proceedings, and had often been used by the
11 ESCOs themselves in pleadings before the Commission.
12 So it was denying the ESCOs' request that the --
13 there's an understanding of the vagueness and the
14 ambiguity of the discovery request.

15 I guess we all know what the words are.
16 However, I do think that we, ourselves, on the
17 Commission and back in the beginning of REV did have
18 focus on what was a product, what was value added,
19 you know, what -- you know, what is the definition of
20 a -- a customer complaint, a consumer complaint. So
21 you know, what's a sanction, what's a fee, what's a
22 penalty?

23 So all of those things may, you know, to
24 my understanding is trying to have, again, a
25 conversation on what is it that we're actually trying

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 to get, especially as it goes to information and data
3 on customer complaints. What do we, ourselves, as
4 the Commission on the Commission staff already have
5 in our enforcement proceedings that could be done
6 without -- without dragging down the process and the
7 hearing process further? And maybe we could help in
8 that regard.

9 However, again, I do understand
10 administrative law judge does have -- needs to have
11 some flexibility in, in this case, her rulings, so
12 maybe an opportunity, though, to revisit now that
13 this Commission is going to be voting on this item
14 and look at where are we at now, especially since
15 those rulings there have been a lot of activity not
16 only in the court but at the Commission itself on
17 these issues.

18 Then the other issue is internal audits.
19 I am concerned that by handing over internal audits,
20 without clearly defining what exactly is being looked
21 at and how broad that is, that we may be actually
22 chilling the ESCOs from doing their internal audits.

23 I'm not sure what the parameters are and
24 I'm not sure if there's a way to look at that, you
25 know, and -- and make sure that we are consistent

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 with what we do, not only with ESCOs, but with our
3 own internal audits, as well as internal audits that
4 other companies that come before the Commission are
5 also subject to. So I just want to make sure that we
6 are very clear and fair in the same rules applying.
7 And so I think that's something that should be looked
8 at.

9 And with that, I don't have any specific
10 questions. I do want to see, you know, the pathway
11 forward and I do want to figure out some solutions.
12 And I do hope that there is an opportunity to take a
13 pause and figure out a better collaborative way,
14 especially with the history of -- past history, eons
15 ago, of the collaboratives working well. So thank
16 you.

17 CHAIR RHODES: Thank you, Commissioner
18 Burman.

19 Commissioner Alesi.

20 Thank you.

21 So thank you for your comments and
22 questions. We're now going to proceed to a vote.

23 My vote is in favor of the
24 recommendation to reverse that portion of the May 25,
25 2017, ruling that would have compelled production of

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 responses to two of the discovery requests and to
3 deny the other aspects of the appeal as described.

4 Commissioner Sayre, how do you vote?

5 COMMISSIONER SAYRE: Aye.

6 CHAIR RHODES: Commissioner Burman?

7 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: I vote in favor
8 based on my comments at session.

9 CHAIR RHODES: Commissioner Alesi?

10 COMMISSIONER ALESI: Aye.

11 CHAIR RHODES: The item is approved and
12 the recommendations are adopted. Thank you.

13 We now proceed to the second item for
14 discussion, Item 202, Cases 15-M-0127 et al, which is
15 the interlocutory appeal by the Retail Energy Supply
16 Association and Direct Energy Services, L.L.C.,
17 presented again by Julia Bielawski, Deputy General
18 Counsel.

19 Julia, please begin.

20 MS. BIELAWSKI: Thank you.

21 I'd like to begin by clarifying my
22 response to you, Commissioner Burman, with respect to
23 you saying that this interlocutory appeal deals with
24 the jurisdictional issues.

25 This appeal doesn't implicate the

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 Commission's jurisdiction expect -- except with
3 respect to a very narrow issue on the ESCO
4 profitability and argument made. But it does deal
5 with the process and the authorization for the
6 evidentiary hearings going forward. So I just wanted
7 to clarify that.

8 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Yes. Excuse me,
9 Chair, I just want to --

10 CHAIR RHODES: Please.

11 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: -- if it's okay?

12 Thank you. I appreciate that
13 clarification. I saw Paul having a heart attack, so
14 I'm glad that you clarified it.

15 I do -- I do agree that we have
16 threshold issues discreet to the administrative law
17 hearing and that's what we're dealing with. And that
18 is, for me, of prime importance that takes precedent
19 over the other issues that fall after it, depending
20 on how you vote on this item. So thank you.

21 MS. BIELAWSKI: Understood.

22 So this proposed order also resolves an
23 interlocutory appeal. Here, the Retail Energy Supply
24 Association, or RESA, as well as Direct Energy
25 Services, both ESCOs doing business in New York

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 State, challenge two rulings issued by the
3 administrative law judges in this proceeding.

4 The first ruling, issued May 15th, 2017,
5 rejected procedural challenges to the evidentiary
6 hearings that have been scheduled in this proceeding.
7 The challenged May 23rd, 2017, ruling declined the
8 limit to -- to limit the scope of discovery in these
9 proceedings, specifically rejecting Appellants'
10 contentions that data regarding large commercial and
11 industrial customers and data with regard to ESCO
12 profitability should be excluded from the discovery
13 process.

14 So, as I mentioned with regard to the
15 prior item, discovery disputes on appeal
16 interlocutory relief is extraordinary. And here,
17 although extraordinary circumstances have not been
18 demonstrated given the procedural nature of the
19 challenges, the recommendation here is for the
20 Commission to, nevertheless, address the merits of
21 this appeal to provide additional guidance to the
22 parties given the importance of this proceeding and
23 its complicated procedural history.

24 So turning to the first challenge, which
25 is to the evidentiary process established here,

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 Appellants contended that the secretary's notice,
3 which was issued on December 2nd, 2016, was the
4 vehicle that authorized proceedings -- authorized
5 evidentiary hearings in this proceeding. And as
6 such, they argue that it was issued in excess of the
7 secretary's authority.

8 Pursuant to Section 11 of the Public
9 Service Commission, evidentiary hearings may be held
10 where authorized by law such as where we have
11 expressed statutes regarding major rate changes and
12 we always have evidentiary hearings or where the
13 Commission otherwise authorizes these hearings. But
14 under Section 8 of the Public Service Law, the
15 specific individual or individuals can be authorized
16 to conduct hearings on the Commission's behalf but a
17 certification has to be filed in order to do that.

18 So here, because the secretary does not
19 have such a certification under Section 8, the ESCOs
20 are contending that these evidentiary hearings were
21 not properly authorized.

22 The proposed order rejects these
23 arguments. It acknowledges that the secretary is not
24 empowered to authorize or conduct hearings, but it
25 also recognizes that she may issue notices of a

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 ministerial nature as was the December 2nd notice in
3 this case. The notice that is required by law, going
4 forward, is the notice issued under the State
5 Administrative Procedure Act, which was issued in
6 this proceeding by one of the administrative law
7 judges who has been certified in compliance with
8 Section 8 of the Public Service Law to conduct
9 hearings.

10 But on a higher level, the proposed
11 order also recognizes that, although evidentiary
12 hearings were not mandated in this case, by
13 scheduling evidentiary hearings, the Commission is
14 affording ESCOs a greater opportunity to be heard
15 before reaching any decision in this matter. And
16 thus, it is surprising that the ESCOs are so
17 vigorously challenging a process that is designed to
18 give them more process than the typical notice and
19 comment procedures would have.

20 Here, where there's been a significant
21 disagreement between stakeholders, including previous
22 failed collaborative efforts, a trial type
23 evidentiary hearing is the best course to build a
24 record upon which the Commission may ultimately make
25 an informed decision regarding the workability of the

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 retail energy market. Given this fact and to
3 eliminate further litigation over the authorization
4 of the proceedings up to this point, in the proposed
5 order, the Commission today clearly authorizes a full
6 evidentiary process for these proceedings.

7 With regard to the second rule --
8 ruling, Appellants challenged the judges' ruling
9 rejecting the ESCOs' attempt to limit the scope of
10 discovery to exclude issues related to large
11 commercial and industrial customers and ESCO
12 profitability. Based on the language of that
13 December 2nd secretary's notice which addresses the
14 mass market, Appellants contend that the proceeding
15 is limited to evaluating that mass residential
16 market. They also argue that the investigation of
17 ESCO profitability is beyond the scope of this
18 proceeding and the Commission's jurisdiction.

19 The proposed order affirms the finding
20 of the A.L.J.s on the scope issues. Again,
21 interlocutory review of discovery issues should be
22 exercised rarely and here the order finds that the
23 A.L.J.s acted fully within their discretion in
24 correctly applying the discovery rules.

25 Specifically, they appropriately held

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 that although the purpose of these proceedings is
3 limited to examining the mass market, evidence
4 related to commercial and industrial products is
5 relevant and helpful to determining whether products
6 successful for large customers could be incorporated
7 into the mass market.

8 They properly found that data regarding
9 ESCO profitability may reveal information relevant to
10 this proceeding such as overcharges. The order
11 concludes that the question of whether ESCOs can
12 profitability provide valuable service at reasonable
13 -- at a reasonable value is crucial to the
14 Commission's investigation into whether the market is
15 properly functioning.

16 Lastly, the proposed order points out
17 that Appellants' argument that the Commission lacks
18 jurisdiction to investigate ESCO profitability has
19 been foreclosed by the July 27th, 2017, decision of
20 the Appellate Division Third Department. That -- in
21 that decision the Court expressly held that the
22 Commission has broad authority over the gas and
23 electricity market, including the authority to impose
24 limitation on ESCO rates.

25 Accordingly, the recommendation is to

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 deny this interlocutory appeal in its entirety and,
3 in doing so, sanction the continued efforts of the
4 parties going forward with a full evidentiary
5 process.

6 Thank you.

7 CHAIR RHODES: Thank you, Julia.

8 Again, I welcome the thoughtful
9 explanation and I recognize the dual conclusions of
10 the order, one, that the actions by the secretary in
11 issuing them was appropriate and that the actions --
12 as were the actions of the A.L.J. And two, that in
13 order to put the issue to rest and avoid further
14 delay, propose that the Commission authorize the
15 evidentiary hearings as described and with the scope
16 as described in the order.

17 Commissioner Sayre?

18 COMMISSIONER SAYRE: I've been observing
19 the ESCOs using every legal and procedural move that
20 I can imagine, and I'm a regulatory attorney of some
21 years standing, to slow down and limit this
22 proceeding. All I want to say at this time is that I
23 look forward, with very great interest, to what the
24 record now, and may I say finally, being developed in
25 this case will say. Even more, I look forward to

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 having an opportunity to make some decisions
3 regarding what appears to be a troubled market.

4 Thank you.

5 CHAIR RHODES: Thank you, Commissioner
6 Sayre.

7 Commissioner Burman?

8 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Thank you. So I
9 have been very focused from the very beginning that
10 we need to be very careful with procedural missteps.
11 Process is very important. And I have been very
12 careful in focusing all of my concerns around that
13 and around the need to look at this and the problems
14 are -- are -- that may come or the precedent that may
15 be set for failure to follow the -- the right
16 procedural steps, whether it's FOIL notices, due
17 process, et cetera.

18 This extends beyond the ESCOs. It's a
19 threshold issue. And when I voted no on the ESCO
20 order and was very clear that I was concerned that we
21 were making some procedural missteps and that we were
22 trying to, through creative logic, explain away what
23 could have been done in a much more procedurally fair
24 and right way, and also making sure that we were
25 dotting every I and crossing every T so that we were

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 not risking a court ruling that would go and say that
3 we had done something wrong.

4 In fact, what happened was the Court did
5 acknowledge that we had made some procedural
6 missteps. I don't need to go into the detail of each
7 and every. And basically, for some of that said, you
8 know, go fix it. We are now doing what I think is
9 strained and creative logic in taking the December
10 2nd, 2016, notice from the secretary and saying that
11 it was okay because the court told us to do this. So
12 based on the court order saying go fix it, that is
13 within the tenets of the Commission.

14 Now we can go back and forth on what's
15 right legally or not. I come down on the side of --
16 especially because there was common ground here
17 between the ESCOs and counsel on a very limited
18 matter. That was that there were no members on the
19 Commission and there needed to be an opportunity for
20 the Commission to speak as a whole.

21 That issue, to me, acknowledges that the
22 Commission, as a body, is important to speak as a
23 whole, which is why we're here today. I need to make
24 sure that my words are heard for future matters in
25 things, whether it's ESCOs or other matters that come

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 before us. It is very important that we clearly
3 understand the role of the secretary, and the role of
4 the secretary as she acts on behalf of the
5 Commission, and on the role of the secretary when she
6 issues notices.

7 To the extent that we have had chaotic
8 and confusing and, frankly, illogical processes for
9 the last few years since I've been on the Commission
10 as it deals with whether the secretary should issue a
11 notice, whether the secretary should start a
12 proceeding by -- via notice, whether the Commission
13 itself should act to open up a proceeding, it has not
14 been a clear pathway and there are no real rules of
15 the road. And it seems like it changes.

16 I implore the Chair, as we move forward,
17 and I have no doubt that he will be thoughtful in
18 this. I implore the Chair to make it clear, not only
19 to the commissioners, but to the staff and, frankly,
20 to the secretary on the specific role and the general
21 rules and when there may be and need to be exceptions
22 to that.

23 There may be need for the secretary to
24 act quickly, but there may also be an opportunity for
25 the Commission, itself, to confirm that.

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017

2 And I want to make sure that we go
3 through that process and have that discussion because
4 it's important for not only the commissioners and the
5 staff and the secretary, but it's important for the
6 parties to know what the role of the secretary is.

7 I have spoken publicly about my concerns
8 about the overreach by the secretary. It is no
9 reflection on her. She's an excellent secretary. It
10 is that she does need guidance from the Commission,
11 itself, so that we are not in the position where we
12 are only seeing notices after they've been published,
13 or we've only seen notices an hour before it's
14 published and it's not asking us for our blessing on
15 it.

16 There are opportunities and way to get
17 around that and we need to be clear. So here now, we
18 have a notice by the secretary that was done on
19 behalf of the Commission, without the Commission
20 itself blessing it. We had an opportunity at the
21 December 17th, 2016, session, before, even though the
22 notice was issued December 2nd, 2016. There was no
23 activity with the administrative law -- the
24 administrative law judges hearing or proceeding until
25 after the December 17th, 2016, session. Nothing had

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 been done except for the notice, and then I think
3 there were some requests for extension that were
4 granted.

5 To the extent that we had an opportunity
6 and we chose -- the Chair at that time chose not to
7 use that to have the Commission itself take heed of
8 the judge's order and issue this notice and issue the
9 tenets in the four corners of it, I think that was a
10 failure of process. I think that was a failure
11 substantively and procedurally.

12 I think that -- and again I can only
13 think it because we didn't have this opportunity. I
14 think that if it had been brought before the
15 Commission at that time and the question of the four
16 corners of what the scope was going to be and whether
17 or not we were going to be including C and I
18 customers, we would have had an answer that said no,
19 that that was too broad and that it should have been
20 restricted.

21 How do I know that? I don't know a
22 hundred percent because I didn't have that
23 opportunity. But I know it from the comments that
24 were said at each of the sessions by the Chair at
25 that time, as well as comments that she said publicly

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 to the ESCOs and to others in the community on her
3 concern and how she was -- it was a targeted and very
4 specific focus that was not meant to be overly broad.

5 So what I would like to see, on a going
6 forward basis, is that we have that clear pathway and
7 we understand. And to the extent that the Commission
8 as a body needs to have the ability to weigh in on
9 things, even if I am in the lone dissent, I want to
10 be able to have that full discussion and opportunity.
11 So that's the first thing.

12 When you look at the secretary's
13 notice, it is not merely ministerial. It is setting
14 forth in there a lot of policy and a lot of
15 information that goes -- goes, not just to the
16 factual issues, but rather is setting forth policy.
17 That concerns me.

18 Staff said that the notice didn't limit
19 the discovery and, therefore, it was broad. That's
20 staff's interpretation. Again, if the notice had
21 been presented to the Commission and the four corners
22 of it had been presented to the Commission or if it
23 had just been a Commission order, I do think we would
24 have seen a very different document and a very
25 different focus and a much narrower focus with a

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 disclosure. But, again, that's just playing Monday
3 night quarterback.

4 So the order on page six says that the
5 Commission's investigation into the roles ESCO play
6 in the state, this is discussing the -- whether or
7 not the notice was broad, that the presiding
8 administrative law judges considered the secretary's
9 issuance to be a ministerial act that implements the
10 court's order and advances the Commission's
11 continuing investigation.

12 I think that is illogical and I think it
13 also goes against what the focus is. The -- the
14 secretary is supposed to be issuing notices based on
15 the -- on behalf of the Commission, itself. To the
16 extent that the court order was focused on the
17 Commission itself and especially because there had
18 been some procedural missteps, I think it was -- not
19 only would have been wise, but I think it was
20 incumbent upon folks to bring it to the Commission
21 itself at the December 17th, 2016, session to
22 actually make sure that we were acting on the court's
23 order.

24 And, again, I do think that -- that it
25 would have been different. I do think, most likely,

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 that the Commission at that time would have, though,
3 authorized the hearing in some fashion.

4 On page eight of the order, it says that
5 the -- talking about the secretary's notice, that
6 essentially the secretary's notice -- sorry. Excuse
7 me. I was talking about the court order. I'm trying
8 to find the part where we say that -- here there's --
9 in this order, there's been a focus that the
10 secretary is really giving a heads-up to the parties.

11 There was a SAPA issued and then there
12 was a notice, and that notice was a heads-up. I
13 don't think that --.

14 MS. BIELAWSKI: Page 12.

15 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Oh, thank you.

16 So it says while the December 2nd, 2016,
17 notice advises parties that there will be an
18 evidentiary track in these proceedings, it is not a
19 notice setting a hearing. Instead, it is an
20 additional notice about process. The secretary never
21 was or claimed to be empowered to conduct
22 investigations or hearings. In that sense the
23 secretary was performing a ministerial function by
24 issuing the notice. The December 2nd, 2016, notice
25 gave parties a head start in the proceeding as to

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 what was to be required.

3 There is an implicit acknowledgement
4 that the secretary notices are supposed to be purely
5 ministerial. And here, if you read the December 2nd,
6 2016, notice I don't think anyone can say it was
7 purely ministerial. Well, some people can say it. I
8 think this draft order does -- that the December 2nd,
9 2016, notice was purely ministerial. It goes much
10 deeper than that and really reads more like an order
11 than it does a notice setting forth the parameters
12 based on the order.

13 And to say that the notice was really
14 just giving the parties a head start in the
15 proceeding, I think takes away the importance of
16 notices being issued on behalf of the Commission from
17 Commission order or directives.

18 And while the notice is categorized as
19 being fully responsive to the directive in Judge
20 Zwack's (phonetic spelling) decision and order and
21 while, in fact, the judge may say that it's okay to
22 the extent that the focus was on the Commission
23 itself, I think that's very important.

24 So that's the threshold issue as to the
25 secretary's notice. I think we failed; therefore, I

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 am not in favor of the -- of approving the draft
3 order in its current form.

4 But then we get into the second --
5 second piece, which is the scope. I do think that
6 the scope is too broad. I do think that we do need
7 to look at ways that we could make sure that we're
8 not chilling C and I customers and that we do look at
9 the -- somehow figuring out a way to make sure that
10 we are addressing the court issue, which everyone is
11 in agreement. The court issue is not about the C and
12 I customers. The court issue is about the
13 residential mass market.

14 And so to the extent that we are not
15 opening the door so broad that everybody is involved
16 that doesn't need to be, I think we need to really
17 look at that.

18 There is -- there are some other
19 threshold issues that I think needs to be focused on.
20 There was a July 25th, 2017, letter that came from
21 the ESCOs asking that it be shared with the
22 commissioners. It wasn't expressly shared. I went
23 into the file, looked at all of the documents, and
24 then that's when I found the letter.

25 I do think it is important that items

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 that come in after the draft orders are being looked
3 at and circulated should flag those items that may be
4 pertinent. May not be pertinent to the staff writer,
5 but it is pertinent to the Commission that they have
6 an opportunity, especially when there's a letter that
7 directly asks that it be shared with the
8 commissioners.

9 I do believe that we need to find a
10 better way. I do want to implore the Chair, as well
11 as the administrative law judges, that we look at
12 opportunities and we try to figure out a way to move
13 forward.

14 Since we've been focused on this, what
15 seems to have fallen off from our radar is laser
16 focus on information and updates on what's happening
17 on the enforcement end, as well as how customers are
18 doing. There's been no real discussion on some of
19 the rollout with the court orders, as well as if
20 we're hearing from -- at least this commissioner
21 hasn't heard on if there's been any questions or
22 concerns not only from the ESCOs, but my primary
23 importance is the customers, as well as the utilities
24 and the implementation from it.

25 I do want to track that. I do want to

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 make sure that we are looking at it to the extent
3 that a lot of the issues in this hearing are actually
4 being looked at in other proceedings like the VDR,
5 like the low-income collaborative, like some of the
6 working groups. I do think it's important that to
7 the extent that we look at that list --
8 administrative law judges can do that, and those
9 items that are already going to be flushed out for
10 discussion purposes in other pending actions where
11 there is a lot of robust discussion.

12 There are more players or parties in --
13 in that. I think that may be appropriate to move
14 some of those items over there if folks are in
15 agreement, especially if the same folks are -- are
16 involved in it. It may be an opportunity for folks
17 to come to agreement outside of the very -- what I
18 would call the siloed evidentiary hearing.

19 I am -- I am about to conclude. I do
20 want to just note that what we are not addressing now
21 is some other threshold issues. There may be other
22 things that come, attorney-client privilege, as well
23 as other privilege documents, or other privilege
24 requests that come that will go to the front lines
25 with the administrative law judge. And so those may

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 wind up coming before the administrative law judge
3 needing to rule on it or somehow coming back to us.

4 And so what I don't want to see happen
5 is that if parties, and in particular ESCOs, choose
6 to make sure that they let folks know that they have
7 concerns about this, formally and informally, and
8 make their appropriate motions or take their actions,
9 that they're not seen as somehow trying to chill the
10 -- the next steps.

11 That may be in some case. And to the
12 extent that the administrative law judge should
13 clearly try to ferret out those folks who were just
14 looking to delay, but there may be an opportunity
15 where they need to say we're preserving our right,
16 and these are our reasons why, and try to work
17 through the process. And that's what I'd like to
18 see, where folks are not having to give up their
19 right to work through that.

20 I am very, very concerned. And this
21 isn't before us now -- I am very, very concerned with
22 subpoenas getting issued without having the
23 Commission have voted on that. I -- I am not sure --
24 at least in my history, I am not sure that I've ever
25 seen a subpoena get issued before. It may be, but to

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 the extent that that is an extraordinary act, I think
3 we need to be very careful especially when there are
4 subpoenas going to nonparties and what that means,
5 and especially when the scope is so broad.

6 It may be today that we're subpoenaing
7 ESCOs. It may be tomorrow we're subpoenaing
8 individual customers. And I don't -- I don't believe
9 that we're going to do that. I would think -- and
10 just let me make myself clear, no one has any intent
11 of that. My -- my issue is we need to make sure that
12 we're very clear in the appropriateness of when
13 someone is issuing a subpoena and what the four
14 corners are.

15 I would like to see, if that's going to
16 happen, that there's a general discussion by the
17 Commission and a working through what that process
18 would be so that we're not having staff making that
19 decision without the full weight of the Commission.
20 I think that's important from a staff perspective, as
21 well as for parties to know that there is that
22 opportunity.

23 So with that, I thank you. I think that
24 you've done a -- an incredible job of trying to work
25 through these issues. I do believe that there is a

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 pathway there, especially with our wonderful Chair.
3 And I hope that we can work towards a better process
4 and keep in mind that we need to not forego the
5 proper process, especially when it comes to the
6 Commission as a body speaking. And it's not just in
7 the ESCO world. It's in all of our matters. And we
8 need to take that very seriously and not sidestep the
9 Commission.

10 Thank you.

11 CHAIR RHODES: Thank you, Commissioner -
12 - thank you, Commissioner Burman. And certainly want
13 to note that we've heard your thoughtful request to
14 us as a Commission and personally to me as Chair.

15 Commissioner Alesi?

16 COMMISSIONER ALESI: Nothing more, Mr.
17 Chairman. Thank you.

18 CHAIR RHODES: Okay. Thank you.

19 With that, let's proceed to call for a
20 vote. My vote is in favor of the recommendations to
21 deny the appeal and affirm that the pending
22 evidentiary hearings conducted by the presiding
23 administrative law judges are authorized by the
24 Commission as described.

25 Commissioner Sayre, how do you vote?

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017

2 COMMISSIONER SAYRE: Aye.

3 CHAIR RHODES: Commissioner Burman?

4 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: No.

5 CHAIR RHODES: Commissioner Alesi?

6 COMMISSIONER ALESI: Aye.

7 CHAIR RHODES: The Item is approved and
8 the recommendations are adopted.

9 MR. AGRESTA: Mr. Chairman, before we
10 allow Deputy General Counsel Bielawski to leave, I'd
11 just like to point out that this is her last
12 appearance before the Commission, that she'll be
13 leaving our department shortly. And we all wish her
14 well on her new endeavors.

15 And I'm not going to announce where it
16 is because I don't know if that's public or not. But
17 we -- we all loved working with Julia and greatly
18 appreciate her efforts. And we're all -- and I, in
19 particular, am going to miss her greatly.

20 CHAIR RHODES: So -- so thank you, Paul,
21 for that entirely appropriate interruption and for
22 the sentiment you expressed.

23 And are there other commissioners who
24 would like to --?

25 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Thank you.

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017

2 CHAIR RHODES: Commissioner Burman,
3 thank you.

4 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: It has been a real
5 pleasure working with you. I have found you very
6 thoughtful, very professional, very diligent, and
7 very, very smart. And I have enjoyed getting to know
8 you on a personal level, as well as professional
9 level. You will be sorely missed.

10 You're going to a good place. You and I
11 both used to work there, so I am very excited for
12 your opportunity and look forward to seeing the good
13 things that will come from that.

14 Don't be a stranger and just know that
15 you have made a difference and -- a significant
16 difference. And you've touched many people, and I
17 appreciate all that you've done. So thank you.

18 COMMISSIONER SAYRE: From the very
19 beginning, you found your way through difficult,
20 thorny, legal thickets. Even in the area my -- my
21 home turf of telecommunications, you've come up with
22 some brilliant solutions that I never thought of.
23 I've been enormously impressed with your ability and
24 -- and your ability to work with people. And oh I
25 wish we could hang on to you.

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017

2 MS. BIELAWSKI: I just want to say thank
3 you. And I am -- it's been a privilege and a
4 blessing to work here. I've learned so much. I'm so
5 glad that I -- I got this opportunity. And -- and
6 I'm going to miss you all.

7 Thank you.

8 CHAIR RHODES: That was -- that was well
9 done. Thank you, Paul.

10 Let's move to the third item for
11 discussion. Item 301-B, Case 14-M-0101, which is the
12 Petition for Rehearing and/or Clarification Regarding
13 Opportunities for Participating in the REV Proceeding
14 and Utility Ownership of Distributed Energy Resources
15 for Low- and Moderate-Income Customers, presented by
16 Ted Kelly, Assistant Counsel.

17 Ted, please begin.

18 MR. KELLY: Good morning, Chair Rhodes
19 and Commissioners. Item 301-B is a proposed order,
20 denying a petition for rehearing or reconsideration
21 of the order adopting regulatory policy framework and
22 implementation plan in the Reforming the Energy
23 Vision case, also commonly known as the Track One
24 order or the REV Framework order.

25 The Track One order established a

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 framework for the development of a customer-centric
3 electric -- electric industry where distributed
4 energy resources are deployed by market participants
5 to benefit customers, the electric grid, and society.
6 The Track One order applied the general presumption
7 against utility ownership of generation to these
8 distributed energy resources, but identified several
9 limited exceptions to that rule.

10 In particular, one exception provided
11 for utility ownership of distributed energy resources
12 to enable low- and moderate-income residential
13 customers to benefit from those -- from those
14 resources where opportunities had not otherwise been
15 provided.

16 Petitioner and several nonprofits
17 requested Commission grant rehearing or
18 reconsideration and eliminate that exception or limit
19 it to utility funding of, rather than ownership of
20 distributed energy resources. They argue that the
21 decision prematurely assumed that markets would not
22 sufficiently serve low-and moderate-income customers.

23 The draft -- the draft item clarifies
24 that the exception does permit utility ownership of
25 DER to benefit low- and moderate-income customers

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 subject to Commission approval and oversight, and
3 denies the petition for rehearing. The Petitioners
4 do not present any legal factual -- legal or factual
5 errors that would demonstrate -- that would warrant
6 rehearing of the order.

7 Furthermore, they do not present any
8 evidence that low- and moderate-income customers have
9 currently been provided with sufficient access to the
10 benefits of DER through market mechanisms.
11 Therefore, all options should continue to be
12 considered in ensuring that those customers are able
13 to fully participate in the REV energy future,
14 including utility ownership.

15 As stated in the Track One order, the
16 Commission will consider each proposal for utility
17 ownership on its own merits and will determine
18 whether utility ownership in any particular case is
19 in the public interest and is necessary to provide
20 the greatest benefits to low- and moderate-income
21 customers and to further the goals of REV.

22 This careful oversight will ensure the
23 allowance for utility ownership does not provide --
24 prove a barrier to market developments that enable
25 those customers to be served low -- low -- including

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 low- and moderate-income customers to be served
3 through market mechanisms and DER markets.

4 Thank you.

5 CHAIR RHODES: Thank you very much, Ted.
6 Thank you for the -- for the -- welcome the -- the
7 clear explanation. And I recognize that in the Track
8 One order, as you say, the Commission had noted that
9 there might be --.

10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mic's not on.

11 CHAIR RHODES: Sorry.

12 Thank you, Ted, for the clear
13 explanation.

14 I recognize that in the Track One order,
15 the Commission had indeed noted that there might be
16 exceptional circumstances where utilities could
17 usefully and appropriately own DER, especially to the
18 benefit of low- or moderate-income customers and
19 recognize the importance of clarifying in this point
20 and in this order that ensuring service to LMI
21 customers and ensuring these customers' participation
22 in REV is -- is important.

23 So thank you.

24 Are there any comments or questions from
25 my fellow commissioners?

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017

2 Commissioner Sayre?

3 COMMISSIONER SAYRE: Utility ownership
4 of DER was one of the most controversial issues in
5 our Track One Rev order. And we made a compromise
6 between always allowing utilities to own and -- and -
7 - and put DERs into rate base or never. I think we
8 made a good compromise. I -- this order continues to
9 uphold that compromise. And I think, as Mr. Kelly
10 explained, we will very carefully look at -- at each
11 proposed exception involving LMI customers to see if
12 the proposal for utility ownership is in the public
13 interest. I think that's plenty of protection and
14 the right result.

15 CHAIR RHODES: Commissioner Burman?

16 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Thank -- thank you
17 very much.

18 So I think this is a unique situation in
19 that there are some issues that we agree with.
20 Ultimately, we don't agree on the most relevant
21 portions. So we all agree, I believe, that we should
22 be clarifying the Track One order. What that
23 clarification is is somewhat different. I have a
24 different viewpoint.

25 This item, we're treating as a

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 rehearing, slash, clarification petition of the Track
3 One REV order, which was issued February 26, 2015. I
4 actually was here, but did not vote for it as I was
5 excused from the Commission session for medical
6 reasons.

7 I do believe that if we -- if -- if it
8 had been before us at that time and I was there, I
9 think it may have looked somewhat differently or at
10 least there may have been an opportunity for me to
11 clarify some points to it.

12 But this petition was brought in the
13 relevant timeframe for petitions for rehearing and
14 was SAPA'd on April 29th, 2015, and comments were due
15 June 15th, 2015. That was over two and a half years
16 ago from the original order and a little over two
17 years from the formal comment period ending. Since
18 that time, there have been many other REV-related
19 orders and building upon this Track One order and
20 these have been issued. There're ongoing, numerous
21 proceedings that have been initiated. And many of
22 these issues are being further fleshed out in those
23 proceedings.

24 It concerns me that we have taken this
25 up so late. And it's really, in some ways, very

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 dated. And we've only taken it up -- I mean, I'd
3 like to clarify a lot of the aspects, but a lot of
4 the clarification is being done in other proceedings.
5 And some clarifications mean that things stay the
6 same and others they're getting changed. So it does
7 concern me that we're looking at this in isolation.

8 However, at the core of this petition
9 are two issues. The first issue is the issue of what
10 is the appropriate, if at all, mechanism to allow
11 utility ownership of DER for LMI customers. And the
12 second issue is the appropriate amount of public
13 participation and involvement.

14 I was originally going to do the first
15 issue before the second, but I think that I'll take -
16 - I'll take the second issue first.

17 The petition seeks to say we need to do
18 a better job with public engagement. I do believe
19 they're correct. I think that the draft order seeks
20 to point to several avenues of public engagement that
21 was done. They were done. Some of them were done
22 well, some of them were done great, and some of them
23 were not necessarily done the best, and maybe we'd do
24 it a little differently.

25 I think that really what, for me, is at

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 the core is that we should take heed that public
3 engagement may need to be better. I do believe that
4 the criticism is valid in some respects. I am
5 mindful that it's not just about having public
6 engagement for public engagement's sake. And
7 regulatory processes need to proceed with certainty
8 and, when necessary, with regulatory action.

9 And people will differ on what the right
10 amount of public engagement and processes and venues
11 will be. Different people will have different ideas,
12 but we need to be open to the criticism that exists
13 even if, in some cases, that criticism may be seen as
14 unfair. In this case the Track One order is now
15 over, but to the extent that we may be able to have
16 better processes, I think that we should look to
17 that.

18 I will say one of the things that I
19 think may be very appropriate to do is to go through
20 the very tedious exercise -- not me but others -- go
21 through the very tedious exercise of all of the items
22 in the generic proceeding and try to capture the ones
23 that are still open and where the buckets are. It's
24 very hard to find your way through that if you're
25 looking for a particular REV demo or you're looking

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 for a particular filing that's coming.

3 In some cases, we have done that. We've
4 opened up new proceedings and reflected it in that.
5 I do think that, really, while it might be tedious, I
6 think it really would be a great exercise and would
7 show that we are trying to work through that and put
8 the right things in the right place. So I offer that
9 just as constructive criticism and, you know, would
10 even -- would even offer up walking through that with
11 people if they want it. So for what that's worth,
12 that's the second prong of the two issues.

13 So now going back to the first issue,
14 which is what is the appropriate, if at all
15 mechanism, to allow utility ownership of DER for LMI
16 customers. Looking at the first issue of utility
17 ownership, the Track One order did address it.
18 However, candidly, it was unartfully addressed and
19 seemed to some to weigh on the side of not allowing
20 utility ownership, except if there was market
21 failure. Here, the seemingly pertinent language
22 focused on not allowing utility ownership of DER,
23 unless markets have an opportunity to provide a
24 service and fail to do so in a cost-effective manner.

25 However, the order was more nuanced than

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 that, and even more nuanced if one was dealing with
3 LMI customers. The LMI exception to the no utility
4 ownership rule focused on no utility ownership of DER
5 for LMI customers, unless there does not appear to be
6 a developing market for DER and the public interest
7 warrants utility investment that will support such
8 development.

9 So I've gone through the full file, as
10 well as focused on other areas where we have dealt
11 with low income, and there have been numerous areas.
12 In fact, there was a -- several different reports
13 that were issued, several different working groups
14 that have detailed minutes. And I did find it
15 actually very helpful to see the breadth of
16 discussion, not necessarily agreement, but the
17 breadth of discussion.

18 Even in the Value DER proceeding, I
19 looked again going forward. And the path forward, I
20 see that there is a working group that is going to be
21 looking at LMI and actually LMI and utility ownership
22 and whether it's appropriate. That kind of confused
23 me because we were dealing with that here, we dealt
24 with it in Track One, and now there's another
25 process.

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017

2 So I really want to make sure that we
3 are making our information available, we're not
4 necessarily spending inordinate amount of times
5 starting from scratch, and that we're having some
6 basic tenets of issues resolved.

7 To the extent that initially the low-
8 income collaborative was supposed to issue a report
9 for the Commission, itself, to weigh in on, while
10 there was a report issued, there was also a White
11 Paper that was not done and that is being now looked
12 at in the VDR.

13 To the extent that it be may be
14 appropriate for the Commission to make some initial
15 determinations on that and find the pathway, it is
16 all over the place. It's very, very confusing. And
17 I do recognize, again, the scope of the issues are
18 difficult, but at the end of the day, our job is to
19 help make a real difference for all customers and
20 especially those vulnerable and low income.

21 And I really -- to the extent that we
22 are doing our due diligence doing our evaluation and
23 our metrics ourselves, we ask, you know, the
24 companies that come before us to do that. We should
25 be, ourselves, evaluating and measuring and making

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 sure that we are doing things to make a difference
3 from that.

4 So I see it as four buckets. The
5 confusion lies in the order. And the first is what
6 is deemed not appearing to be the necessary
7 developing market. The second is what is utility
8 investment. The third is what is an LMI customer.
9 And the fourth, and probably the most important, is
10 what is in the public interest?

11 Now some groups, mostly environmental
12 and IPNY (phonetic spelling), would like to bar such
13 utility ownership of DER. Both groups may have
14 different reasons for doing so, but on the face of it
15 they're in agreement that at this time there should
16 not be allowed utility ownership of DER. Those groups
17 are not necessarily seen as together on many issues,
18 so I do take note that two -- two large groups that
19 may traditionally have opposing views on this point
20 were very clear.

21 Interesting, the city disagrees. And,
22 again, I went through every single filing and looked
23 at every -- at everything to make sure that I was
24 fully understanding and capturing exactly what the
25 parties' positions were, not just the summary from

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 staff.

3 So the interesting part is the city's
4 disagreement is on focusing on wanting the LMI
5 customers not to be barred for failure of private
6 entities being unwilling to serve LMI customers, and
7 they should have the same opportunities as the non-
8 LMI customers. So ironically -- first as a
9 threshold, ironically that issue is at the heart of
10 some of the separate ESCO issues. So I just found
11 that instructive.

12 But also the joint utilities oppose the
13 petition because in -- the petitions -- because, in
14 essence, they want the utilities to have an
15 opportunity to serve these customers as well, and
16 they believe that the Track One order protects the
17 development of the DER markets since there's built-in
18 flexibility in the Track One order which would allow
19 a non-utility ownership DER market to LMI customers,
20 as well as utility investment framework.

21 So their focus is on we can do it, as
22 well as have a utility investment framework. So in a
23 nutshell, except for the utilities look -- except for
24 utilities, almost all of the parties' rationale it's
25 clear that at first blush almost all of them would,

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 including the city, implicitly find that it would be
3 best if private entities would be willing to serve
4 LMI customers -- would be willing to serve. So the
5 issue is are they willing to serve and are there
6 barriers to that?

7 The city doesn't want to preclude such
8 customers from utility ownership DER if there's no
9 willing market participants. And the utilities see
10 that both can, in certain circumstances, exist.

11 So the language then becomes the focus
12 on this utility investment language. Now the
13 Commission order and sort of the language utility
14 investment in the order and the question is was it
15 meant to mean more specifically utility ownership.
16 Frankly, I think it's unclear and, you know, I -- I
17 do think that the wording utility investment was
18 focused on having -- and the section on that was
19 focused on having our consumer advocate working with
20 stakeholders, including low-income advocates,
21 utilities, and others to develop these utility
22 investments projects in the DSIPs and other ongoing
23 REV developments.

24 So I do think it was not intended to
25 lock in the Commission or the staff and stakeholders

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 on exactly what was meant, until later. Obviously,
3 therein lies the confusion. And for our purposes,
4 the later is now being called upon for clarification.
5 And the super majority of the Commission that voted
6 for that punt to later are no longer here with us.

7 Truthfully, if all -- all of this only
8 comes into play if there's no market. But even that
9 is complicated on what that actually means. However,
10 since the order also, elsewhere, focused on utility
11 ownership being banned, except for a few exceptions,
12 including if a DER project would benefit an LMI
13 residential customer, where markets are not likely to
14 satisfy the need.

15 So the order focused on utility
16 ownership being banned, except for a few exceptions,
17 which included if the DER project would benefit and
18 LMI residential customers where markets are not
19 likely to satisfy the need. So this exception to the
20 LMI residential customer implicitly understood that
21 the markets were -- were favored. And where the
22 markets are not likely to satisfy the need, the LMI
23 customer -- residential customer should be able to
24 look to, in some fashion, utility ownership. And
25 then it goes on to define ownership as owning,

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 leasing, contracting, or other forms of direct
3 sponsorship.

4 So I am persuaded that the basic
5 underlining premise of the Track One order is that
6 utility ownership of DER for LMI customers should be
7 generally disallowed except in very limited
8 circumstances. And the limited circumstances are no
9 utility ownership of DER for LMI customers, unless
10 there does not appear to be a developing market for
11 DER and the public interest warrants utility
12 investment that will support such development.
13 Again, it comes back to the four prongs.

14 Since the order makes clear there will
15 be Commission review of that, one will need to ensure
16 that we're very careful and cautious when we seek to
17 ignore the general rule of no utility ownership of
18 DER

19 So again, we come back to what I call
20 the confusion elements or the basic tenet elements
21 which is, one, what is deemed not appearing to be
22 necessary developing market. Two, what is utility
23 investment, and we looked at that. And, three, what
24 is an LMI customer? And, four, what is in the public
25 interest?

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017

2 So this is how I would clarify because,
3 again, I think we're all in agreement that there's a
4 need for clarification. But there's need for
5 clarification not in a vacuum because that Track One
6 order, again, is dated. Now it doesn't mean that
7 it's dated and everything goes away. What it means
8 is that we now have the benefit of information, other
9 proceedings as well as if we were to write that now,
10 how would we have written it. So it's not meant to
11 wipe out the Track One order, but it is meant to
12 clarify what it means for as we are dealing with it
13 now.

14 So what is deemed not appearing to be
15 the necessary developing market? We need to be very
16 careful to not give up on the developing market and
17 work with the market participants, low-income
18 advocates, and all stakeholders to define what
19 barriers exist to some such markets. As we see in
20 Item 301-A that we'll be addressing later, there is a
21 robust dialogue and -- and folks not only the parties
22 here who sought to have no utility ownership of DER,
23 but others including SEIA and Vote Solar, who were
24 also not willing to give up on the developing market,
25 as well as the city. But I will address that aspects

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 of whether it appears to be the necessary developing
3 market in 301-A.

4 The second prong is the what is utility
5 investment. Here that's a broad definition that was
6 meant in the order to be decided for another time.
7 To extent it can incorporate utility ownership, I
8 understand that. I do not think it was meant to help
9 with focused on not giving up on the market. So to
10 extent, I think this is the key. I think that that
11 section that dealt with utility investment and looked
12 to the consumer advocate working through it in other
13 REV avenues, including DSIPs, was meant that to the
14 extent something less than utility ownership should
15 be tried first or incorporated with that, that that
16 was what the focus was.

17 It was allowing, if necessary, utility
18 ownership, but it was really looking at it from a
19 very targeted and limited perspective and utility
20 investment was meant broader and there might be other
21 opportunities. And, you know, the one that comes to
22 mind to me is the financing aspects with the New York
23 Green Bank, et cetera, because it's about if you look
24 at the low-income collaborative report, not the White
25 Paper because that hadn't been -- that hasn't been

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 done yet, but the report identifies different
3 barriers, including financing. And that also is
4 dealing with some aspects in the credit risk and
5 other things.

6 So to the extent that I think there was
7 a -- there was a recognition from the Track One
8 order, as well as -- and the low-income
9 collaborative, that opportunities for financing and
10 other things may be appropriate. I think that's what
11 it was trying to get at, that utility investment that
12 might need to be there. And, again, working with the
13 market to the extent that you can.

14 So the next -- the third thing is what
15 is an LMI customer? I agree with the parties that
16 say that the LMI customer is not clearly defined in
17 this context and is too broad, that it virtually
18 makes it meaningless. I do think the Commission
19 captured the -- that broad definition for this
20 without much thought behind it and was intended to
21 make it more palatable to a broader set of folks and
22 to deal with it later.

23 However, to the extent that we should be
24 looking at this in phases and whether or not we need
25 to limit it to low-income folks, we can look at that

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 in the 301-A matter.

3 The fourth aspect is what is the public
4 interest. And I'm mindful that we need to be very
5 careful that any allowance for an exception to the
6 general rule that no utility ownership of DER needs
7 to be in the public interest. And that does include
8 trying to ensure that there's a vibrant and cost-
9 effective market, and, again, to the extent that
10 we'll look at that in 301-A, whether or not there is
11 a market.

12 In conclusion, as to the LMI exception
13 issue I would grant rehearing and reaffirm that
14 generally utility ownership for DER should not be
15 allowed. There are aspects of the order that dealt
16 with the LMI part that were unartful and inadequately
17 captured the intent and left things for later, I
18 would not seek to neatly address now in a Track One
19 order clarifying and saying it's okay.

20 And rather, I would say that since here
21 the Track One order clarification is being
22 inexplicably looked at over two and a half years
23 later to clarify, I don't think, frankly, that this
24 is the proper venue for the Commission now to speak.
25 Rather, I would look to the Value of DER proceeding

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 that has the LMI working group and will be looking at
3 the White Paper, and it's also considering some of
4 these very issues. And I would look to other
5 opportunities. And, again, the 301-A is one that
6 comes to mind.

7 And finally, the remaining aspect of the
8 petition -- oh, okay, that's -- that was the second
9 issue. So we dealt with that.

10 So overall, I would grant the rehearing.
11 I do not see that we're locked in forever to the
12 Track One order, in and of itself. We need to learn
13 from that and that's part of what has been the
14 different phased approach in looking at things and
15 making sure that we're on track and, if there needs
16 to be adjustments, that they are made appropriately,
17 but also trying to do things in a very constructive
18 and forward-thinking way I think is appropriate.

19 We do -- we are in agreement that the
20 Track One order needs clarification. We're just in
21 disagreement on what that clarification should be.
22 And as the clarification order is now before us, to
23 the extent that I'll look at the 301-A order, moving
24 forward on what is appropriate, rather than just
25 solely the rationale that the generic Track One order

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 allowed this exception.

3 So I'll be voting against the draft
4 order that's presented. But I do thank folks for
5 their thoughtfulness in this. And I do know that we
6 will be revisiting a lot of these issues in a way to
7 make sure that they make sense.

8 So thank you.

9 CHAIR RHODES: Thank you, Commissioner
10 Burman. Thank you, Commissioner Burman.

11 Commissioner Alesi?

12 COMMISSIONER ALESI: Nothing more at
13 this point. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

14 CHAIR RHODES: Thank you.

15 With that, I call for a vote. My vote
16 is in favor of the recommendations to deny rehearing
17 and provide for clarification and to provide for
18 clarification as described.

19 Commissioner Sayre, how do you vote?

20 COMMISSIONER SAYRE: Aye.

21 CHAIR RHODES: Commissioner Burman?

22 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: No.

23 CHAIR RHODES: Commissioner Alesi?

24 COMMISSIONER ALESI: Aye.

25 CHAIR RHODES: The item is approved and

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 recommendations are adopted.

3 Move now to the fourth item for
4 discussion, Item 301-A, Case 16-E-0622, which is the
5 Petition of Consolidated Edison Company of New York,
6 Inc., for Approval of a Pilot Program for Providing
7 Shared Solar to Low-Income Customers, presented by
8 Marco Padula, Deputy Director for Market Structure.

9 Ted Kelly is available for questions.
10 Marco, please begin.

11 MR. PADULA: Thank you. Good morning,
12 Chair Rhodes and Commissioners.

13 Item 301-A is a draft order, addressing
14 a filing by Consolidated Edison Company of New York,
15 Inc., seeking approval of a pilot program for
16 providing shared solar to low-income customers. In
17 its petition, filed on October 31st, 2016, Con Edison
18 seeks approval of a shared solar pilot program to
19 provide community distributed generation to customers
20 participating in the company's established electric
21 low-income affordability program.

22 The shared solar pilot would provide
23 low-income households with opportunities to
24 participate in clean and renewable energy offerings.

25 The company proposed that the shared

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 solar pilot would consist of two phases, an initial
3 phase to test the pilot program and a second phase
4 expanding the pilot if it proves to be successful.

5 The draft order before you, if adopted,
6 would approve only the first phase of Con Edison's
7 proposed pilot, allowing Con Edison to competitively
8 procure the design, siting, permitting, construction,
9 and commissioning of three megawatts of solar
10 generation.

11 The solar panels will be installed on
12 company-owned rooftops and other company-owned
13 locations. Con Edison plans to prioritize the
14 installation of the solar generation in areas where
15 additional distributed resource penetration may
16 benefit the system and, therefore, benefit other
17 customers through a reduced need for traditional
18 infrastructure investments. Any program expansion
19 beyond the first phase will require future approval
20 by the Commission.

21 The three-megawatt project size will
22 enable the company to provide an average \$5-per-month
23 monetary credit, to up to 1,600 participating low-
24 income customers.

25 The draft order finds that Con Edison's

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 ownership of the three-megawatt solar generation
3 project is warranted under the exceptions to the
4 general rule against utility ownership described in
5 the REV Track One order, specifically the exception
6 which states that a project will enable low- or
7 moderate-income residential customers to benefit from
8 DERs where markets are not likely to satisfy the
9 need.

10 In this case, the draft order grants Con
11 Edison ownership in response to the current lack of
12 opportunity for low-income participation in projects
13 like this, and, as such, will provide experience with
14 a model for low-income participation in DER programs.
15 I would note that the draft order also expressly
16 states that this decision is not a precedent.

17 Specifically, the shared solar pilot
18 would provide an opportunity for participation in
19 DERs for low-income customers which, to date, has not
20 been provided by markets in Con Edison's territory.

21 The shared solar pilot will enable the
22 company to understand how low-income customers
23 respond to various program design features and allow
24 the company to explore and report the most effective
25 roles for the utility, third parties, and community

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 organizations in providing these customers access to
3 DERs.

4 Lastly, in addition to various reporting
5 requirements, the draft order requires Con Edison to
6 develop an implementation plan in consultation with
7 staff, which will be submitted back to the Commission
8 for Commission approval.

9 This concludes my presentation. I'm
10 available for any questions.

11 CHAIR RHODES: Thank you, Marco. Thank
12 you for the very clear discussion.

13 I would like to note several things
14 here. Obviously, I note the concordance of this
15 proposed project with the item we just discussed, the
16 -- around the LMI exception. I note the cautious
17 approach here, the scale, the limited nature inherent
18 to a pilot, the phasing, the filing of an
19 implementation plan subject to Commission approval,
20 all in response to an absence to date of market or
21 other solutions.

22 And I also note the attention in Con
23 Ed's project planning that will be given to assist in
24 benefits resulting from appropriate deployment of the
25 resource.

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017

2 Those conclude my -- my observations.

3 Commissioner Sayre?

4 COMMISSIONER SAYRE: I fully concur with
5 the Chair's comments. I think Con Ed made the case
6 that this pilot is in the public interest. And I
7 have the same view of the program as Chairman Rhodes.
8 It's limited. It's cautious. It involves steps --
9 further steps for Commission approval of the
10 implementation plan. I think this is a good news
11 story.

12 CHAIR RHODES: Commissioner Burman?

13 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Thank you.

14 I do recognize that 301-B was voted on
15 and so that now has to be factored in into the 301-A
16 order that's before us. I do want to note that it
17 wasn't just about the Track One order. It also looks
18 to the community net metering. And in July 2015, the
19 Commission issued a order that established a
20 community net metering in the state. And the
21 community net metering allows multiple customers to
22 subscribe and receive credits to the electricity
23 produced from onsite renewable generation facility.

24 And the policy was done to make it
25 possible for, primarily, renters and low-income

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 residents and homeowners to receive credits for
3 renewable energy who previously could not install
4 renewable generation facility in their home. And in
5 general, the community energy project was deemed, in
6 July 2015, to require a minimum of 10 members.

7 In March of 2017, the Commission in
8 recognition -- again, in recognition of the work that
9 had been done through the community net metering low-
10 income collaborative, in -- in there it listed out
11 several different barriers to community net metering.
12 There was a concern from folks that we were not
13 moving forward fast enough with community net
14 metering and that one of several barriers dealt with
15 the 10-member requirement.

16 So the Commission, in limited fashion,
17 myself included, waived the minimum 10-member
18 requirement in -- in some areas. And so the
19 community net metering was divided also into two
20 phases, the phase one, which was until April 30th,
21 2016, which was supposed to be an introductory phase.
22 And then during this period the projects were limited
23 to siting distribution -- distributed generation in
24 areas where provide greatest locational benefits to
25 the larger grid and in areas that promote low-income

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 customer participation.

3 And then the second phase, which was to
4 begin in May 1st, 2016, when the community net
5 metering projects will fully be implemented or going
6 to be implemented throughout the other utility
7 service territories. There have been some
8 applications. In the Con Ed territory, I believe
9 that there were 10 CDG projects. I don't believe
10 that any have gotten off the ground.

11 Is that correct?

12 MR. KELLY: I believe -- I believe it's
13 correct that none of them are in operation yet and I
14 --

15 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Right. Okay.

16 MR. KELLY: -- and 10 sounds as either
17 correct --

18 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Okay.

19 MR. KELLY: -- or close to correct, yes.

20 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: And -- and none
21 of them deal with the low-income community. Is that
22 correct?

23 MR. KELLY: That's right. To our
24 knowledge, none of them are focused on low- or
25 moderate-income customers.

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017

2 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Okay. So here,
3 the -- the focus really is in has there been a -- a
4 failure. And again, the -- the focus is on the low-
5 income DER customers and whether or not there's a
6 sufficient market that is existing. And if not, then
7 the Commission, based on 301-B, believes that utility
8 ownership of DER for low-income -- low -- LMI
9 customers may be appropriate.

10 And so that is now before us in what is
11 the market. Is it not sufficient enough? And part
12 of the concern that I have is that, again, we have
13 multiple -- multiple parties who might normally be
14 not on the same page, and here are very afraid that
15 we are foregoing the market without giving it a
16 sufficient chance. And most of their focus has been
17 on that it's premature, that there are other areas
18 that were looking at that, the low-income
19 collaborative, as well as the VDR, and that the --
20 there has been -- and frankly, also the ESCO
21 proceedings were making it hard for folks to commit.

22 And so there was concern that we would
23 rush into utility ownership of DER and then there
24 would never be an opportunity for the market to
25 develop because the utility has taken over. I think

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 that's primarily, you know, the -- the bulk of the
3 concern is that we're, you know, rushing into this.

4 This -- this shared solar pilot and the
5 draft order has tried to make it very -- take the
6 very cautious approach and to not -- you know, we are
7 not saying that there is market failure. What we are
8 saying is that we are making this a pilot that should
9 be instructive in working with the different folks to
10 give information that may be helpful not only to the
11 utility, but to the customers and to those market
12 participants so that if there are barriers that are
13 making folks unable to come and have active projects,
14 that we should try to identify that.

15 So I do think that one of the things
16 that's helpful here is that we are not, after today,
17 allowing the utility, in this case Con Ed, to rush
18 ahead and do a very broad program. We are limiting
19 it and we are telling them that they must come back
20 to the Commission, as a whole, with their
21 implementation plan. And that implementation plan
22 must be very clear in some of the goals that are
23 going to be done and some of the actual details in
24 fleshing that out.

25 So it is trying to have an opportunity

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 for folks, frankly, like was done with BQDM in many
3 respects, to be able to offer their thoughts on the
4 implementation plan, to be able to offer some
5 constructive criticisms, and to also again look to
6 ways to enhance the market in a way that may then be
7 able to have the utility get out of the way later, or
8 be able to understand some of those developments.

9 This is one small tool in the toolkit.
10 There are many other aspects that are out there. I
11 think this order -- draft order makes very clear that
12 the market is important, that this is not now a
13 signal to all utilities to go rushing ahead, that
14 instead, it is really looking for some clear guidance
15 through this pilot to try to actually entice folks to
16 this.

17 We do have an issue that we have wanted
18 to have CDG as a whole and also then for low-income
19 customers. And we need to help unbottle the issues,
20 but in a way that is not forgetting about the market
21 but rather is trying to embrace that.

22 So I can be in agreement with this item,
23 first, the threshold issue is the 301-B decided the
24 threshold issue, but then second because it is very
25 narrow and when -- when folks see the draft order,

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 they will see, I think, the careful and cautious
3 approach that's being taken. But for me, also what's
4 most important is that the Commission, as a whole, is
5 trying to work through this and that the
6 implementation plan will come back to the Commission
7 in this case.

8 It doesn't mean that in other cases, in
9 all cases, that that will be done, but for right now,
10 it's very important that the Commission weighs in on
11 this. And I think, just like the 301-B, as well as
12 the initial Track One order, there was a very clear
13 recognition that the Commission itself needs to
14 review the exceptions to the utility ownership of DER
15 and this is following those basic elements.

16 And I give credit to the staff willing
17 to work through this. I know you've had many
18 internal challenges with me on, you know, making it
19 as -- as carefully and narrowly focused and again,
20 making sure that we are clearly trying to help not
21 say goodbye to the market, but actually to embrace
22 them and look at the other barriers which may need to
23 be taken up in other proceedings.

24 So thank you very much.

25 CHAIR RHODES: Thank you, Commissioner

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017

2 Burman.

3 Commissioner Alesi?

4 COMMISSIONER ALESI: Thank you.

5 CHAIR RHODES: Thank you.

6 With that, I now call for a vote. My
7 vote is in favor of the recommendations to approve
8 the first phase of Con Edison's shared solar pilot
9 and direct the filing of an implementation plan
10 subject to Commission approval as described.

11 Commissioner Sayre, how do you vote?

12 COMMISSIONER SAYRE: Aye.

13 CHAIR RHODES: Commissioner Burman?

14 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Aye.

15 CHAIR RHODES: Commissioner Alesi?

16 COMMISSIONER ALESI: Aye.

17 CHAIR RHODES: The item is approved and
18 recommendations are adopted.

19 We now move to the fifth item for
20 discussion. Item 302, Case 17-E-0428, which is the
21 Investigation into the April 21, 2017, Metropolitan
22 Transportation Authority's Subway Power Outage and
23 Consolidated Edison Company of New York Inc.'s
24 Restoration Efforts, presented by Mike Worden,
25 Director, Office of Electric, Gas and Water, and Paul

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017

2 Agresta, General Counsel.

3 Mike, please begin.

4 MR. WORDEN: Good afternoon, Chair

5 Rhodes and Commissioners.

6 Item 302 is a draft emergency order in
7 which the Commission would direct certain steps be
8 taken to safeguard and maintain the New York City
9 subway system. As you know, in recent months,
10 increasingly frequent power outages have led to
11 failures of infrastructure throughout the
12 Metropolitan Transportation Authority's rail and
13 subway systems. Staff's investigation into the
14 quality of Consolidated Edison's power supply to the
15 MTA system began as a result of an April 21, 2017,
16 power failure that led to significant delays across
17 MTA's subway system.

18 The April 21st outage resulted from a
19 failure of Con Edison's electricity supply to the 7th
20 Avenue subway station. This, in turn, led to a loss
21 of subway signals. It was also determined that one
22 of the secondary services to that MTA facility had
23 been previously rerouted and Con Edison's failure to
24 document the change in its records significantly
25 delayed repair of the electrical systems after the

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 outage occurred.

3 The initial results of our investigation
4 indicate that there are significant actions that Con
5 Edison should be taking now to improve resiliency and
6 prevent future service failures.

7 First, Con Edison needs to dedicate
8 additional personnel and perform comprehensive
9 inspections of all electrical equipment that serves
10 the MTA system, including equipment in its own
11 manholes, MTA's interlocking station equipment, and
12 area substation equipment serving MTA's high voltage
13 substations.

14 Second, MTA needs to install new sensors
15 and monitoring equipment throughout its system,
16 including advanced metering infrastructure and
17 associated communications networks.

18 Third, Con Edison needs to replace all
19 aluminum conductors that supply MTA's signaling
20 services with copper cable. It needs to install
21 redundant electric supply options for MTA's signaling
22 services and it needs to design, install or modernize
23 automatic transfer panels and related equipment.

24 Fourth, Con Edison needs to establish a
25 stockpile of emergency generators that can be used to

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 respond quickly on demand across all MTA stations and
3 support the establishment of quick connects and other
4 equipment needed to deploy the generators.

5 Fifth, Con Edison needs to form a
6 complete detailed failure analysis of all power
7 supply and power quality events affecting MTA
8 signaling services.

9 Sixth, Con Edison needs to establish a
10 dedicated operations response team and participate in
11 activities of MTA's crisis response team in joint
12 emergency drills.

13 Second -- Seventh, Con Edison needs to
14 assist in the performance of a detailed power quality
15 assessment.

16 And finally, Con Edison needs to prepare
17 a project management plan to coordinate all of its
18 activities related to the MTA system and track its
19 performance and expenditures in this remedial effort
20 and provide monthly reports on its activities to the
21 Commission.

22 This is just a summary of some of the
23 activities that need to be done. I would report that
24 DPS, MTA, and Con Edison technical staffs have been
25 communicating extensively on the details of the scope

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 of the remediation measures that are necessary. Our
3 expectation is that Con Edison will provide the
4 necessary resources and its full cooperation to the
5 Commission, the staff of the Department of Public
6 Service, and the staff of the MTA in ensuring the
7 remediation, maintenance, and repair of electricity
8 service provided to the MTA

9 That completes my part of the
10 presentation. Paul will now address the procedural
11 aspects.

12 MR. AGRESTA: Okay. I have just two
13 points that I want to mention. The first is that the
14 draft order notes that Con Edison has consented to
15 the ordering clauses. I just want to explain that
16 that does not mean that this is a joint proposal or a
17 settlement agreement. It means that Con Edison is
18 working with staff and has indicated that it is
19 trying to fully cooperate with the attempts to do
20 what this order is attempting to do.

21 The proposal, as before you, is not a
22 joint proposal; it's the chairman's proposal with the
23 assistance of staff.

24 The other thing I -- before I go on to
25 the second one, I'd like to also say that we have

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 been working very hard with Con Edison and at least
3 my experience in working with them on this effort has
4 been that they've been very responsive and
5 cooperative and I want to thank them for that.

6 The second thing I want to point out is
7 that the proposal on an emergency basis. What that
8 means is that there isn't enough -- this really needs
9 to get done now and there really isn't enough time to
10 put it out for comment and wait for the comments
11 before you act.

12 When you adopt something on an emergency
13 basis, you then will follow it up with a notice
14 published in the State Register, a consideration of
15 comments, and then this order will expire on its own
16 within 90 days. And once the comments come in and
17 you've considered them, you will have to again
18 consider whether you want to further adopt the rules
19 that are proposed here. So we expect that we'll be
20 back in a couple of months to do that process.

21 And that's all I have for -- unless
22 there's any questions.

23 CHAIR RHODES: Thank you, Mike. Thank
24 you, Paul, for the summary of where we are, what
25 we're doing, and the process involved.

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017

2 I certainly appreciate the importance of
3 the investigation described by Mike. I also
4 appreciate, deeply, the fact that we face a crisis
5 and, indeed, a declared state of emergency. It's
6 clear that electric power issues on the combined
7 MTA/Con Ed systems are part of the problem and that
8 they can result in costly and dangerous disruptions
9 to service, which means we really have to take swift
10 and effective action and help all partners also take
11 swift and effective action.

12 And I note with appreciation Con Ed's
13 consent to the work described -- summarized by Mike
14 to its cooperation, and to its initiation already of
15 good parts of the work that are described in Mike's
16 summary.

17 Commissioner Sayre?

18 COMMISSIONER SAYRE: I just want to say
19 that I'm fully in accord with the item and with the
20 Chair's comments.

21 CHAIR RHODES: Commissioner Burman?

22 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Thank you, Chair.

23 Because of the extent of the problem,
24 depth of public concern, including the issuance of an
25 executive order from Governor Cuomo, Chair Rhodes

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 directed staff to initially investigate the matter as
3 it concerned both Con Edison's power quality and
4 power supply to the MTA system.

5 Staff, under the direction of Chair
6 Rhodes, worked with both the MTA and Con Ed to try
7 and determine not only the issues which led to the
8 relevant outages, but to determine what needs
9 attention to protect the safety and general welfare
10 of the public as it concerns the reliability and
11 resiliency of the power connected to the MTA subway
12 system.

13 We, at the Commission, have very limited
14 information at this time. We're now being asked,
15 based on staff reporting and initial careful review
16 from Chair Rhodes and as a chair proposal as
17 evidenced by his letters to Con Ed, to allow a
18 further 90-day review. This review is in looking at
19 the past and in looking forward and clearly
20 identifying, making sure that we are focused on
21 making sure that we have the information to try to
22 prevent any future events.

23 The focus is on Con Ed, MTA, and DPS
24 staff continuing to work cooperatively to identify
25 what needs to be done to safeguard and maintain

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 adequate utility service to the subway system
3 operated by the MTA

4 Roles of the Commission are not here in
5 this order and at this time determining fault or
6 assessing the proper cost allocations. Rather, we
7 are authorizing this continued investigation, as well
8 as giving the specific framework with targeted
9 activities and short timeline deliverables necessary
10 to properly undertake this endeavor. This includes
11 ensuring relevant experts such as EPRI and personnel
12 are at hand to help with this important matter.

13 This matter may come back to the
14 Commission within 90 days of the issuance of this
15 order. And at that time, if there are any issues in
16 dispute or needing further clarification or detailed
17 next steps, we can address them at that time.

18 What is most important is that the
19 technical, on-the-ground engineering and power
20 resiliency folks are actively engaged in identifying
21 root causes and solutions to power quality and supply
22 events to avoid or minimize future events. To that
23 end, the upcoming joint response drill activities are
24 imperative. I would ask that such response drill
25 activities involve, as appropriate, emergency

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 responders including the FDNY.

3 I am comfortable voting in favor of this
4 item and ask that staff at DPS and Con Ed to continue
5 to keep at the forefront that safety is everyone's
6 business and we must work together to find solutions.
7 Thank you. I am in favor of this.

8 CHAIR RHODES: Thank you, Commissioner
9 Burman.

10 Commissioner Alesi?

11 COMMISSIONER ALESI: Thank you, Mr.
12 Chairman. This is very encouraging to me. I find
13 this whole situation intriguing.

14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your microphone?

15 COMMISSIONER ALESI: But I think this
16 might be an opportunity for us to see a leap forward
17 to improve the --.

18 ASSISTANT SECRETARY AISSI: Commissioner
19 Alesi, I'm sorry to interrupt you, but can you turn
20 on your microphone? The green button. Thank you.

21 COMMISSIONER ALESI: How's that?

22 CHAIR RHODES: There you go.

23 COMMISSIONER ALESI: Okay?

24 Well, I am encouraged by this to say the
25 least. I think for the average citizen to pay

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 attention to this from somewhere outside of the
3 metropolitan area, it's intriguing to me. And I'm
4 encouraged by the actions that are here before us as
5 an opportunity to improve the quality of life in the
6 metropolitan area, to improve the safety of those
7 people that use the MTA, and of course, for the
8 economic health of the city itself, as well as the
9 state. And I look forward to whatever public input
10 comes in the future as a result of this. And I'll be
11 supporting this issue.

12 CHAIR RHODES: Thank you, Commissioner
13 Alesi.

14 So now I call for a vote. My vote is in
15 favor of the recommendations as described.

16 Commissioner Sayre?

17 COMMISSIONER SAYRE: Aye.

18 CHAIR RHODES: Commissioner Burman?

19 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Aye.

20 CHAIR RHODES: Commissioner Alesi?

21 COMMISSIONER ALESI: Aye.

22 CHAIR RHODES: The item is adopted and
23 the recommendations are approved.

24 We move now to the sixth item for
25 discussion, the final item for discussion. Item 303,

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 Cases 15-E-0250 et al, which is the Petitions of
3 Pareto Energy Limited, presented by Bridget Woebbe,
4 Assistant Counsel.

5 Bridget, please begin.

6 MS. WOEBBE: Thank you, Chair.

7 Good afternoon, Commissioners.

8 Item 303 is a draft order that responds
9 to two related petitions filed by Pareto Energy
10 Limited. The first was a petition to implement a
11 microgrid business model as a least cost resource to
12 meet reliability contingencies and demand management
13 objectives at Consolidated Edison Company of New
14 York. It was filed on April 10th, 2015.

15 The second, a petition for rehearing,
16 was filed on April 10th, 2017, repeats Pareto's 2015
17 request, but is styled as a petition for rehearing of
18 the Commission's March 9th, 2017, order on
19 Distributed System Implementation Plan filings, or
20 DSIP filings.

21 The petition for rehearing alleges that
22 the Commission committed errors of law and fact per
23 Public Service Law Section 22 and the regulations
24 implementing that statute. The 2015 petition seeks
25 relief from the Commission, including establishing

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 Pareto's proposed microgrid business model as
3 eligible for funding as a least cost resource for
4 meeting reliability contingencies and demand
5 management objectives under three separate funding
6 opportunities. And it also requests that the
7 Commission direct Consolidated Edison to interconnect
8 to microgrids using the Petitioner's non-synchronous
9 interconnection technology which it markets as
10 GridLink.

11 Pareto intends to implement this
12 GridLink technology at the King's Plaza combined heat
13 and power project, located in Brooklyn, and at the
14 Kennedy International Airport cogeneration facility
15 located in Queens.

16 The petition for rehearing alleges that
17 the Commission's order on DSIP filings erred
18 factually and legally by not considering certain
19 technologies, namely its GridLink technology, and
20 that the utilities violated the law by not adopting
21 these technologies.

22 By this order, the Commission denies
23 Pareto's petition and denies Pareto's petition for
24 rehearing. The order makes clear that Pareto is
25 encouraged to continue to pursue viable funding

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 streams to develop its GridLink technology to
3 maturity, and to continue to engage in the New York
4 market, but the relief requested by these petitions
5 is an inappropriate attempt to bypass available
6 utility programs and obtain funding.

7 Staff and I are available if there are
8 any questions.

9 CHAIR RHODES: Thank you, Bridget. I
10 welcomed the summary. I appreciate the -- the legal
11 analysis. And I also note the well-made point that
12 the Commission should refrain from interfering in
13 appropriate effective selection processes, in this
14 case by NYSERDA and/or by Con Ed, in determining
15 which specific projects to select in part -- as part
16 of a broader program. I think that's an entirely
17 appropriate restraint.

18 Commissioner Sayre, any comments from
19 you?

20 COMMISSIONER SAYRE: Although it's
21 fairly clear in the order, I guess I want to make it
22 additionally clear that in this decision, we're not
23 rejecting the use of the company's proposed
24 technology. And, in fact, I hope sometime in the
25 future it will get a trial. All we're doing here is

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 saying that the company has not made the case for us
3 to require the use, ultimately at ratepayer expense,
4 of this technology. It's as simple as that.

5 So I urge the company not to abandon its
6 efforts and continue to work with utilities and other
7 potential partners.

8 CHAIR RHODES: Commissioner Burman?

9 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Thank you, Chair.

10 Here, Petitioner essentially, among
11 other things, seeks for the Commission to mandate Con
12 Ed to interconnect its GridLink technology. This
13 situation is complicated because the matter overlaps
14 with numerous economic opportunities for Petitioner
15 to be a part of. This includes New York stand-alone
16 microgrid projects, REV demos, non-wire alternatives,
17 DG interconnection opportunities, and dynamic load
18 management programs.

19 I am truly sympathetic to Petitioner
20 here. A few years ago, they were awarded, with much
21 excitement, a state grant related to the King's Plaza
22 Brooklyn Microgrid's Project and it appeared to
23 Petitioner that all systems were likely to be a go.

24 Petitioner spent considerable time
25 working with numerous folks, including Con Ed, DPS,

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 NYSERDA, and, near the end, the ISO. It is
3 disappointing to Petitioner to date that it does not
4 have a viable active project and feels that NYSERDA
5 and other state energy processes have been a barrier
6 to competition.

7 We do need to take seriously allegations
8 and concerns that our energy processes are barriers
9 to success. However, this petition is not the right
10 venue. We should not be in the business of picking
11 the winners and losers. And thus, we can't direct
12 Con Ed to select Petitioner for its REV projects.
13 However, it is something we need to review globally
14 in the future to work with all parties to ensure we
15 are getting rid of any perceived unfair regulatory
16 barriers.

17 I support denying this petition, not
18 necessarily for all the reasons outlined in the draft
19 order, but rather, for the limited reasons that the
20 petition is denied without prejudice for Petitioner
21 to seek and obtain funding in the future and to
22 encourage Petitioner to work, if it still desires,
23 with Con Ed to move the interconnection process
24 forward for the King's Plaza Project.

25 Thank you.

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017

2 CHAIR RHODES: Thank you, Commissioner

3 Burman.

4 Commissioner Alesi?

5 COMMISSIONER ALESI: Nothing. Thank

6 you.

7 CHAIR RHODES: With that, I move to call

8 for a vote. My vote is in favor of the

9 recommendation to deny the petitions, as described.

10 Commissioner Sayre, how do you vote?

11 COMMISSIONER SAYRE: Aye.

12 CHAIR RHODES: Commissioner Burman?

13 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Aye, with -- based

14 on my comments at session.

15 CHAIR RHODES: Thank you.

16 Commissioner Alesi?

17 COMMISSIONER ALESI: Aye.

18 CHAIR RHODES: The item is approved and

19 recommendations are adopted.

20 We will now move to the consent agenda.

21 Do any of my fellow Commissioners wish to recuse from

22 voting on any items on the consent agenda?

23 Seeing none, I call for a vote. My vote

24 is in favor of the recommendations on the consent

25 agenda.

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017

2 Commissioner Sayre?

3 COMMISSIONER SAYRE: Aye.

4 CHAIR RHODES: Commissioner Burman?

5 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Aye.

6 CHAIR RHODES: Commissioner Alesi?

7 COMMISSIONER ALESI: Aye.

8 CHAIR RHODES: The items are approved

9 and the recommendations are adopted.

10 If I may have your indulgence for one
11 more moment, Commissioner Burman, can I turn that
12 over to you?

13 COMMISSIONER BURMAN: Sure. I had the
14 pleasure yesterday of spending time with the gas
15 safety staff at the Commission, as well as about 130
16 people ranging from folks from FEMSA, fire
17 departments, fire chiefs, state fire department,
18 utilities, other companies dealing with gas safety,
19 as well as some miscellaneous folks involved in the
20 gas safety space.

21 I had that pleasure, with the support of
22 Chair Rhodes, to be a part of working on an all-day
23 focus on what we need to do to continue to work
24 through gas safety issues and improve gas safety.
25 Coming up on August 11th is Call 811 Day. It's very

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 important that we focus on that, but also focus on
3 our first responders and how we can work with them as
4 partners in embracing all that we do so that we can
5 try to reduce and have zero incidents, but also to
6 help in the planning of it and work through that.

7 We were really blessed that the Georgia
8 Public Service Commission sent to us someone who is
9 nationally recognized who is in the audience.

10 Michelle, if you'll just stand up?

11 She is really, you know, a mover and a
12 shaker not only in Georgia, but nationally, and has
13 been recognized in Georgia itself in a groundbreaking
14 program called the Georgia Pipeline Emergency
15 Response Initiative Project. So she came from
16 Georgia, not at the expense of New York, to share
17 with us, you know, their stakeholder involvement and
18 things that are working and to give us an opportunity
19 to, in this group -- this small group of 130 where we
20 moved to a larger room, to talk about these issues
21 and make sure that we are continually engaged. And
22 we were blessed to have many folks there.

23 And a lot of the issues on mutual aid
24 and planning and, frankly, really, for me, it
25 actually informed me on why, especially with the

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017
2 Joint Response Initiative with the MTA, why it's
3 important to make sure that we have at the table
4 those first responders like the FDNY, which is why I
5 pointed it out here, as well.

6 So I thank you. We're doing a lot of
7 amazing things in New York on gas safety. We're
8 working hand in hand and it helps us to make sure
9 that we continue to do that. So I'd ask everyone to
10 do their part and help us continue to have a
11 wonderful energy system with natural gas that is
12 safe, adequate, and reliable, and that starts with
13 safety. So thank you so much.

14 CHAIR RHODES: Thank you, Commissioner
15 Burman.

16 And thank you, Michelle, for coming --
17 for joining us.

18 Assistant Secretary Aissi, is there
19 anything further to come before us today?

20 ASSISTANT SECRETARY AISSI: There are no
21 further items today, and the next session of the
22 Commission will be held in Albany on September 14th.

23 CHAIR RHODES: Thank you.

24 And with that, I adjourn.

25 (The meeting adjourned at 12:33 p.m.)

1 Public Service Commission - Monthly Meeting - 8-2-2017

2 STATE OF NEW YORK

3 I, LESLIE TOMPSON, do hereby certify that the
4 foregoing was reported by me, in the cause, at the
5 time and place, as stated in the caption hereto, at
6 Page 1 hereof; that the foregoing typewritten
7 transcription consisting of pages 1 through 95, is a
8 true record of all proceedings had at the hearing.

9 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
10 subscribed my name, this the 9th day of August, 2017.

11

12

13 LESLIE TOMPSON, Reporter

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A	
A.L.J 25:12	adopted 13:9 18:12 41:8 65:2 66:5 76:18 86:22 92:19 93:9
A.L.J.s 3:8, 8, 10 23:20, 23	adopting 43:21 88:20
a.m 1:11 2:2	advanced 78:16
abandon 90:5	advances 32:10
ability 31:8 42:23, 24	advises 33:17
able 6:20, 21 31:10 45:12 50:15 57:23 74:3, 4, 7, 8	advocate 56:19 60:12
absence 68:20	advocates 56:20 59:18
access 45:9 68:2	affirm 8:5 40:21
accord 8:16 82:19	affirming 5:15 6:12
account 4:24	affirms 23:19
acknowledge 5:23 27:5	affordability 65:21
acknowledgement 34:3	affording 22:14
acknowledges 21:23 27:21	afraid 72:14
act 22:5 28:13, 24 32:9 39:2 81:11	afternoon 77:4 87:7
acted 23:23	Agency 1:13
acting 32:22	agenda 2:7, 10, 14 92:20, 22, 25
action 50:8 82:10, 11	ago 10:12 17:15 48:16 90:20
actions 25:10, 11, 12 37:10 38:8 78:4 86:4	agree 8:9, 11 15:2 19:15 47:19 47:20, 21 61:15
active 73:13 91:4	agreement 11:4 14:10 35:11 37:15, 17 52:16 54:15 59:3 63:19 74:22 80:17
actively 84:20	Agresta 13:19 41:9 77:2 80:12
activities 79:11, 18, 20, 23 84:9 84:23, 25	ahead 6:6 73:18 74:13
activity 16:15 29:23	aid 94:23
acts 28:4	Airport 88:14
actual 73:23	Aissi 2:6, 8 85:18 95:18, 20
added 15:18	al 2:16 18:14 87:2
addition 68:4	Albany 1:13 95:22
additional 20:21 33:20 66:15 78:8	Alesi 1:17 17:19 18:9, 10 40:15 40:16 41:5, 6 64:11, 12, 23, 24 76:3, 4, 15, 16 85:10, 11, 15, 19 85:21, 23 86:13, 20, 21 92:4, 5 92:16, 17 93:6, 7
additionally 89:22	all-day 93:22
address 12:6 20:20 51:17 59:25 62:18 80:10 84:17	allegations 91:7
addressed 51:18	alleges 87:21 88:16
addresses 4:23 8:23 23:13	allocations 84:6
addressing 35:10 37:20 59:20 65:13	allow 41:10 49:10 51:15 55:18 67:23 83:17
adequate 84:2 95:12	allowance 45:23 62:5
adjourn 95:24	allowed 54:16 62:15 64:2
adjourned 95:25	allowing 47:6 51:19, 22 60:17 66:7 73:17
adjustments 63:16	allows 13:13 69:21
administrative 9:14, 18 10:2 11:20 12:24 16:10 19:16 20:3 22:5, 6 29:23, 24 32:8 36:11 37:8, 25 38:2, 12 40:23	alternative 12:10 13:3
adopt 81:12, 18	alternatives 90:16
	aluminum 78:19

<p> amazing 95:7 ambiguity 6:19 15:14 ambiguous 6:17 15:5 amount 11:24 49:12 50:10 53:4 analysis 4:19 8:3,3 79:6 89:11 and/or 43:12 89:14 animosity 9:15 announce 41:15 answer 7:23 30:18 apparent 15:8 appeal 2:17 3:12,12,16,16,25 7:20 8:12 9:5 18:3,15,23,25 19:23 20:15,21 25:2 40:21 appear 52:5 58:10 appearance 41:12 appeared 90:22 appearing 54:6 58:21 59:14 appears 26:3 60:2 Appellants 3:19,23 4:2,6 5:17 5:18 6:9,15,22 7:3,14,16 20:9 21:2 23:8,14 Appellants' 24:17 Appellate 24:20 applications 71:8 applied 44:6 apply 13:23 applying 17:6 23:24 appreciate 19:12 41:18 42:17 82:2,4 89:10 appreciation 82:12 approach 63:14 68:17 73:6 75:3 appropriate 5:24 10:25 11:4,19 14:7 25:11 37:13 38:8 41:21 49:10,12 50:19 51:14 52:22 53:14 61:10 63:18,24 68:24 72:9 84:25 89:13,17 appropriately 6:7 23:25 46:17 63:16 appropriateness 39:12 approval 45:2 65:6,15,18 66:19 68:8,19 69:9 76:10 approve 66:6 76:7 approved 18:11 41:7 64:25 76:17 86:23 92:18 93:8 approving 35:2 April 48:14 70:20 76:21 77:15 77:18 87:14,16 area 11:7 42:20 78:12 86:3,6 areas 52:10,11 66:14 70:18,24 70:25 72:17 </p>	<p> argue 7:3 21:6 23:16 44:20 argument 19:4 24:17 arguments 3:23 5:17 6:15 7:18 21:23 arises 2:23 arms 14:12,23 asked 83:14 asking 11:16 29:14 35:21 asks 36:7 aspect 11:5 14:13 15:4 62:3 63:7 aspects 8:10 11:15 18:3 49:3 59:25 60:22 61:4 62:15 74:10 80:11 assert 4:2 7:4 asserted 3:23 7:4 assertion 4:18 assessing 84:6 assessment 79:15 assist 68:23 79:14 assistance 80:23 Assistant 2:6,8 43:16 85:18 87:4 95:18,20 associated 78:17 Association 7:20 18:16 19:24 assumed 44:21 attack 19:13 attempt 9:17 23:9 89:5 attempting 80:20 attempts 80:19 attention 5:25 68:22 83:9 86:2 attorney 25:20 attorney-client 37:22 audience 94:9 audits 16:18,19,22 17:3,3 August 1:11 93:25 96:10 authority 21:7 24:22,23 Authority's 76:22 77:12 authorization 19:5 23:3 authorize 21:24 25:14 authorized 21:4,4,10,15,21 33:3 40:23 authorizes 21:13 23:5 authorizing 84:7 automatic 78:23 available 53:3 65:9 68:10 89:5 89:7 Avenue 77:20 avenues 49:20 60:13 </p>
--	---

average 66:22 85:25
avoid 25:13 84:22
awarded 90:20
Aye 18:5,10 41:2,6 64:20,24
 76:12,14,16 86:17,19,21 92:11
 92:13,17 93:3,5,7

B

back 5:20 7:12 9:15 10:3 12:7
 14:4 15:17 27:14 38:3 51:13
 58:13,19 68:7 73:19 75:6
 81:20 84:13
Bank 60:23
banned 57:11,16
bar 54:12
barred 55:5
barrier 45:24 91:5
barriers 56:6 59:19 61:3 70:11
 70:14 73:12 75:22 91:8,16
base 47:7
based 18:8 23:12 27:12 32:14
 34:12 72:7 83:15 92:13
basic 14:4 53:6 58:4,20 75:15
basically 27:7
basis 31:6 81:7,13
began 77:15
beginning 15:17 26:9 42:19
behalf 21:16 28:4 29:19 32:15
 34:16
believe 36:9 39:8,25 47:21 48:7
 49:18 50:3 55:16 71:8,9,12,12
believes 72:7
benefit 44:5,13,25 46:18 57:12
 57:17 59:8 66:16,16 67:7
benefits 45:10,20 68:24 70:24
best 22:23 49:23 56:3
better 17:13 36:10 40:3 49:18
 50:3,16
beyond 23:17 26:18 66:19
Bielawski 2:19,21 8:25 18:17,20
 19:21 33:14 41:10 43:2
bite 15:3
blessed 10:5 94:7,22
blessing 29:14,20 43:4
blush 55:25
body 27:22 31:8 40:6
BQDM 74:2
breadth 52:15,17
Bridget 87:3,5 89:9
briefed 6:2

briefly 5:16
brilliant 42:22
bring 12:7 32:20
bringing 5:22,25
broad 13:22 16:21 24:22 30:19
 31:4,19 32:7 35:6,15 39:5
 60:5 61:17,19 73:18
broader 14:3,9 60:20 61:21
 89:16
Brooklyn 88:13 90:22
brought 3:7,13 30:14 48:12
buckets 50:23 54:4
build 22:23
building 1:13 48:19
built-in 55:17
bulk 11:8 73:2
burdensome 6:23 12:5
Burman 1:17 8:18,19 9:2 13:25
 17:18 18:6,7,22 19:8,11 26:7
 26:8 33:15 40:12 41:3,4,25
 42:2,4 47:15,16 64:10,10,21
 64:22 69:12,13 71:15,18,20
 72:2 76:2,13,14 82:21,22 85:9
 86:18,19 90:8,9 92:3,12,13
 93:4,5,11,13 95:15
business 4:6,9 8:8 19:25 85:6
 87:11 88:2 91:10
button 85:20
bypass 89:5

C

C 1:16 30:17 35:8,11
cable 78:20
call 2:4 37:18 40:19 58:19
 64:15 76:6 86:14 92:7,23
 93:25
called 57:4 94:14
can't 91:11
candidly 51:18
caption 96:5
capture 50:22
captured 61:19 62:17
capturing 54:24
careful 26:10,12 39:3 45:22
 58:16 59:16 62:5 75:2 83:15
carefully 47:10 75:19
case 4:16 9:19,22 13:10 16:11
 22:3,12 25:25 38:11 43:11,23
 45:18 50:14 65:4 67:10 69:5
 73:17 75:7 76:20 89:14 90:2

<p> cases 2:16 18:14 50:13 51:3 75:8,9 87:2 categorized 34:18 cause 96:4 causes 84:21 cautious 58:16 68:16 69:8 73:6 75:2 CDG 71:9 74:18 certain 4:3 56:10 77:7 88:18 certainly 8:9 40:12 82:2 certainty 50:7 certification 21:17,19 certified 22:7 certify 96:3 cetera 26:17 60:23 chair 1:15 2:3,9,11,21 7:25 8:18,19 17:17 18:6,9,11 19:9 19:10 25:7 26:5 28:16,18 30:6 30:24 36:10 40:2,11,14,18 41:3,5,7,20 42:2 43:8,18 46:5 46:11 47:15 64:9,14,21,23,25 65:12 68:11 69:12 75:25 76:5 76:13,15,17 77:4 81:23 82:21 82:22,25 83:5,16,16 85:8,22 86:12,18,20,22 87:6 89:9 90:8 90:9 92:2,7,12,15,18 93:4,6,8 93:22 95:14,23 Chair's 69:5 Chair's 82:20 Chairman 40:17 41:9 64:13 69:7 85:12 chairman's 8:17 chairman's 80:22 challenge 20:2,24 challenged 6:9 10:9 20:7 23:8 challenges 20:5,19 75:18 challenging 22:17 chance 72:16 change 77:24 changed 49:6 changes 2:7,10,14 21:11 28:15 chaotic 28:7 chiefs 93:17 chill 38:9 chilling 16:22 35:8 Choice 2:18 3:13 choose 38:5 chose 30:6,6 circulated 36:3 </p>	<p> circumstances 3:19 4:10,15 9:4 11:2,3 20:17 46:16 56:10 58:8 58:8 citizen 85:25 city 54:21 56:2,7 59:25 77:8 86:8 city's 55:3 claimed 33:21 claims 7:11 clarification 19:13 43:12 47:23 48:2 49:4 57:4 59:4,5 62:21 63:20,21,22 64:17,18 84:16 clarifications 49:5 clarified 19:14 clarifies 44:23 clarify 19:7 48:11 49:3 59:2,12 62:23 clarifying 18:21 46:19 47:22 62:19 clauses 80:15 clean 65:24 clear 8:20 17:6 26:20 28:14,18 29:17 31:6 39:10,12 46:7,12 54:20 55:25 58:14 68:12 73:22 74:11,14 75:12 82:6 88:24 89:21,22 clearly 10:23 16:20 23:5 28:2 38:13 61:16 75:20 83:19 close 71:19 cogeneration 88:14 collaboration 13:3 collaborative 14:5 17:13 22:22 37:5 53:8 60:24 61:9 70:10 72:19 collaboratives 10:13 17:15 collected 13:17 combined 82:6 88:12 come 7:12 9:23 10:9 17:4 26:14 27:15,25 36:2 37:17,22,24 42:13,21 53:24 58:19 73:13,19 75:6 81:16 84:13 95:19 comes 40:5 57:8 58:13 60:21 63:6 86:10 comfortable 85:3 coming 38:2,3 51:2 93:25 95:16 commenced 2:2 comment 22:19 48:17 81:10 comments 8:13 11:13 17:21 18:8 30:23,25 46:24 48:14 69:5 81:10,15,16 82:20 89:18 92:14 </p>
--	--

<p>commercial 20:10 23:11 24:4 Commission 1:1,4,7 2:1,4,23 3:1 3:15,25 4:1,7 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 8:6 9:1 10:1,6,7 11:1 12:1,7 12:12,12 13:1,8,12,23,24 14:1 14:3 15:1,11,17 16:1,4,4,13 16:16 17:1,4 18:1 19:1 20:1 20:20 21:1,9,13 22:1,13,24 23:1,5 24:1,17,22 25:1,14 26:1 27:1,13,19,20,22 28:1,5 28:9,12,25 29:1,10,19,19 30:1 30:7,15 31:1,7,21,22,23 32:1 32:15,17,20 33:1,2 34:1,16,17 34:22 35:1 36:1,5 37:1 38:1 38:23 39:1,17,19 40:1,6,9,14 40:24 41:1,12 42:1 43:1 44:1 44:17 45:1,2,16 46:1,8,15 47:1 48:1,5 49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1,9,14 54:1 55:1 56:1 56:13,25 57:1,5 58:1,15 59:1 60:1 61:1,18 62:1,24 63:1 64:1 65:1 66:1,20 67:1 68:1,7 68:8,19 69:1,9,19 70:1,7,16 71:1 72:1,7 73:1,20 74:1 75:1 75:4,6,10,13 76:1,10 77:1,7 78:1 79:1,21 80:1,5 81:1 82:1 83:1,13 84:1,4,14 85:1 86:1 87:1,22,25 88:1,7,22 89:1,12 90:1,11 91:1 92:1 93:1,15 94:1,8 95:1,22 96:1 Commission's 3:20 15:6 19:2 21:16 23:18 24:14 32:5,10 Commission's 87:18 88:17 commissioner 8:15,18,19 9:2 13:2,25 17:17,19 18:4,5,6,7,9 18:10,22 19:8,11 25:17,18 26:5,7,8 33:15 36:20 40:11,12 40:15,16,25 41:2,3,4,5,6,25 42:2,4,18 47:2,3,15,16 64:9 64:10,11,12,19,20,21,22,23,24 69:3,4,12,13 71:15,18,20 72:2 75:25 76:3,4,11,12,13,14,15 76:16 82:17,18,21,22 85:8,10 85:11,15,18,21,23 86:12,16,17 86:18,19,20,21 89:18,20 90:8 90:9 92:2,4,5,10,11,12,13,16 92:17 93:2,3,4,5,6,7,11,13 95:14 commissioners 1:14 2:9,22 8:14 28:19 29:4 35:22 36:8 41:23</p>	<p>43:19 46:25 65:12 77:5 87:7 92:21 commissioning 66:9 commit 72:21 committed 87:22 common 27:16 commonly 43:23 communicating 79:25 communications 78:17 community 31:2 65:19 67:25 69:18,20,21 70:5,9,11,13,19 71:4,21 companies 17:4 53:24 93:18 company 65:5,14,25 66:22 67:22 67:24 76:23 87:13 90:2,5 company's 65:20 company-owned 66:12,12 company's 89:23 compel 3:9 compelled 17:25 competition 91:6 competitively 66:7 complaint 15:20,20 complaints 16:3 complete 79:6 completes 80:9 compliance 22:7 complicated 20:23 57:9 90:13 comprehensive 78:8 compromise 47:5,8,9 Con 65:17 66:6,7,13,25 67:10,20 68:5,22 69:5 71:8 73:17 76:8 77:19,23 78:4,7,18,24 79:5,9 79:13,16,24 80:3,14,17 81:2 82:12 83:3,6,17,23 85:4 89:14 90:11,25 91:12,23 concern 31:3 49:7 70:12 72:12 72:22 73:3 82:24 concerned 9:13 16:19 26:20 38:20,21 83:3 concerns 6:22 26:12 29:7 31:17 36:22 38:7 48:24 83:10 91:8 conclude 37:19 69:2 concludes 24:11 68:9 conclusion 6:13 62:12 conclusions 25:9 concordance 68:14 concur 69:4 conduct 21:16,24 22:8 33:21</p>
--	--

conducted 40:22	correct 8:24,25 13:19 49:19 71:11,13,17,19,22
conductors 78:19	correctly 23:24
confirm 28:25	cost 84:6 87:11 88:3
confused 52:22	cost- 62:8
confusing 28:8 53:16	cost-effective 51:24
confusion 54:5 57:3 58:20	costly 82:8
connected 83:11	counsel 2:19 18:18 27:17 41:10 43:16 77:2 87:4
connects 79:3	countervailing 4:21
consent 5:3 82:13 92:20,22,24	couple 81:20
consented 4:12 80:14	course 8:7 22:23 86:7
consider 45:16 81:18	court 13:7,7,9,14,15,20 14:11 14:11 16:16 24:21 27:2,4,11 27:12 32:16 33:7 35:10,11,12 36:19
considerable 90:24	court's 32:10,22
consideration 81:14	creative 26:22 27:9
considered 32:8 45:12 81:17	credit 61:4 66:23 75:16
considering 63:3 88:18	credits 69:22 70:2
consist 66:2	crisis 79:11 82:4
consistent 16:25	criteria 3:24
consisting 96:7	criticism 50:4,12,13 51:9
Consolidated 65:5,14 76:23 77:14 87:13 88:7	criticisms 74:5
Constellation 2:17 3:13	crossing 26:25
construction 66:8	crucial 24:13
constructive 51:9 63:17 74:5	Cuomo 82:25
consultation 68:6	current 35:3 67:11
consumer 15:20 56:19 60:12	currently 45:9
contend 23:14	customer 4:7,12,12 10:23 15:20 16:3 54:8 57:13,20,23,23 58:24 61:15,16 71:2
contended 21:2	customer's 5:3
contending 21:20	customer- 5:13 8:8
contentions 20:10	customer-centric 44:2
context 6:18 15:8,9 61:17	customer-specific 4:4,21
contingencies 87:12 88:4	customers 4:24 5:2,8 11:24 20:11 23:11 24:6 30:18 35:8 35:12 36:17,23 39:8 43:15 44:5,13,22,25 45:8,12,21,25 46:2,18,21,21 47:11 49:11 51:16 52:3,5 53:19 55:5,6,8 55:15,19 56:4,8 57:18 58:6,9 65:7,16,19 66:17,24 67:7,19 67:22 68:2 69:21 71:25 72:5,9 73:11 74:19
continually 94:21	
continue 9:25 45:11 85:4 88:25 89:3 90:6 93:23 95:9,10	
continued 25:3 84:7	
continues 47:8	
continuing 32:11 83:24	
contracting 58:2	
contracts 4:8	
controversial 47:4	
conversation 15:25	
cooperate 80:19	
cooperation 80:4 82:14	
cooperative 81:5	
cooperatively 83:24	
coordinate 79:17	
copper 78:20	
core 49:8 50:2	
corners 30:9,16 31:21 39:14	
	D
	dangerous 82:8
	data 4:4 9:11,11 11:17 14:13

<p> 16:2 20:10,11 24:8 date 67:19 68:20 91:3 dated 49:2 59:6,7 day 53:18 93:25 96:10 days 81:16 84:14 deal 11:9 19:4 61:22 71:21 dealing 19:17 52:2,23 59:12 61:4 93:18 deals 18:23 28:10 dealt 12:6 14:15,21 52:10,23 60:11 62:15 63:9 70:14 December 21:3 22:2 23:13 27:9 29:21,22,25 32:21 33:16,24 34:5,8 decided 6:5 60:6 74:23 decision 22:15,25 24:19,21 34:20 39:19 44:21 67:16 89:22 decisions 26:2 declared 82:5 declined 20:7 dedicate 78:7 dedicated 79:10 deemed 12:21 54:6 58:21 59:14 70:5 deeper 34:10 deeply 82:4 define 57:25 59:18 defined 61:16 defining 16:20 definition 13:10 14:11 15:19 60:5 61:19 delay 25:14 38:14 delayed 77:25 delays 77:16 deliverables 84:9 demand 79:2 87:12 88:4 demo 50:25 demonstrate 45:5 demonstrated 20:18 demos 90:16 denied 91:20 denies 45:3 88:22,23 deny 18:3 25:2 40:21 64:16 92:9 denying 15:12 43:20 91:17 department 24:20 41:13 80:5 93:17 departments 93:17 depending 11:23 19:19 deploy 79:4 </p>	<p> deployed 44:4 deployment 68:24 depth 82:24 Deputy 2:19 18:17 41:10 65:8 DER 44:25 45:10 46:3,17 47:4 49:11 51:15,22 52:4,6,18 54:13,16 55:17,19 56:8 57:12 57:17 58:6,9,11,18 59:22 62:6 62:14,25 67:14 72:5,8,23 75:14 DERs 47:7 67:8,19 68:3 described 18:3 25:15,16 40:24 64:18 67:4 76:10 82:3,13,15 86:15 92:9 design 66:8 67:23 78:22 designed 22:17 desires 91:22 despite 5:5 9:23 10:7 detail 27:6 detailed 52:14 79:6,14 84:16 details 73:23 79:25 determination 5:16 determinations 12:14 53:15 determine 7:10 45:17 83:7,8 determined 77:21 determining 24:5 84:5 89:14 develop 56:21 68:6 72:25 89:2 developed 25:24 developing 52:6 54:7 58:10,22 59:15,16,24 60:2 development 44:2 52:8 55:17 58:12 developments 45:24 56:23 74:8 DG 90:17 dialogue 59:21 DIANE 1:17 differ 50:9 difference 42:15,16 53:19 54:2 different 14:22 31:24,25 32:25 47:23,24 50:11,11 52:12,13 54:14 61:2 63:14 70:11 73:9 differently 48:9 49:24 difficult 10:15 42:19 53:18 diligence 53:22 diligent 42:6 direct 2:18 3:13 7:21 13:16 18:16 19:24 58:2 76:9 77:7 88:7 91:11 directed 83:2 </p>
--	---

direction 83:5
directive 34:19
directives 34:17
directly 36:7
Director 65:8 76:25
disagree 14:9
disagreement 22:21 55:4 63:21
disagrees 54:21
disallowed 58:7
disappointing 91:3
disclose 5:13
disclosing 7:6
disclosure 4:10,13 5:9 32:2
discoverable 10:25
discovery 3:3,4,21 5:21,24 10:4
 13:10,11,20 14:15,18 15:9,14
 18:2 20:8,12,15 23:10,21,24
 31:19
discreet 14:25 19:16
discretion 6:3,8 23:23
discussed 68:15
discussing 32:6
discussion 2:15 18:14 29:3
 31:10 36:18 37:10,11 39:16
 43:11 52:16,17 65:4 68:12
 76:20 86:25,25
dispute 3:21 5:22 13:11 84:16
disputes 5:25 20:15
disruptions 82:8
dissemination 5:8
dissent 31:9
distance 6:4
distributed 43:14 44:3,8,11,20
 65:19 66:15 70:23 87:19
distribution 70:23
divided 70:19
Division 24:20
document 31:24 77:24
documents 35:23 37:23
doesn't 75:8
doing 16:22 19:25 25:3 27:8
 36:18 53:22,22 54:2,14 81:25
 89:25 95:6
don't 71:9
door 35:15
dotting 26:25
doubt 28:17
DPS 79:24 83:23 85:4 90:25
draft 15:6 34:8 35:2 36:2 44:23
 44:23 49:19 64:3 65:13 66:5

66:25 67:10,15 68:5 73:5
 74:11,25 77:6 80:14 87:8
 91:18
dragging 16:6
drill 84:23,24
drills 79:12
DSIP 87:20 88:17
DSIPs 56:22 60:13
dual 25:9
due 26:16 48:14 53:22
dynamic 90:17

E

early 3:17
economic 86:8 90:14
Ed 69:5 71:8 73:17 82:7 83:6,17
 83:23 85:4 89:14 90:12,25
 91:12,23
Ed's 68:23
Ed's 82:12
Edison 65:5,14,17 66:7,13 67:11
 68:5 76:23 78:5,7,18,24 79:5
 79:9,13,16,24 80:3,14,17 81:2
 87:13 88:7
Edison's 66:6,25 67:20
Edison's 76:8 77:14,19,23 83:3
effective 62:9 67:24 82:10,11
 89:13
efficiency 6:5
effort 79:19 81:3
efforts 22:22 25:3 41:18 76:24
 90:6
eight 33:4
either 71:16
electric 44:3,3,5 65:20 76:25
 78:21 82:6
electrical 77:25 78:9
electricity 24:23 69:22 77:19
 80:7
elements 58:20,20 75:15
eligible 88:3
eliminate 23:3 44:18
embrace 74:21 75:21
embracing 94:4
emergency 77:6 78:25 79:12 81:7
 81:12 82:5 84:25 94:14
Empire 1:12
empowered 21:24 33:21
enable 44:12 45:24 66:22 67:6
 67:21

<p>encourage 91:22 encouraged 85:24 86:4 88:25 encouraging 85:12 endeavor 84:10 endeavors 41:14 energy 2:17,18,24 3:13,14 7:20 7:21 18:15,16 19:23,24 23:2 43:14,22 44:4,8,11,20 45:13 65:24 70:3,5 87:3,9 91:5,8 95:11 enforcement 12:13,15,22 16:5 36:17 engage 89:3 engaged 3:2 84:20 94:21 engagement 49:18,20 50:3,6,10 engagement's 50:6 engineering 84:19 enhance 74:6 enjoyed 42:7 enormously 42:23 enroll 5:2 ensure 8:8 45:22 58:15 62:8 91:14 ensuring 45:12 46:20,21 80:6 84:11 entertain 3:15,25 entice 74:15 entirely 41:21 89:16 entirety 25:2 entities 55:6 56:3 entity 12:4 environmental 54:11 eons 17:14 EPRI 84:11 equipment 78:9,10,11,12,15,23 79:4 erred 88:17 errors 45:5 87:22 ESCO 5:3 10:13,13 11:23,24,25 12:3,17 19:3 20:11 23:11,17 24:9,18,24 26:19 32:5 40:7 55:10 72:20 ESCOs 3:4,6 4:3 5:12,20 6:20 7:12 12:19 15:11,12 16:22 17:2 19:25 21:19 22:14,16 23:9 24:11 25:19 26:18 27:17 27:25 31:2 35:21 36:22 38:5 39:7 especially 16:2,14 17:14 27:16 32:17 36:6 37:15 39:3,5 40:2</p>	<p>40:5 46:17 53:20 94:25 essence 55:14 essentially 15:5 33:6 90:10 establish 78:24 79:9 established 20:25 43:25 65:20 69:19 establishing 87:25 establishment 79:3 et 2:16 18:14 26:17 60:23 87:2 evaluate 5:6 evaluated 6:24 evaluates 6:11 evaluating 2:24 23:15 53:25 evaluation 53:22 events 79:7 83:22 84:22,22 everybody 35:15 everyone's 85:5 evidence 13:12,13,17 24:3 45:8 evidenced 83:17 evidentiary 3:17 19:6 20:5,25 21:5,9,12,20 22:11,13,23 23:6 25:4,15 33:18 37:18 40:22 exactly 16:20 54:24 57:2 examining 24:3 excellent 29:9 exception 44:10,18,24 47:11 52:3 57:19 62:5,12 64:2 67:5 68:16 exceptional 46:16 exceptions 28:21 44:9 57:11,16 67:3 75:14 excess 21:6 excited 42:11 excitement 90:21 exclude 23:10 excluded 20:12 Excuse 19:8 33:6 excused 48:5 executive 82:25 exercise 50:20,21 51:6 exercised 23:22 exist 56:10 59:19 existing 4:7 72:6 exists 50:12 expanding 66:4 expansion 66:18 expect 19:2 81:19 expectation 80:3 expenditures 79:19</p>
---	--

expense 90:3 94:16
experience 67:13 81:3
experts 84:11
expire 81:15
explain 26:22 80:15
explained 47:10
explanation 8:2 25:9 46:7,13
explore 67:24
expressed 21:11 41:22
expressly 24:21 35:22 67:15
extends 26:18
extension 30:3
extensively 79:25
extent 6:20 10:21 12:25 14:3,7
 14:9 28:7 30:5 31:7 32:16
 34:22 35:14 37:2,7 38:12 39:2
 50:15 53:7,13,21 60:7,10,14
 61:6,13,23 62:9 63:23 82:23
extra 9:4
extraordinary 3:18,19 4:15 9:4
 9:6,7 20:16,17 39:2

F

face 54:14 82:4
facilitate 4:11 9:9,10
facility 69:23 70:4 77:22 88:14
fact 5:5 10:12 11:22 23:2 27:4
 34:21 52:12 82:4 87:22 89:24
factored 69:15
factual 31:16 45:4,4
factually 88:18
fail 51:24
failed 22:22 34:25
failure 26:15 30:10,10 51:21
 55:5 72:4 73:7 77:16,19,23
 79:6
failures 77:11 78:6
fair 17:6 26:23
fairly 89:21
fall 19:19
fallen 36:15
falls 11:6
fashion 12:4 33:3 57:24 70:16
fast 70:13
fault 84:5
favor 17:23 18:7 35:2 40:20
 64:16 76:7 85:3,7 86:15 92:8
 92:24
favored 57:21
FDNY 85:2 95:4

features 67:23
February 48:3
fee 15:21
feels 91:4
fellow 8:14 46:25 92:21
felt 9:20
FEMSA 93:16
ferret 38:13
fifteen 11:18
fifth 76:19 79:5
figure 10:9 11:21 13:2 17:11,13
 36:12
figuring 35:9
file 35:23 52:9
filed 21:17 65:17 87:9,14,16
filing 2:25 51:2 54:22 65:14
 68:18 76:9
filings 87:19,20 88:17
final 2:7 86:25
finally 7:8,16 25:24 63:7 79:16
financing 60:22 61:3,9
find 33:8 36:9 50:24 52:14
 53:15 56:2 85:6,12
finding 23:19
finds 4:18 5:7 6:7 7:13 23:22
 66:25
fire 93:16,17,17
first 2:15 5:18,20 9:20 10:21
 14:14,23 20:4,24 31:11 49:9
 49:14,16 51:13,16 54:5 55:8
 55:25 60:15 66:6,19 74:23
 76:8 78:7 80:13 87:10 94:3
 95:4
five 11:17,18 12:4
five-year 11:16
fix 27:8,12
flag 36:3
fleshed 48:22
fleshing 73:24
flexibility 12:25 16:11 55:18
Floor 1:13
flushed 37:9
focus 13:2,9 15:18 31:4,25,25
 32:13 33:9 34:22 36:16 55:21
 56:11 60:16 72:3,4,16 83:23
 93:23 94:2,2
focused 26:9 32:16 35:19 36:14
 51:22 52:4,10 56:18,19 57:10
 57:15 60:9 71:24 75:19 83:20

focusing 26:12 55:4
FOIL 26:16
folks 12:9 32:20 37:14,15,16
 38:6,13,18 59:21 61:21,25
 64:4 70:12 72:21 73:9,13 74:2
 74:15,25 84:20 90:25 93:16,19
 94:22
follow 26:15 81:13
following 75:15
food 14:19
foreclosed 24:19
forefront 85:5
forego 40:4
foregoing 72:15 96:4,6
forever 63:11
forgetting 74:20
form 35:3 79:5
formal 48:17
formally 38:7
forms 58:2
forth 9:15 10:3 27:14 31:14,16
 34:11
forward 9:8 10:19 17:11 19:6
 22:4 25:4,23,25 28:16 31:6
 36:13 42:12 52:19,19 63:24
 70:13 83:19 85:16 86:9 91:24
forward-thinking 63:18
found 6:25 24:8 35:24 42:5,19
 55:10
four 30:9,15 31:21 39:13 54:4
 58:13,24
fourth 54:9 62:3 65:3 78:24
framework 43:21,24 44:2 55:20
 55:22 84:8
frankly 28:8,19 56:16 62:23
 72:20 74:2 94:24
frequent 77:10
front 37:24
fruitful 9:21
full 15:9 23:5 25:4 31:10 39:19
 52:9 80:4
fully 8:16 23:23 34:19 45:13
 54:24 69:4 71:5 80:19 82:19
function 33:23
functioning 24:15
funding 44:19 88:3,5,25 89:6
 91:21
further 16:7 23:3 25:13 45:21
 48:22 69:9 81:18 83:18 84:16
 95:19,21

Furthermore 45:7
future 14:16 27:24 45:13 66:19
 78:6 83:22 84:22 86:10 89:25
 91:14,21

G

gas 2:17 3:13 24:22 76:25 93:14
 93:18,20,24,24 95:7,11
general 2:19 18:17 28:20 39:16
 41:10 44:6 58:17 62:6 67:4
 70:5 77:2 83:9
generally 13:19 58:7 62:14
generation 44:7 65:19 66:10,14
 67:2 69:23 70:4,23
generators 78:25 79:4
generic 50:22 63:25
Georgia 94:7,12,13,14,16
getting 38:22 42:7 49:6 91:15
give 22:18 38:18 59:16,24 73:10
 75:16 94:18
given 20:18,22 23:2 68:23
giving 33:10 34:14 60:9 72:15
 84:8
glad 19:14 43:5
globally 91:13
go 6:6 9:18,20 12:17 14:17 27:2
 27:6,8,12,14 29:2 37:24 50:19
 50:20 74:13 80:24 85:22 90:23
goals 45:21 73:22
goes 16:2 31:15,15 32:13 34:9
 57:25 59:7
going 9:21 14:4 16:13 17:22
 19:6 22:3 25:4 30:16,17 31:5
 37:9 39:4,9,15 41:15,19 42:10
 43:6 49:14 51:13 52:19,20
 71:5 73:23
good 2:3,8,21 42:10,12 43:18
 47:8 65:11 69:10 77:4 82:15
 87:7
goodbye 75:21
gotten 9:14 71:10
Governor 82:25
grant 11:4 44:17 62:13 63:10
 90:21
granted 30:4
granting 3:10
grants 67:10
great 10:7 25:23 49:22 51:6
greater 22:14
greatest 45:20 70:24

greatly 41:17,19	hereunto 96:9
green 60:23 85:20	high 78:12
GREGG 1:16	higher 22:10
grey 11:7	higher-level 12:16
grid 44:5 70:25	historically 10:10
GridLink 88:10,12,19 89:2 90:12	history 10:18 17:14,14 20:23 38:24
ground 27:16 71:10	home 42:21 70:4
groundbreaking 94:13	homeowners 70:2
grounds 7:5	hope 17:12 40:3 89:24
group 52:20 63:2 94:19,19	hour 29:13
groups 37:6 52:13 54:11,13,16 54:18	housed 12:20
guess 15:15 89:21	households 65:23
guidance 20:21 29:10 74:14	How's 85:21
H	I
half 48:15 62:22	I'd 80:25 95:9
hand 84:12 95:8,8	I'll 86:10
handing 16:19	I'm 2:12 8:16 19:14 41:15 82:19 85:19 86:3
handling 9:7	ideas 50:11
hands 5:2	identical 7:18
hang 42:25	identifiable 4:22
happen 38:4 39:16	identified 44:8
happened 27:4	identifies 61:2
happening 36:16	identify 73:14 83:24
happy 7:23	identifying 10:23 83:20 84:20
hard 50:24 72:21 81:2	ignore 58:17
head 33:25 34:14	illogical 28:8 32:12
heads-up 33:10,12	imagine 25:20
health 86:8	immediate 4:14
heard 22:14 27:24 36:21 40:13	imperative 84:24
hearing 12:25 16:7 19:17 22:23 29:24 33:3,19 36:20 37:3,18 96:8	implement 87:10 88:11
hearings 19:6 20:6 21:5,9,12,13 21:16,20,24 22:9,12,13 25:15 33:22 40:22	implementation 36:24 43:22 68:6 68:19 69:10 73:21,21 74:4 75:6 76:9 87:19
heart 12:5 19:13 55:9	implemented 71:5,6
heat 88:12	implementing 87:24
heed 30:7 50:2	implements 32:9
held 21:9 23:25 24:21 95:22	implicate 18:25
help 14:16 16:7 53:19 60:8 74:19 75:20 82:10 84:12 94:6 95:10	implicit 34:3
helpful 9:21 24:5 52:15 73:10 73:16	implicitly 56:2 57:20
helping 9:10	implore 28:16,18 36:10
helps 95:8	importance 19:18 20:22 34:15 36:23 46:19 82:2
hereof 96:6	important 10:19 12:11 13:4 26:11 27:22 28:2 29:4,5 34:23 35:25 37:6 39:20 46:22 54:9 74:12 75:4,10 84:12,18 94:2
hereto 96:5	

<p>95:3 impose 24:23 impressed 42:23 improperly 4:3 improve 78:5 85:17 86:5,6 93:24 inadequately 62:16 inappropriate 89:5 incidents 94:5 include 62:7 included 57:17 70:17 includes 84:10 90:15 including 8:23 22:21 24:23 30:17 45:14,25 56:2,20 57:12 59:23 60:13 61:3 78:10,16 82:24 85:2 87:25 90:25 income 52:11 53:8,20 66:24 70:10 72:5 incorporate 60:7 incorporated 24:6 60:15 increasingly 77:10 incredible 39:24 incumbent 9:16 32:20 indicate 5:18 78:4 indicated 80:18 indicates 15:7 individual 4:23 21:15 39:8 individuals 21:15 indulgence 93:10 industrial 20:11 23:11 24:4 industry 44:3 inexplicably 62:22 inform 14:16 informally 38:7 information 3:10 4:5,14,19,22 4:22,25 5:7,9,12,14 6:10,13 6:16,19 7:6,7,10,17 8:9 10:23 10:24 12:2,13,16,19,20 14:25 16:2 24:9 31:15 36:16 53:3 59:8 73:10 83:14,21 informed 22:25 94:25 infrastructure 66:18 77:11 78:16 inherent 68:17 initial 53:14 66:2 75:12 78:3 83:15 initially 53:7 83:2 initiated 48:21 initiation 82:14 Initiative 94:15 95:2</p>	<p>inordinate 53:4 input 86:9 inspections 78:9 install 70:3 78:14,20,22 installation 66:14 installed 66:11 instructive 55:11 73:9 insufficient 4:20 intended 56:24 61:20 intends 88:11 intent 39:10 62:17 interconnect 88:7 90:12 interconnection 88:9 90:17 91:23 interest 4:21 25:23 45:19 47:13 52:6 54:10 58:11,25 62:4,7 69:6 interesting 54:21 55:3 interfering 89:12 interlocking 78:11 interlocutory 2:17 3:12,16 7:19 9:5 18:15,23 19:23 20:16 23:21 25:2 internal 16:18,19,22 17:3,3 75:18 International 88:14 interpretation 14:9 31:20 interrupt 85:19 interruption 41:21 intervention 3:20 intriguing 85:13 86:3 introductory 70:21 investigate 24:18 83:2 investigation 23:16 24:14 32:5 32:11 76:21 77:13 78:3 82:3 84:7 investigations 33:22 investment 52:7 54:8 55:20,22 56:12,14,17 58:12,23 60:5,11 60:20 61:11 investments 56:22 66:18 involve 84:25 involved 35:15 37:16 81:25 93:19 involvement 49:13 94:17 involves 69:8 involving 47:11 IPNY 54:12 ironically 55:8,9</p>
---	---

isn't 81:8,9
ISO 91:2
isolation 49:7
issuance 32:9 82:24 84:14
issue 5:20 6:2,5,6 7:8,14 8:24
 9:16 10:22 11:10 16:18 19:3
 21:25 25:13 26:19 27:21 28:10
 30:8,8 34:24 35:10,11,12
 39:11 49:9,9,12,15,16 51:13
 51:16 53:8 55:9 56:5 62:13
 63:9 74:17,23,24 86:11
issued 3:4,10 20:2,4 21:3,6
 22:4,5 29:22 33:11 34:16
 38:22,25 48:3,20 52:13 53:10
 69:19
issues 7:19,22 8:23 9:25 11:8
 11:11,13,14 12:6,8 14:6,15
 16:17 18:24 19:16,19 23:10,20
 23:21 28:6 31:16 35:19 37:3
 37:21 39:25 47:4,19 48:22
 49:9 51:12 53:6,17 54:17
 55:10 63:4 64:6 74:19 82:6
 83:7 84:15 93:24 94:20,23
issuing 25:11 32:14 33:24 39:13
it's 75:10 80:22 82:5 86:3
 89:20 90:4 93:25 95:2
item 2:15,16 7:21 16:13 18:11
 18:13,14 19:20 20:15 41:7
 43:10,11,19 44:23 47:25 59:20
 64:25 65:3,4,13 68:15 74:22
 76:17,19,20 77:6 82:19 85:4
 86:22,24,25,25 87:8 92:18
items 8:21 35:25 36:3 37:9,14
 50:21 92:22 93:8 95:21

J

JAMES 1:17
job 39:24 49:18 53:18
JOHN 1:15
joining 95:17
joint 55:12 79:11 80:16,22
 84:23 95:2
judge 9:18 11:20 12:24 16:10
 34:19,21 37:25 38:2,12
judge's 3:16 5:15 30:8
judges 5:19,22,23 6:3,18,24,24
 7:9 10:2 20:3 22:7 29:24 32:8
 36:11 37:8 40:23
judges' 5:25 6:7,13 7:7 23:8
Julia 2:19,20 7:25 18:17,19

25:7 41:17
July 24:19 35:20 69:18 70:6
juncture 7:15
June 48:15
jurisdiction 8:24 11:12 19:2
 23:18 24:18
jurisdictional 11:10 18:24

K

keep 12:2 40:4 85:5
keeping 11:7
Kelly 43:16,18 47:9 65:9 71:12
 71:16,19,23
Kennedy 88:14
key 60:10
kind 52:22
King's 88:12 90:21 91:24
know 10:5 11:17,19 12:11 15:2
 15:15,19,19,21,23 16:25 17:10
 27:8 29:6 30:21,21,23 38:6
 39:21 41:16 42:7,14 51:9
 53:23 56:16 60:21 64:5 73:2,3
 73:6 75:17,18 77:9 94:11,17
knowledge 71:24
known 43:23

L

L.L.C 2:18,18 18:16
lack 67:11
lacks 24:17
land 4:25
language 23:12 51:21 56:11,12
 56:13
large 20:10 23:10 24:6 54:18
larger 70:25 94:20
laser 36:15
Lastly 24:16 68:4
late 48:25
law 3:3,7 9:18 10:2 11:20 12:24
 16:10 19:16 20:3 21:10,14
 22:3,6,8 29:23,24 32:8 36:11
 37:8,25 38:2,12 40:23 87:22
 87:23 88:20
leap 85:16
learn 63:12
learned 43:4
leasing 58:2
leave 11:20 41:10
leaving 41:13
led 77:10,16,20 83:7

left 62:17
legal 8:3 14:2 25:19 42:20 45:4
 45:4 89:10
legally 27:15 88:18
LESLIE 96:3,13
let's 2:12,15 14:8,12 40:19
 43:10
letter 35:20,24 36:6
letters 83:17
level 3:22 10:24 22:10 42:8,9
lies 54:5 57:3
life 86:5
Likewise 6:15
limit 20:8,8 23:9 25:21 31:18
 44:18 61:25
limitation 24:24
limited 4:10 23:15 24:3 27:17
 44:9 58:7,8 60:19 68:17 69:8
 70:16,22 83:13 87:3,10 91:19
limiting 73:18
lines 37:24
list 37:7
listed 70:10
litigation 23:3
little 48:16 49:24
LMI 46:20 47:11 49:11 51:15
 52:3,3,5,21,21 54:8 55:4,6,8
 55:19 56:4 57:12,18,20,22
 58:6,9,24 61:15,16 62:12,16
 63:2 68:16 72:8
load 90:17
located 88:13,15
locational 70:24
locations 66:13
lock 56:25
locked 63:11
logic 26:22 27:9
lone 31:9
longer 57:6
longstanding 8:6
look 10:19 12:9 14:7 16:14,24
 25:23,25 26:13 31:12 35:7,8
 35:17 36:11 37:7 42:12 47:10
 50:16 55:23 57:24 60:23 61:25
 62:10,25 63:4,23 74:5 75:22
 86:9
looked 16:20 17:7 35:23 36:2
 37:4 48:9 52:19 53:11 54:22
 58:23 60:11 62:22

looking 6:3 9:8 12:21 13:11
 14:22 37:2 38:14 49:7 50:25
 50:25 51:16 52:21 60:18 61:24
 63:2,14 72:18 74:14 83:18,19
looks 69:17
losers 91:11
loss 77:20
lot 10:3 11:12 16:15 31:14,14
 37:3,11 49:3,3 64:6 94:23
 95:6
loved 41:17
low 45:25,25 52:11 53:20 72:8
low- 43:15 44:12,25 45:8,20
 46:2,18 53:7 66:23 67:6 70:9
 71:24 72:4
low-and 44:22
low-income 37:5 56:20 59:17
 60:24 61:8,25 65:7,16,21,23
 67:12,14,19,22 69:25 70:25
 71:21 72:8,18 74:18

M

maintain 4:11 77:8 83:25
maintenance 80:7
major 21:11
majority 57:5
making 26:21,24 39:18 53:3,25
 63:15 72:21 73:8,13 75:18,20
 83:20,21
management 79:17 87:12 88:5
 90:18
mandate 90:11
mandated 22:12
manholes 78:11
manner 51:24
March 70:7 87:18
Marco 65:8,10 68:11
market 2:24 23:2,14,16 24:3,7
 24:14,23 26:3 35:13 44:4
 45:10,24 46:3 51:20 52:6 54:7
 55:19 56:9 57:8 58:10,22
 59:15,16,17,24 60:3,9 61:13
 62:9,11 65:8 68:20 72:6,11,15
 72:24 73:7,11 74:6,12,20
 75:21 89:4
markets 44:21 46:3 51:23 55:17
 57:13,18,21,22 59:19 67:8,20
 88:9
mass 23:14,15 24:3,7 35:13
matter 6:5,11 22:15 27:18 62:2

83:2 84:12,13 90:13
matters 27:24,25 40:7
maturity 89:3
mean 49:2,5 56:15 59:6 75:8
 80:16
meaningless 61:18
meanings 15:8
means 39:4 57:9 59:7,12 80:17
 81:8 82:9
meant 31:4 56:15 57:2 59:10,11
 60:6,8,13,20
measures 80:2
measuring 53:25
mechanism 49:10 51:15
mechanisms 45:10 46:3
medical 48:5
meet 87:12
meeting 1:1,7 2:1,2 3:1 4:1 5:1
 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1
 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1
 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1
 24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1
 30:1 31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1
 36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1
 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1
 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1
 54:1 55:1 56:1 57:1 58:1 59:1
 60:1 61:1 62:1 63:1 64:1 65:1
 66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1 70:1 71:1
 72:1 73:1 74:1 75:1 76:1 77:1
 78:1 79:1 80:1 81:1 82:1 83:1
 84:1 85:1 86:1 87:1 88:1,4
 89:1 90:1 91:1 92:1 93:1 94:1
 95:1,25 96:1
meets 3:24
megawatts 66:9
members 27:18 70:6
mention 80:13
mentioned 20:14
merely 31:13
merits 4:17 8:4 20:20 45:17
met 4:15
metering 69:18,20,21 70:9,11,14
 70:19 71:5 78:16
metrics 53:23
metropolitan 76:21 77:12 86:3,6
Mic's 46:10
Michelle 94:10 95:16
microgrid 87:11 88:2 90:16
Microgrid's 90:22
microgrids 88:8
microphone 85:14,20
Mike 76:24 77:3 81:23 82:3,13
Mike's 82:15
mind 11:7 40:4 60:22 63:6
mindful 11:22 50:5 62:4
minimize 84:22
minimum 70:6,17
ministerial 22:2 31:13 32:9
 33:23 34:5,7,9
minutes 52:14
miscellaneous 93:19
missed 42:9
missteps 26:10,21 27:6 32:18
model 67:14 87:11 88:2
moderate-income 43:15 44:12,22
 44:25 45:8,20 46:2,18 67:7
 71:25
modernize 78:22
moment 93:11
Monday 32:2
monetary 66:23
monitoring 78:15
monthly 1:1 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1
 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1 12:1
 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1
 19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1
 25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1
 31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1
 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1
 43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1
 49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1
 55:1 56:1 57:1 58:1 59:1 60:1
 61:1 62:1 63:1 64:1 65:1 66:1
 67:1 68:1 69:1 70:1 71:1 72:1
 73:1 74:1 75:1 76:1 77:1 78:1
 79:1,20 80:1 81:1 82:1 83:1
 84:1 85:1 86:1 87:1 88:1 89:1
 90:1 91:1 92:1 93:1 94:1 95:1
 96:1
months 77:9 81:20
morning 2:3,9,10,21 43:18 65:11
motion 3:7,11 5:22
motions 38:8
move 25:19 28:16 36:12 37:13
 43:10 65:3 76:19 86:24 91:23
 92:7,20
moved 94:20

mover 94:11
moving 63:23 70:13
MTA 77:15,22 78:10,14 79:2,7,18
 79:24 80:6,8 83:4,6,11,23
 84:3 86:7 95:2
MTA/Con 82:7
MTA's 77:17 78:11,12,19,21
 79:11
multiple 69:21 72:13,13
mutual 94:23

N

name 96:10
names 4:23
narrow 19:3 74:25
narrower 31:25
narrowly 75:19
nationally 94:9,12
natural 95:11
nature 20:18 22:2 68:17
near 91:2
neatly 62:18
necessarily 49:23 52:16 53:4
 54:17 91:18
necessary 4:11 7:14 45:19 50:8
 54:6 58:22 59:15 60:2,17 80:2
 80:4 84:9
need 8:5 12:23 26:10,13 27:6,23
 28:21,23 29:10,17 35:6,16,16
 36:9 38:15 39:3,11 40:4,8
 49:17 50:3,7,12 57:14,19,22
 58:15 59:4,4,15 61:12,24 62:4
 63:12 66:17 67:9 74:19 75:22
 79:23 91:7,13 93:23
needed 27:19 79:4
needing 10:24 12:17 38:3 84:16
needs 4:18 14:17 16:10 31:8
 35:19 62:6 63:15,20 75:13
 78:7,14,18,20,22,24 79:5,9,13
 79:16 81:8 83:8,25
negotiate 5:21
net 69:18,20,21 70:9,11,13,19
 71:4
networks 78:17
never 33:20 42:22 47:7 72:24
nevertheless 20:20
new 1:3,13 13:20 19:25 41:14
 51:4 60:22 65:5,14 76:23 77:8
 78:14 87:13 89:3 90:15 94:16
 95:7 96:2

news 69:10
night 32:3
non- 55:7
non-synchronous 88:8
non-utility 55:19
non-wire 90:16
nonparties 39:4
nonprofits 44:16
normally 72:13
note 37:20 40:13 54:18 67:15
 68:13,14,16,22 69:16 82:12
 89:11
noted 46:8,15
notes 80:14
notice 21:2 22:2,3,4,18 23:13
 27:10 28:11,12 29:18,22 30:2
 30:8 31:13,18,20 32:7 33:5,6
 33:12,12,17,19,20,24,24 34:6
 34:9,11,13,18,25 81:13
notices 21:25 26:16 28:6 29:12
 29:13 32:14 34:4,16
nuanced 51:25 52:2
number 11:19,24
numbers 4:24
numerous 48:20 52:11 90:14,25
nutshell 55:23
NYSERDA 89:14 91:2,4

O

object 7:16
objected 3:6
objectives 87:13 88:5
obligation 5:20
observations 69:2
observing 25:18
obtain 12:16 89:6 91:21
obtained 13:13
obvious 15:7
Obviously 57:2 68:14
occurred 78:2
October 65:17
odds 9:23 10:7
offer 51:8,10 74:3,4
offering 14:19
offerings 65:24
Office 76:25
oh 33:15 42:24 63:8
okay 2:11 9:2 19:11 27:11 34:21
 40:18 62:19 63:8 71:15,18
 72:2 80:12 85:23

old 13:22
on-the-ground 84:19
once 81:16
onerous 11:23
ones 50:22
ongoing 48:20 56:22
onset 14:23
onsite 69:23
open 28:13 50:12,23
opened 51:4
opening 35:15
operated 84:3
operating 11:25
operation 71:13
operations 79:10
opportunities 12:15 29:16 36:12
 43:13 44:14 55:7 60:21 61:9
 63:5 65:23 88:6 90:14,17
opportunity 9:10 14:24 16:12
 17:12 22:14 26:2 27:19 28:24
 29:20 30:5,13,23 31:10 36:6
 37:16 38:14 39:22 42:12 43:5
 48:10 51:23 55:15 67:12,18
 72:24 73:25 85:16 86:5 94:18
oppose 55:12
opposing 54:19
opposite 10:18
opposites 10:8
options 45:11 78:21
order 2:5,22 4:18 5:7,10,15 6:6
 6:10 7:13,22 11:8,9,14 13:6
 15:6 19:22 21:17,22 22:11
 23:5,19,22 24:10,16 25:10,13
 25:16 26:20 27:12 30:8 31:23
 32:4,10,16,23 33:4,7,9 34:8
 34:10,12,17,20 35:3 43:19,21
 43:24,24,25 44:6 45:6,15 46:8
 46:14,20 47:5,8,22 48:3,16,19
 49:19 50:14 51:17,25 54:5
 55:16,18 56:13,14 57:10,15
 58:5,14 59:6,11 60:6 61:8
 62:15,19,21 63:12,20,22,23,25
 64:4 65:13 66:5,25 67:5,10,15
 68:5 69:16,17,19 73:5 74:11
 74:11,25 75:12 77:6 80:14,20
 81:15 82:25 84:5,15 87:8,18
 88:17,22,24 89:21 91:19
ordering 80:15
orders 4:3 11:9 36:2,19 48:19

organizations 68:2
original 48:16
originally 49:14
outage 76:22 77:18 78:2
outages 77:10 83:8
outline 5:16
outlined 91:18
outside 7:17 37:17 86:2
outweigh 4:20
overall 63:10
overbroad 6:23
overcharges 24:10
overlaps 90:13
overload 9:12 14:13
overly 12:4 31:4
overreach 29:8
oversight 45:2,22
ownership 43:14 44:7,11,19,24
 45:14,17,18,23 47:3,12 49:11
 51:15,17,20,22 52:4,4,21
 54:13,16 55:19 56:8,15 57:11
 57:16,24,25 58:6,9,17 59:22
 60:7,14,18 62:6,14 67:2,4,11
 72:8,23 75:14
owning 57:25

P

p.m 95:25
Padula 65:8,11
page 13:6 32:4 33:4,14 72:14
 96:6
pages 96:7
palatable 61:21
panels 66:11 78:23
Paper 53:11 60:25 63:3
parameters 16:23 34:11
Pareto 87:3,9 88:11,24
Pareto's 87:16 88:2,23,23
part 33:8 55:3 62:16 63:13
 72:11 80:9 82:7 89:15,15
 90:15 93:22 95:10
participants 44:4 56:9 59:17
 73:12
participate 45:13 65:24 79:10
participating 3:5 10:16 43:13
 65:20 66:23
participation 46:21 49:13 67:12
 67:14,18 71:2
particular 38:5 41:19 44:10
 45:18 50:25 51:2

<p>parties 3:2 6:4 9:9,17,23 10:8 10:16 20:22 25:4 29:6 33:10 33:17,25 34:14 37:12 38:5 39:21 54:25 55:24 59:21 61:15 67:25 72:13 91:14</p> <p>partners 82:10 90:7 94:4</p> <p>parts 82:15</p> <p>party 5:24 9:20</p> <p>path 52:19</p> <p>path- 9:7</p> <p>pathway 17:10 28:14 31:6 40:2 53:15</p> <p>pathways 13:3 14:21</p> <p>Paul 19:13 41:20 43:9 76:25 80:10 81:24</p> <p>pause 14:8 17:13</p> <p>pay 85:25</p> <p>penalty 15:22</p> <p>pending 37:10 40:21</p> <p>penetration 66:15</p> <p>people 10:17 13:21 34:7 42:16 42:24 50:9,11 51:11 86:7 93:16</p> <p>perceived 91:15</p> <p>percent 30:22</p> <p>perform 78:8</p> <p>performance 79:14,19</p> <p>performing 33:23</p> <p>period 11:16,16 48:17 70:22</p> <p>permit 44:24</p> <p>permitting 66:8</p> <p>personal 42:8</p> <p>personally 4:22 10:22 40:14</p> <p>personnel 78:8 84:11</p> <p>perspective 9:3,8 14:2,11,16,18 39:20 60:19</p> <p>persuaded 58:4</p> <p>pertinent 36:4,4,5 51:21</p> <p>petition 43:12,20 45:3 48:2,12 49:8,17 55:13 63:8 65:5,17 87:10,15,17,21,24 88:16,23,23 91:9,17,20</p> <p>Petitioner 44:16 90:10,14,19,23 90:24 91:3,12,20,22</p> <p>Petitioner's 88:8</p> <p>Petitioners 45:3</p> <p>petitions 48:13 55:13 87:2,9 89:4 92:9</p> <p>phase 66:3,3,6,19 70:20,21 71:3 76:8</p>	<p>phased 63:14</p> <p>phases 14:22 61:24 66:2 70:20</p> <p>phasing 68:18</p> <p>phonetic 34:20 54:12</p> <p>picking 91:10</p> <p>piece 35:5</p> <p>pilot 65:6,15,18,22 66:2,3,4,7 67:17,21 68:18 69:6 73:4,8 74:15 76:8</p> <p>Pipeline 94:14</p> <p>place 42:10 51:8 53:16 96:5</p> <p>plan 43:22 68:6,19 69:10 73:21 73:21 74:4 75:6 76:9 79:17 87:19</p> <p>planning 68:23 94:6,24</p> <p>plans 66:13</p> <p>play 32:5 57:8</p> <p>players 37:12</p> <p>playing 32:2</p> <p>Plaza 1:12 88:12 90:21 91:24</p> <p>pleadings 15:11</p> <p>please 2:20 18:19 19:10 43:17 65:10 77:3 87:5</p> <p>pleasure 42:5 93:14,21</p> <p>plenty 47:13</p> <p>point 3:20 23:4 41:11 46:19 49:20 54:19 64:13 81:6 89:11</p> <p>pointed 95:5</p> <p>points 24:16 48:11 80:13</p> <p>polar 10:8,18</p> <p>policy 4:7 8:6 31:14,16 43:21 69:24</p> <p>portion 17:24</p> <p>portions 47:21</p> <p>position 29:11</p> <p>positions 54:25</p> <p>possible 69:25</p> <p>potential 90:7</p> <p>potentially 9:24</p> <p>power 76:22 77:10,14,16 79:6,7 79:14 82:6 83:3,4,11 84:19,21 88:13</p> <p>practice 5:4</p> <p>Practices 4:7,9 8:8</p> <p>precedent 19:18 26:14 67:16</p> <p>preclude 56:7</p> <p>prejudice 7:12 91:20</p> <p>premature 72:17</p> <p>prematurely 44:21</p>
---	---

premise 58:5	processes 9:11 28:8 50:7,10,16 89:13 91:5,8
preparation 2:25	procure 66:8
prepare 79:16	produced 69:23
present 45:4,7	product 15:18
presentation 68:9 80:10	production 3:9 17:25
presented 2:19 3:23 7:21 18:17 31:21,22 43:15 64:4 65:7 76:24 87:3	products 24:4,5
preserve 8:6	professional 42:6,8
preserving 38:15	profitability 19:4 20:12 23:12 23:17 24:9,12,18
presiding 3:8 32:7 40:22	program 65:6,15,18,21 66:3,18 67:23 69:7 73:18 89:16 94:14
presumption 44:6	programs 67:14 89:6 90:18
prevent 78:6 83:22	project 3:3,7 57:12,17 66:21 67:3,6 68:15,23 70:5 79:17 88:13 90:22 91:4,24 94:15
previous 22:21	projects 56:22 67:12 70:22 71:5 71:9 73:13 89:15 90:16 91:12
previously 70:3 77:23	promote 70:25
primarily 69:25 73:2	prong 51:12 60:4
primary 36:22	prongs 58:13
prime 19:18	proper 40:5 62:24 84:6
principles 8:7	properly 6:17,25 21:21 24:8,15 84:10
prior 20:15	proposal 45:16 47:12 80:16,21 80:22,22 81:7 83:16
prioritize 66:13	propose 25:14
private 55:5 56:3	proposed 2:22 4:17 5:7,10,15 6:6 7:13 19:22 21:22 22:10 23:4,19 24:16 43:19 47:11 65:25 66:7 68:15 81:19 88:2 89:23
privilege 7:10 37:22,23,23 43:3	protect 83:9
privileges 7:4	protecting 4:21
probably 54:9	protection 8:8 47:13
problem 5:4 82:7,23	protects 55:16
problems 26:13	proud 10:11
procedural 20:5,18,23 25:19 26:10,16,21 27:5 32:18 80:10	prove 45:24
procedurally 26:23 30:11	proves 66:4
Procedure 22:5	provide 4:9 20:21 24:12 45:19 45:23 51:23 64:17,17 65:19,22 66:22 67:13,18 70:24 79:20 80:3
procedures 22:19	provided 44:10,15 45:9 67:20 80:8
proceed 2:12,15 17:22 18:13 40:19 50:7	providing 65:6,16 68:2
proceeding 3:5,9,18 4:20 7:18 9:6,14 13:12,14,15 20:3,6,22 21:5 22:6 23:14,18 24:10 25:22 28:12,13 29:24 33:25 34:15 43:13 50:22 52:18 62:25	public 1:1,4,7 2:1,4 3:1,3,7 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1,8,14
proceedings 2:23 3:2 6:14 7:2 14:22 15:10 16:5 20:9 21:4 23:4,6 24:2 33:18 37:4 48:21 48:23 49:4 51:4 59:9 72:21 75:23 96:8	
process 3:17 10:14 12:13,18,22 16:6,7 19:5 20:13,25 22:17,18 23:6 25:5 26:11,17 29:3 30:10 33:20 38:17 39:17 40:3,5 52:25 81:20,25 91:23	

22:1,8 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1,16 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1,19 46:1 47:1,12 48:1 49:1,12,18,20 50:1,2,5,6 50:10 51:1 52:1,6 53:1 54:1 54:10 55:1 56:1 57:1 58:1,11 58:24 59:1 60:1 61:1 62:1,3,7 63:1 64:1 65:1 66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1,6 70:1 71:1 72:1 73:1 74:1 75:1 76:1 77:1 78:1 79:1 80:1,5 81:1 82:1,24 83:1,10 84:1 85:1 86:1,9 87:1,23 88:1 89:1 90:1 91:1 92:1 93:1 94:1 94:8 95:1 96:1 publicly 29:7 30:25 published 29:12,14 81:14 pull 13:5 PULP 3:4 5:5 9:20 PULP's 4:4,18 PULP's 5:19 punt 57:6 purely 34:4,7,9 purpose 24:2 purposes 37:10 57:3 Pursuant 21:8 pursue 88:25 put 25:13 47:7 51:7 81:10	ratepayer 90:3 rates 24:24 rationale 55:24 63:25 reach 7:22 reaching 22:15 read 6:18,18 15:8 34:5 reading 13:18 reads 34:10 reaffirm 62:13 real 28:14 36:18 42:4 53:19 really 11:18 13:16 33:10 34:10 34:13 35:16 48:25 49:25 51:5 51:6 53:2,21 60:18 72:3 74:14 81:8,9 82:9 94:7,11,24 reason 5:6 reasonable 24:12,13 reasonably 6:25 reasons 38:16 48:6 54:14 91:18 91:19 reassert 7:12 receive 69:22 70:2 recognition 61:7 70:8,8 75:13 recognize 25:9 46:7,14,19 53:17 69:14 recognized 94:9,13 recognizes 21:25 22:11 recommendation 3:24 8:16 17:24 20:19 24:25 92:9 recommendations 18:12 40:20 41:8 64:16 65:2 76:7,18 86:15 86:23 92:19,24 93:9 recommends 5:11,15 6:12 reconsideration 43:20 44:18 record 22:24 25:24 96:8 records 77:24 recuse 92:21 reduce 94:5 reduced 66:17 redundant 78:21 reflected 51:4 reflection 29:9 Reforming 43:22 refrain 89:12 regard 5:17 16:8 20:11,14 23:7 regarding 20:10 21:11 22:25 24:8 26:3 43:12 Register 81:14 regulations 87:23 regulatory 25:20 43:21 50:7,8 91:15
Q	
quality 77:14 79:7,14 83:3 84:21 86:5 quarterback 32:3 Queens 88:15 question 24:11 30:15 56:14 questions 7:23 8:13 17:10,22 36:21 46:24 65:9 68:10 81:22 89:8 quick 79:3 quickly 28:24 79:2 quite 13:21	
R	
radar 36:15 rail 77:12 raised 5:17 7:19 ranging 93:16 rarely 23:22 rate 21:11 47:7	

<p> rehearing 11:5 43:12,20 44:17 45:3,6 48:2,13 62:13 63:10 64:16 87:15,17,21 88:16,24 rejected 6:17 7:11 20:5 rejecting 20:9 23:9 89:23 rejection 8:11 rejects 21:22 related 23:10 24:4 78:23 79:18 87:9 90:21 release 4:3,14 relevance 6:9 relevant 6:14 8:10 12:21 24:5,9 47:20 48:13 83:8 84:11 reliability 83:10 87:12 88:4 reliable 95:12 relief 7:14 20:16 87:25 89:4 remainder 8:11 remaining 63:7 remarks 8:17 remedial 79:19 remediation 80:2,7 renewable 65:24 69:23 70:3,4 renters 69:25 repair 77:25 80:7 repeats 87:16 replace 78:18 report 53:8,10 60:24 61:2 67:24 79:23 reported 96:4 Reporter 96:13 reporting 68:4 83:15 reports 52:12 79:20 request 4:23 6:12,16 15:12,14 40:13 87:17 requested 3:9 5:13 6:10,13 7:6 7:17 44:17 89:4 requests 3:4 4:5 5:12 6:19,21 6:23 7:7 10:4 15:9 18:2 30:3 37:24 88:6 require 4:13 66:19 70:6 90:3 required 5:13 9:12 22:3 34:2 requirement 70:15,18 requirements 68:5 requires 68:5 rerouted 77:23 RESA 19:24 reserve 11:13 residential 4:24 23:15 35:13 44:12 57:13,18,20,23 67:7 </p>	<p> residents 70:2 resiliency 78:5 83:11 84:20 resolution 5:21 10:17 resolve 5:24 6:6 7:8 9:24 resolved 6:20 7:19 53:6 resolves 19:22 resource 66:15 68:25 87:11 88:3 resources 43:14 44:4,8,11,14,20 80:4 respect 5:11 18:22 19:3 respects 5:14 50:4 74:3 respond 6:21 67:23 79:2 responders 85:2 94:3 95:4 responds 87:8 response 4:4 18:22 67:11 68:20 79:10,11 84:23,24 94:15 95:2 responses 18:2 responsive 34:19 81:4 rest 25:13 Restoration 76:24 restraint 89:17 restricted 30:20 result 10:17 47:14 77:15 82:8 86:10 resulted 77:18 resulting 68:24 results 78:3 retail 2:24 7:20 18:15 19:23 23:2 Rev 15:17 43:13,24 45:13,21 46:22 47:5 48:3 50:25 56:23 60:13 67:5 90:16 91:12 REV-related 48:18 reveal 4:23 24:9 reversal 8:10 reverse 17:24 reversing 5:11 review 15:6 23:21 58:15 75:14 83:15,18,18 91:13 revisit 16:12 revisiting 64:6 Rhodes 1:15 2:3,11 7:25 8:18 17:17 18:6,9,11 19:10 25:7 26:5 40:11,18 41:3,5,7,20 42:2 43:8,18 46:5,11 47:15 64:9,14,21,23,25 65:12 68:11 69:7,12 75:25 76:5,13,15,17 77:5 81:23 82:21,25 83:6,16 85:8,22 86:12,18,20,22 89:9 90:8 92:2,7,12,15,18 93:4,6,8 </p>
--	---

93:22 95:14,23
rid 91:15
right 13:18,25 26:15,24 27:15
 38:15,19 47:14 50:9 51:8,8
 71:15,23 75:9 91:9
rise 3:22
rises 10:23
risk 5:7 61:4
risking 27:2
road 28:15
robust 12:13 37:11 59:21
role 28:3,3,5,20 29:6
roles 32:5 67:25 84:4
rollout 36:19
rooftops 66:12
room 94:20
root 84:21
rule 23:7 38:3 44:9 52:4 58:17
 62:6 67:4
ruled 5:19
rules 12:2 13:20,23,24 14:3
 17:6 23:24 28:14,21 81:18
ruling 3:10,11,16 4:2,13 5:11
 5:23 7:8 8:10 17:25 20:4,7
 23:8,8 27:2
rulings 16:11,15 20:2
rush 72:23 73:17
rushing 73:3 74:13

S

s 76:23
safe 95:12
safeguard 77:8 83:25
safety 83:9 85:5 86:6 93:15,18
 93:20,24,24 95:7,13
sake 50:6
sanction 15:21 25:3
SAPA 33:11
SAPA'd 48:14
satisfy 57:14,19,22 67:8
saw 19:13
saying 18:23 27:10,12 62:19
 73:7,8 90:2
Sayre 1:16 8:15 18:4,5 25:17,18
 26:6 40:25 41:2 42:18 47:2,3
 64:19,20 69:3,4 76:11,12
 82:17,18 86:16,17 89:18,20
 92:10,11 93:2,3
says 15:6 32:4 33:4,16
scale 68:17

scheduled 20:6
scheduling 22:13
scope 7:17 20:8 23:9,17,20
 25:15 30:16 35:5,6 39:5 53:17
 79:25
scratch 53:5
second 18:13 23:7 35:4,5 49:12
 49:15,16 51:12 54:7 60:4 63:8
 66:3 71:3 74:24 78:14 79:13
 80:25 81:6 87:15
secondary 77:22
secretary 2:6,8 21:18,23 25:10
 27:10 28:3,4,5,10,11,20,23
 29:5,6,8,9,18 32:14 33:10,20
 33:23 34:4 85:18 95:18,20
secretary's 21:2,7 23:13 31:12
 32:8 33:5,6 34:25
section 21:8,14,19 22:8 56:18
 60:11 87:23
see 9:22 12:18 17:10 31:5 38:4
 38:18 39:15 47:11 52:15,20
 54:4 56:9 59:19 63:11 74:25
 75:2 85:16
seeing 12:9 29:12 42:12 92:23
seek 58:16 62:18 91:21
seeking 65:15
seeks 49:17,19 65:18 87:24
 90:11
seemingly 51:21
seen 10:7,14,15 29:13 31:24
 38:9,25 50:13 54:17
SEIA 59:23
select 89:15 91:12
selection 89:13
sense 33:22 64:7
sensitive 8:9
sensors 78:14
sent 94:8
sentiment 41:22
separate 8:21 55:10 88:5
separately 8:22
September 95:22
seriously 40:8 91:7
serve 44:22 55:6,15 56:3,4,5
served 45:25 46:2
serves 78:9
service 1:1,4,7 2:1,4 3:1 4:1
 4:12 5:1,3 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1
 10:1 11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1
 16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1

21:9,14 22:1,8 23:1 24:1,12
 25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1
 31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1
 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1
 43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1,20 47:1
 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1,24 52:1
 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1 57:1 58:1
 59:1 60:1 61:1 62:1 63:1 64:1
 65:1 66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1 70:1
 71:1,7 72:1 73:1 74:1 75:1
 76:1 77:1 78:1,6 79:1 80:1,6
 80:8 81:1 82:1,9 83:1 84:1,2
 85:1 86:1 87:1,23 88:1 89:1
 90:1 91:1 92:1 93:1 94:1,8
 95:1 96:1
services 2:18 3:14 18:16 19:25
 77:22 78:20,22 79:8
serving 78:12
session 2:4 18:8 29:21,25 32:21
 48:5 92:14 95:21
sessions 30:24
set 26:15 61:21
setting 31:13,16 33:19 34:11
settlement 80:17
Seventh 79:13
shaker 94:12
share 94:16
shared 35:21,22 36:7 65:7,16,18
 65:22,25 67:17,21 73:4 76:8
sharing 13:11
she'll 41:12
short 84:9
shortly 41:13
show 3:19 51:7
side 27:15 51:19
sidestep 40:8
signal 74:13
signaling 78:19,21 79:8
signals 77:21
significant 22:20 42:15 77:16
 78:4
significantly 77:24
siloed 37:18
simple 90:4
single 54:22
siting 66:8 70:23
situation 47:18 85:13 90:13
six 32:4
sixth 79:9 86:24
size 11:23 66:21
slash 48:2
slow 25:21
small 74:9 94:19
smaller 15:3
smart 42:7
society 44:5
solar 59:23 65:7,16,18,22 66:2
 66:9,11,14 67:2,17,21 73:4
 76:8
solely 63:25
solutions 10:10 17:11 42:22
 68:21 84:21 85:6
somewhat 47:23 48:9
sorely 42:9
sorry 13:6 33:6 46:11 85:19
sort 56:13
sought 59:22
sounds 71:16
space 93:20
speak 27:20,22 62:24
SPEAKER 46:10 85:14
speaking 40:6
speaks 13:6,7
specific 5:14 17:9 21:15 28:20
 31:4 84:8 89:15
specifically 4:2 20:9 23:25
 56:15 67:5,17
spelling 34:20 54:12
spending 53:4 93:14
spent 90:24
spoken 29:7
sponsorship 58:3
staff 12:12,18,20 16:4 28:19
 29:5 31:18 36:4 39:18,20 55:2
 56:25 68:7 75:16 80:5,6,18,23
 83:2,5,15,24 85:4 89:7 93:15
staff's 31:20
Staff's 77:13
staffer 10:6
staffs 79:24
stage 3:17
stakeholder 94:17
stakeholders 22:21 56:20,25
 59:18
stand 94:10
stand-alone 90:15
standard 13:7,8,8,9 14:12
standing 25:21

start 28:11 33:25 34:14
starting 53:5
starts 95:12
state 1:3,12 2:24 20:2 22:4
 32:6 69:20 81:14 82:5 86:9
 90:21 91:5 93:17 96:2
stated 45:15 96:5
states 67:6,16
station 77:20 78:11
stations 79:2
statute 87:24
statutes 21:11
stay 49:5
steps 26:16 38:10 69:8,9 77:7
 84:17
stockpile 78:25
story 69:11
strained 27:9
stranger 42:14
streamline 9:11
streams 89:2
stricter 13:9 14:10
Structure 65:8
styled 87:17
subject 3:11 6:11 17:5 45:2
 68:19 76:10
submitted 68:7
subpoena 38:25 39:13
subpoenaing 39:6,7
subpoenas 38:22 39:4
subscribe 69:22
subscribed 96:10
subset 14:24
substantively 30:11
substation 78:12
substations 78:13
subway 76:22 77:9,13,17,20,21
 83:11 84:2
success 91:9
successful 24:6 66:4
sufficient 45:9 72:6,11,16
sufficiently 44:22
summarized 82:13
summary 54:25 79:22 81:24 82:16
 89:10
super 57:5
supply 7:20 18:15 19:23 77:14
 77:19 78:19,21 79:7 83:4
 84:21

support 4:19 52:7 58:12 79:3
 91:17 93:21
supporting 86:11
supposed 32:14 34:4 53:8 70:21
sure 13:18 16:23,24,25 17:5
 26:24 27:24 29:2 32:22 35:7,9
 37:2 38:6,23,24 39:11 53:2
 54:2,23 63:15 64:7 75:20
 83:20,21 93:13 94:21 95:3,8
surprising 22:16
swift 82:9,11
sympathetic 90:19
system 66:16 77:9,15,17 78:10
 78:15 79:18 83:4,12 84:2
 87:19 95:11
systematic 15:3
systems 77:13,25 82:7 90:23

T

T 26:25
table 95:3
tailored 6:25
take 5:20 9:5 14:8 17:12 30:7
 38:8 40:8 49:15,16 50:2 54:18
 73:5 82:9,10 91:7
taken 48:24 49:2 72:25 75:3,23
 77:8
takes 19:18 34:15
talk 94:20
talking 33:5,7
targeted 31:3 60:19 84:8
team 79:10,11
technical 79:24 84:19
technologies 88:19,21
technology 88:9,12,19 89:2,24
 90:4,12
Ted 43:16,17 46:5,12 65:9
tedious 10:14 50:20,21 51:5
telecommunications 42:21
telling 73:19
ten 11:18
tenet 58:20
tenets 14:4 27:13 30:9 53:6
tenor 9:13
terms 6:16 15:7
territories 71:7
territory 67:20 71:8
test 13:20,22,22,23 66:3
testimony 2:25
text 15:9

thank 2:11 7:25 8:2,19 17:15,17
 17:20,21 18:12,20 19:12,20
 25:6,7 26:4,5,8 33:15 39:23
 40:10,11,12,17,18 41:20,25
 42:3,17 43:2,7,9 46:4,5,6,12
 46:23 47:16,16 64:4,8,9,10,13
 64:14 65:11 68:11,11 69:13
 75:24,25 76:4,5 81:5,23,23
 82:22 85:7,8,11,20 86:12 87:6
 89:9 90:9 91:25 92:2,5,15
 95:6,13,14,16,23
Thanks 9:2
that's 75:3 81:21 89:16
there's 81:22
they've 81:4
thickets 42:20
thing 31:11 61:14 80:24 81:6
things 9:7 15:2,23 27:25 31:9
 37:22 42:13 49:5 50:18 51:8
 54:2 61:5,10 62:17 63:14,17
 68:13 73:15 90:11 94:18 95:7
think 9:15 10:10,18 11:3,11
 12:11 13:3,21 14:20 15:16
 17:7 27:8 30:2,9,10,12,13,14
 31:23 32:12,12,18,19,24,25
 33:13 34:6,8,15,23,25 35:5,6
 35:16,19,25 37:6,13 39:2,9,20
 39:23 47:7,9,13,18 48:9 49:15
 49:19,25 50:16,19 51:5,6
 56:16,17,24 59:3 60:8,10,10
 61:6,10,18 62:23 63:18 69:5
 69:10 72:25 73:15 74:11 75:2
 75:11 85:15,25 89:16
third 24:20 43:10 54:8 61:14
 67:25 78:18
thorny 42:20
thought 14:20 42:22 61:20
thoughtful 8:2 25:8 28:17 40:13
 42:6
thoughtfulness 64:5
thoughts 74:3
three 1:12 58:23 66:9 88:5
three-megawatt 66:21 67:2
threshold 4:15 9:16 10:22,22
 11:10,12,14 12:7 14:15 19:16
 26:19 34:24 35:19 37:21 55:9
 74:23,24
thresholds 8:23
time 11:16,16,25 25:22 30:6,15
 30:25 33:2 48:8,18 54:15 60:6
 81:9 83:14 84:5,15,17 90:24
 93:14 96:5
timeframe 48:13
timeline 84:9
times 53:4
today 2:22 7:22 23:5 27:23 39:6
 73:16 95:19,21
told 27:11
tomorrow 39:7
TOMPSON 96:3,13
tool 74:9
toolkit 74:9
touched 42:16
track 33:18 36:25 43:23,25 44:6
 45:15 46:7,14 47:5,22 48:2,19
 50:14 51:17 52:24 55:16,18
 58:5 59:5,11 61:7 62:18,21
 63:12,15,20,25 67:5 69:17
 75:12 79:18
traditional 66:17
traditionally 54:19
transcription 96:7
transfer 78:23
Transportation 76:22 77:12
treating 47:25
trial 22:22 89:25
tried 60:15 73:5
troubled 26:3
true 9:3 96:8
truly 90:19
Truthfully 57:7
try 5:21,24 14:6 36:12 38:13,16
 50:22 73:14 74:15 83:6,21
 94:5
trying 9:23 10:19 12:6 13:2
 14:5 15:24,25 26:22 33:7 38:9
 39:24 51:7 61:11 62:8 63:17
 73:25 74:21 75:5,20 80:19
turf 42:21
turn 77:20 85:19 93:11
turning 4:17 20:24
two 4:4 5:12 8:20 18:2 20:2
 25:12 48:15,16 49:9 51:12
 54:18,18 58:22 62:22 66:2
 70:19 80:12 87:9
type 4:25 22:22
typewritten 96:6
typical 22:18
typically 3:21

U	
ultimately 6:12 22:24 47:20 90:3	VDR 37:4 53:12 72:19
unable 73:13	vehicle 21:4
unartful 62:16	venue 62:24 91:10
unartfully 51:18	venues 50:10
unbottle 74:19	versus 13:8
unclear 56:16	viable 88:25 91:4
underlining 58:5	vibrant 62:8
understand 9:19 12:23 14:2 16:9 28:3 31:7 60:8 67:22 74:8	view 8:5 69:7
understanding 13:16 15:13,24 54:24	viewpoint 47:24
understood 19:21 57:20	views 54:19
undertake 84:10	vigorously 22:17
unduly 6:23	violated 88:20
unfair 50:14 91:15	violation 4:6
UNIDENTIFIED 46:10 85:14	virtually 61:17
Uniform 4:6,9 8:7	Vision 43:23
unique 47:18	voltage 78:12
unwilling 55:6	vote 17:22,23 18:4,7 19:20 40:20,20,25 48:4 59:23 64:15 64:15,19 76:6,7,11 86:14,14 92:8,8,10,23,23
upcoming 84:23	voted 26:19 38:23 57:5 69:14
updates 36:16	voting 8:22 16:13 64:3 85:3 92:22
uphold 47:9	vulnerable 53:20
urge 90:5	
use 30:7 86:7 89:23 90:3	W
usefully 46:17	wait 81:10
utilities 36:23 46:16 47:6 55:12,14,23,24 56:9,21 74:13 88:20 90:6 93:18	waived 70:17
utility 3:3,7 12:3 43:14 44:7 44:11,19,24 45:14,16,18,23 47:3,12 49:11 51:15,16,20,22 52:3,4,7,21 54:7,13,16 55:20 55:22 56:8,12,13,15,17,21 57:10,15,24 58:6,9,11,17,22 59:22 60:4,7,11,14,17,19 61:11 62:6,14 67:4,25 71:6 72:7,23,25 73:11,17 74:7 75:14 84:2 89:6	walking 51:10
	want 8:20 9:22 13:5,17 17:5,10 17:11 19:9 25:22 29:2 31:9 36:10,25,25 37:20 38:4 40:12 43:2 51:11 53:2 55:14 56:7 69:16 80:13,15 81:5,6,18 82:18 89:21
	wanted 19:6 74:17
	wanting 5:6 55:4
	warrant 3:20 45:5
	warranted 7:11 67:3
	warrants 52:7 58:11
	wasn't 7:9 35:22 69:17
V	Water 76:25
vacuum 59:5	way 15:3 16:24 17:13 26:24 29:16 35:9 36:10,12 42:19 50:24 63:18 64:6 74:6,7,20
vague 6:17 15:4	ways 9:8,9,24 12:10 14:20 35:7 48:25 74:6
vagueness 15:13	we'll 8:22 59:20 62:10
valid 5:6 50:4	we're 9:6 15:25 17:22 19:17 27:23 35:7 36:20 38:15 39:6,7
valuable 24:12	
value 15:18 24:13 52:18 62:25	
various 67:23 68:4	

39:9,12,18 41:18 47:25 49:7 53:3,5 58:16 59:3 63:11,15,20 73:3 we've 10:5 14:21 29:13 36:14 40:13 49:2 51:3 we'll 81:19 we're 81:25 83:14 89:22,25 95:6 95:7 Wednesday 1:11 weigh 31:8 51:19 53:9 weighs 5:9 75:10 weight 39:19 weighted 9:14 10:2,3 welcome 8:2 25:8 46:6 welcomed 89:10 welfare 83:9 well-made 89:11 went 35:22 54:22 what's 75:3 WHEREOF 96:9 White 53:10 60:24 63:3 widespread 5:8 willing 56:3,4,5,9 59:24 75:16 wind 38:2 winners 91:11 wipe 59:11 wise 32:19 wish 41:13 42:25 92:21 WITNESS 96:9 Woebbe 87:3,6 wonderful 40:2 95:11 Worden 76:24 77:4 wording 15:5 56:17 words 15:7,15 27:24 work 9:17,24 12:19 14:5 38:16 38:19 39:24 40:3 42:11,24 43:4 51:7 59:17 70:8 75:5,17 82:13,15 83:24 85:6 90:6 91:14,22 93:23 94:3,6 workability 22:25 worked 83:6 working 9:9 17:15 37:6 39:17 41:17 42:5 52:13,20 56:19 60:12 61:12 63:2 73:9 80:18 81:2,3 90:25 93:22 94:18 95:8 world 40:7 worth 51:11 worthwhile 10:15 wrap 14:12,23	write 59:9 writer 36:4 written 59:10 wrong 5:2 27:3 <hr/> X <hr/> X 1:17 <hr/> Y <hr/> year 11:18 years 10:12 11:17,18,18,18 12:4 12:14,21 25:21 28:9 48:15,17 62:22 90:20 yesterday 93:14 York 1:3,13 19:25 60:22 65:5,14 76:23 77:8 87:14 89:3 90:15 94:16 95:7 96:2 you'll 94:10 you've 75:17 81:17 <hr/> Z <hr/> zero 94:5 Zwack's 34:20 <hr/> 0 <hr/> 1 <hr/> 1 96:6,7 1,600 66:23 10 70:6 71:9,16 10-member 70:15,17 10:30 1:11 2:2 10th 87:14,16 11 21:8 11th 93:25 12 33:14 12:33 95:25 130 93:15 94:19 14-M-0101 43:11 14th 95:22 15 13:6 15-E-0250 87:2 15-M-0127 2:16 18:14 15th 20:4 48:15 16 13:6 16-E-0622 65:4 17-E-0428 76:20 17th 29:21,25 32:21 19th 1:13 1st 71:4
---	--

2	18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1 57:1 58:1 59:1 60:1 61:1 62:1 63:1 64:1 65:1 66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1 70:1 71:1 72:1 73:1 74:1 75:1 76:1 77:1 78:1 79:1 80:1 81:1 82:1 83:1 84:1 85:1 86:1 87:1 88:1 89:1 90:1 91:1 92:1 93:1 94:1 95:1 96:1 811 93:25
3	9
<p>21:11 201 2:16 8:21 11:9,11 2015 48:3,14,15 69:18 70:6 87:14,16,24 2016 21:3 27:10 29:21,22,25 32:21 33:16,24 34:6,9 65:17 70:21 71:4 2017 1:11 17:25 20:4,7 24:19 35:20 70:7 76:21 77:15 87:16 87:18 96:10 202 8:21,21 11:8,9,13 18:14 21 76:21 77:15 21st 77:18 22 87:23 23rd 20:7 25 17:24 25th 35:20 26 48:3 27th 24:19 29th 48:14 2nd 21:3 22:2 23:13 27:10 29:22 33:16,24 34:5,8</p>	<p>90 81:16 84:14 90-day 83:18 95 96:7 9th 87:18 96:10</p>
4	
5	
5-per-month 66:22	
6	
7	
7th 77:19	
8	
<p>8 21:14,19 22:8 8-2-2017 1:1 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1</p>	